

## DOSE RESPONSE EFFECT OF NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS ON FORAGE QUALITY, YIELD AND ECONOMIC RETURN OF RANGELANDS

TAHİR POLAT<sup>1</sup>, BEKİR BÜKÜN<sup>2\*</sup> AND MUSTAFA OKANT<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Harran University, 63040 Şanlıurfa, Turkey*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Harran University, 63040 Şanlıurfa, Turkey*

### Abstract

A two-year experiment was conducted in Karacadağ rangeland, Şanlıurfa, Turkey to evaluate Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) fertilization on forage quality and plant species composition and to determine their economic impact on animal production. Treatments were 0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N and 0, 50, 100, and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P fertilizer doses. Nitrogen and Phosphorus fertilization significantly influenced the composition of plants. Botanical composition of experimental fields was recorded as 25 legume, 22 grass and 27 species from other families. The results showed large interaction between P and N due largely to the fact that legume species are relatively abundant fertilization reduced legume proportion; however, P fertilizer increased the proportion of legume in vegetation. Adverse effect of N on growth of legume resulted in significantly crude protein decrease in forage. In both years, overall mean dry matter yield increased with increase in N and P applications. The highest dry matter yield production was 3407 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N as compared to control treatment which had a 1152 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Average mean crude protein concentration was 110 g kg<sup>-1</sup> for control plots, while it decreased to 106, 102, 104 and 103 g kg<sup>-1</sup> for 50, 100, 150 and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N applications, respectively. Crude protein concentrations were significantly enhanced with increasing P fertilizer amounts. Maximum crude protein concentration was 140 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and obtained from P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>0</sub> treatments. The average crude protein yield was 126.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in control plots and maximum crude protein yield was 464.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> from P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>200</sub> treatment. Overall results indicated that, the most profitable treatment was P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>200</sub> and average calculated benefit was 644 € per hectare.

### Introduction

Rangelands in Turkey consist of 16% of the area (Aydin & Uzun, 2005). Animals are mainly grazed on the rangelands in Turkey and the dry matter production of these rangelands is rather low. Improvement in rangelands is vital in order to meet animal forage needs.

The most practical and effective measures to increase dry matter production in rangelands is application of appropriate doses of fertilizers (Frame, 1992; Aydin & Uzun, 2005). Many researchers reported that fertilization increases the forage quality and yield (Wight & Black, 1979; Feyter *et al.*, 1985; Pamo & Yonkeu, 1993; Keane & Allen, 1999). Fertilization levels up to 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N application has increased rangelands yield in Canada (Hubbart & Mason, 1967). Carene *et al.*, (1984) applied 50 and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N and found that with N applications root density of legumes increased, but, higher doses decreased legumes densities. Several studies indicated that the application N and P has increased the yield of rangelands in different countries (Pamo & Yonkeu, 1993; Keane & Allen, 1999). Dry forage yields of rangelands increased between 0.6-2 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> with 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N and 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> applications and also increased plant species and carrying capacity (Tükel *et al.*, 1997).

\*Corresponding author: Harran Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Bitki Koruma Bölümü, 63040 Şanlıurfa, Turkey. E-mail: bbukun@harran.edu.tr, Tel. +90 414 247 03 86/2340 Fax: +90 414 247 44 80

To provide better feed quality for the pasture-grazed animal production, the composition of plant and the species are important. Soil fertilizer level of N is a main factor determining production intensity of pasture and grazing lands (Keane & Allen, 1999).

Fertilization affects botanical composition, the dry matter productivity of forage, and quality (Keane & Allen, 1999; Sengul, 2003; Aydin & Uzun, 2005). There are several reports which show a close relationship between plant composition and forage quality in rangelands (Samuel & Hart, 1998; Keane & Allen, 1999; Sengul, 2003; Aydin & Uzun, 2005). The quality of forage can be expressed as conversion of consumed forage to animal production. One of the main criteria of forage quality is the crude protein concentration (Gillen & Berg, 1998; Sengul, 2003; Aydin & Uzun, 2005). Legumes are generally increasing the crude protein concentration of forages (Sengul, 2003). At same harvest maturity, legumes contain higher crude protein compared to grass (Aydin & Uzun, 2005). Crude protein concentration increases the digestibility of hay (Van Soest, 1973).

Use of N fertilizer slightly decreased crude protein concentration but, no significant increase recorded in mixtures with leguminous species (Sengul, 2003). Fertilization with nitrogen stimulates grass growth; while adversely effect the legume growth (Aydin & Uzun, 2005). This adverse effect on growth of legume resulted in significantly crude protein decrease in forage. The objectives of the present study were; (i) to determine the right doses of P at which the negative effect of wide range of N on forage yield and quality can be minimized (ii) To determine economic optimum benefit of N and P applications.

### Materials and Methods

**Site description:** This study was conducted between September 2001 and 2003 on a natural high rangeland in Karacadağ, Şanlıurfa, located on the Eastern Anatolian region of Turkey with 39°35N' and 39°38E' an altitude of 801. Data were collected in 2002 and 2003. The annual precipitations in the region were 327.8 and 513.9 in 2002 and 2003, respectively (Table 1).

Soil in the experimental site has 3% organic matter content, 13.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>O and 0.1 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> with pH 7.8.

**Sampling:** In both years, samples were taken from the respective site in the middle of May. To assess the plant composition, one meter square wooden measure quadrat was placed in plots for four times per plot and plant species were counted and recorded each year. A botanical list of all the species found on the square meter was classified by botanical family, type and proportion of species calculated as per square meter.

**Experimental design:** Treatments consisted of 20 plot with 3 blocks of 5m x 10m (width and length) with one meter distance between plots. Treatments were repeated for two years. Nitrogen was applied as urea (46% N) with 0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> rates. Phosphorus was applied as triple super phosphate (42-44% P) with 0, 50, 100 and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> rate. Fertilizers were broadcast by hand. Half of N and all of the P were applied in October and remaining N was applied at early spring (April). To prevent grazing, experimental areas were fenced.

**Table 1. Average monthly precipitation and temperature for the experimental in 2002 and 2003.**

Month	Precipitation (mm)		Temperature °C	
	2002	2003	2002	2003
January	25.7	84.6	4.9	7.4
February	42.7	176.9	9.8	4.9
March	97.4	90.9	12.6	9.0
April	47.3	21.6	14.7	15.9
May	7.4	11.0	21.4	24.2
June	0.3	5.2	28.7	28.6
July	4.6	0.0	32.0	32.6
August	0.0	0.0	30.5	32.7
September	0.7	0.1	26.9	26.4
October	6.6	23.1	21.8	21.5
November	35.9	36.1	14.4	12.7
December	59.2	64.4	5.0	7.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>327.8</b>	<b>513.9</b>	-	-

**Economic analysis:** In order to determine the effect of N and P fertilization on forage quality of rangelands for the benefit of animal production, crude protein content of plants was determined. Converted consumable crude protein to meat was calculated as 1.8 kg of consumable crude protein per one kg of meat for the hoof in cow-calf as referred by Aydin & Uzun (2005) and NRC (Anon., 1996). The economic impact of fertilizers was also assessed by over all economic analyzes using the price of fertilizer and expenditures.

**Herbage yield assessment:** To determine herbage yield of treatments for each plots, plants were cutted at soil surface within 30 m<sup>2</sup> areas in the middle of plots. Remaining area was used for the border effect. Before harvest of herbage plants, one m<sup>2</sup> quadrate were randomly placed in each plots and included plants were classified as legumes, grasses and others in order to determine the dry ratio of each group for every year. Then those samples were oven-dried at 70°C for 72 hours, thus dry weight ratio of each samples were calculated. Dry matter production was determined by the green forage production and dry-weight percentage for each plant family. Crude protein content of harvested herbage samples and crude protein concentrations of each plant families in each plot were analyzed by micro-kheljdal.

**Statistical analysis:** Data analysis was performed by using PROC GLM procedure in SAS (Anon., 1999). Experiment was designed as both randomized complete block and split plot design for the analysis of two years mean. Separating of means was accomplished by using LSD multiple range test at P 5% level. The effects of N and P fertilizers on dry matter production were best fitted with polynomial relation (Fig. 1), and linear relation was fitted for the crude protein yield except for P<sub>100</sub> and P<sub>150</sub>. Due to sharp increases in crude protein production with increasing N and P doses, these were best shown with polynomial relation (Fig. 2).




Fig. 1. The effects of different N and P fertilizer doses on dry matter yield production of forage on a rangeland (data were average of two years).




Fig. 2. The effects of different N and P fertilizer doses on crude protein yield production of forage on a rangeland (data were average of two years).

## Results

Botanical composition of experimental area was recorded as 25 legume, 22 grass and 27 species from the other families. The most common legumes species were *Astragalus* sp., *Coronilla parviflora* Willd., *C. scorpioides* (L.) Koch., *C. varia* L., *Hippocrepis unisiliquosa* L., *Hymenocarpus circinnatus* (L.) Savi, *Lathyrus cicera* L., *Medicago coronata* (L.) Bart., *M. truncatula* Gartn., *M. minima* (L.) Bartal., *Scorpirus muricatus* L., *Trifolium campestre* Schreb., *T. lappaceum* L., *T. stellatum* L., *T. globosum* L., *T. scabrum* L., *T. tomentosum* L., *T. fragiferum* L., *Trigonella kotschyi* Fenzl., *Torilis leptophylla* (L.) Reichb., and *Vicia hybrida* L.

The most common grasses were *Aegilops ovata* L. Senu Willd., *A. triuncialis* L., *Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds., *Avena sterilis* L., *Bromus erectus* Huds., *B. inermis* Leysser, *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers., *Dactylis glomerata* L., *Echinaria capitata* (L.) Desf., *Hordeum bulbosum* L., *Hordeum spontaneum* C. Koch, *Festuca* sp., *Poa bulbosa* L. and *Lolium perenne* L.

The most common plant species of other families were *Achillea millefolium* L., *Cirsium arvense* (L.) Scop., *C. acarne* (L.) Moench., *Euphorbia macroclada* Boiss., *Geranium molle* L., *Matricaria chamommilla* L., *Papaver rhoeas* L., *Poterium sanguisorba* L., *Ranunculus arvensis* L., *Salvia* spp., *Scandix pecten-veneris* L. and *Senecio vernalis* Waldst & Kit.

Legumes dry weight ranged between 2.1 and 5.7%, over two years, and it decreased to 1.9% in 2002 while it increased to 7.7% in 2003 for 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N applied treatments, respectively. In contrast, as the N doses increased, the grass dry weight ratio sharply enhanced. The grass dry weight ratio determined was 68.0 and 70.2% in 2002 and 2003, respectively, which increased to 88.4 and 83.3% in 2002 and 2003, respectively (Table 2). The dry weight ratio of other plant families decreased with increasing N doses in all treatments. The average dry weight ratio for two years was 27% in control plots, while it decreased to 9.5% for the 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N application (Table 2).

Dry matter weight ratio of the botanical families composition were significantly different between years (\*\*p<0.0001). Legume dry weight ratio was 2.3% in 2002, while it increased to 14.5% in 2003. However, the proportion of grasses decreased by 80.9 to 78.5% in 2003 (Table 2). The dry weight ratio of botanical families of others increased from 9.2 to 14.7% in 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Overall, average dry matter yield of both years increased with increased N and P fertilizers (Fig. 1). Dry matter yield production of control treatment was 1152 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and, for 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N applied plots; it increased to 3407 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Plant community did not respond to more than 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Strong interaction between N and P application were obtained (Fig. 1). At P<sub>0</sub>, N application rate of 100 increased dry matter yield from 1152 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> upto about 2000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and higher application rates did not provide any response. Another important finding is that at P<sub>50</sub>, no response to N. At P<sub>100</sub> and P<sub>150</sub>, no response from N<sub>0</sub> to N<sub>100</sub> but there was a response from N<sub>100</sub> to N<sub>200</sub>. Also at N<sub>0</sub> a large response from P<sub>0</sub> to P<sub>50</sub> and no response from P<sub>50</sub> to P<sub>150</sub>. The N application of N<sub>100</sub> decreased the response of P, however the rate of P<sub>50</sub> and P<sub>150</sub> showed a high response (Fig. 1).

Table 2. Dry weight ratio of legumes, grasses and other plant families related with different fertilizer doses.

Fertilizer treatments P-N	Legumes			Grasses			Others		
	2002	2003	Average	2002	2003	Average	2002	2003	Average
0-0	3.0 d	4.0 hi	3.5 hij	70.0 efg	68.6 ef	69.3 hi	27.0 a	27.4 a	27.2 a
0-50	1.4 efg	6.2 hi	3.8 hi	78.6 bcd	74.0 de	76.3 efg	20.0 bc	19.8 bcd	19.9 cd
0-100	0.6 g	3.2 i	1.9 ij	83.0 abcd	81.8 bc	82.4 bcd	16.4 cd	15.0 fgh	15.7 ef
0-150	0.4 g	3.0 i	1.7 ij	85.6 ab	82.0 bc	83.8 bc	14.0 de	15.0 fgh	14.5 fg
0-200	0.2 g	2.5 i	1.3 j	86.8 ab	85.5 b	86.2 ab	13.0 def	12.0 hij	12.5 ghij
50-0	2.3 def	26.4 cd	14.3 d	88.0 a	62.0 f	75.0 def	9.7 fgh	11.6 ij	10.7 jk
50-50	2.4 de	27.0 cd	14.7 d	89.0 a	57.0 I	73.0 fgh	8.6 fgh	16.0 fg	12.3 ghij
50-100	2.5 de	25.6 d	14.1 d	89.0 a	58.0 cd	72.0 bc	8.5 efg	16.4 efg	12.5 fghi
50-150	2.2 def	19.8 e	11.0 e	85.0 ab	59.0 hi	81.5 gh	12.8 defgh	21.2 j	17.0 ghij
50-200	1.2 efg	14.0 f	7.6 f	88.0 a	75.0 a	58.5 a	10.8 h	11.0 j	10.9 k
100-0	5.4 bc	30.6 c	18.0 c	67.0 g	50.0 J	67.0 jk	27.6 a	19.4 cde	23.5 b
100-50	3.2 d	24.6 d	13.9 d	76.0 def	58.0 I	77.5 i	20.8 gh	17.4 def	19.1 ghi
100-100	2.1 def	15.2 f	8.6 f	85.1 ab	70.0 C	80.9 bcd	12.9 def	14.8 fghi	13.9 fgh
100-150	2.2 def	12.0 fg	7.1 f	85.0 ab	76.8 cd	84.4 cde	12.8 efg	11.2 j	12.0 ijk
100-200	1.0 fg	8.2 gh	4.6 gh	90.8 a	78.0 cd	55.0 bc	8.2 gh	13.8 ghij	11.0 ijk
150-0	7.8 a	41.6 a	24.7 a	68.0 fg	42.0 k	59.1 k	24.2 ab	16.4 efg	20.3 c
150-50	6.7 ab	37.0 b	21.9 b	71.8 cde	46.4 jk	68.5 j	21.5 b	16.6 defg	19.1 cd
150-100	5.2 c	24.0 de	14.6 d	75.0 def	62.0 ghi	74.2 hi	19.8 fgh	14.0 ghij	16.9 hij
150-150	2.8 d	14.0 f	8.4 f	84.5 abc	64.0 fgh	76.0 fg	12.7 defg	22.0 bc	17.4 de
150-200	2.1 def	11.2 fg	6.7 fg	86.0 ab	66.0 fg	73.7 fg	11.9 defg	22.8 b	17.4 de
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.7 B</b>	<b>17.5 A</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>81.6 A</b>	<b>65.8B</b>	<b>73.7</b>	<b>15.7 B</b>	<b>16.7 A</b>	<b>17.4</b>

Values within columns with same letter are not significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different. Values within rows for within each family with same letter are not significantly ( $***p < 0.05$ ) different.

**Table 3. Average crude protein concentration of legumes, grasses and other plant families related with different fertilizer doses over two years and average crude protein concentration of forage (g kg<sup>-1</sup>) with  $\pm$  S.D.**

Fertilizer treatments P-N	Legumes	Grasses	Others	Average crude protein concentration
0-0	160 $\pm$ 8.0	83 $\pm$ 1.9	115 $\pm$ 2.4	110 $\pm$ 4.2
0-50	160 $\pm$ 1.0	85 $\pm$ 1.6	130 $\pm$ 2.1	105 $\pm$ 3.1
0-100	158 $\pm$ 1.0	87 $\pm$ 0.7	125 $\pm$ 2.9	102 $\pm$ 5.3
0-150	158 $\pm$ 3.0	89 $\pm$ 2.0	119 $\pm$ 3.0	104 $\pm$ 2.7
0-200	159 $\pm$ 2.0	86 $\pm$ 1.7	120 $\pm$ 2.3	103 $\pm$ 2.4
50-0	164 $\pm$ 4.2	81 $\pm$ 3.2	120 $\pm$ 2.8	130 $\pm$ 3.5
50-50	165 $\pm$ 3.8	88 $\pm$ 1.6	130 $\pm$ 3.1	132 $\pm$ 2.9
50-100	163 $\pm$ 4.3	90 $\pm$ 1.4	135 $\pm$ 2.6	128 $\pm$ 3.1
50-150	160 $\pm$ 3.2	93 $\pm$ 0.7	137 $\pm$ 2.2	127 $\pm$ 6.0
50-200	163 $\pm$ 5.1	112 $\pm$ 1.2	140 $\pm$ 3.1	128 $\pm$ 4.2
100-0	165 $\pm$ 3.3	90 $\pm$ 1.3	115 $\pm$ 3.3	138 $\pm$ 3.4
100-50	164 $\pm$ 2.7	89 $\pm$ 1.7	138 $\pm$ 2.7	130 $\pm$ 3.1
100-100	165 $\pm$ 2.6	101 $\pm$ 1.4	140 $\pm$ 3.2	135 $\pm$ 3.7
100-150	167 $\pm$ 4.4	104 $\pm$ 2.4	139 $\pm$ 2.4	137 $\pm$ 2.9
100-200	168 $\pm$ 3.7	108 $\pm$ 2.7	145 $\pm$ 2.7	136 $\pm$ 3.2
150-0	166 $\pm$ 2.9	99 $\pm$ 2.6	120 $\pm$ 3.5	140 $\pm$ 5.3
150-50	169 $\pm$ 3.4	102 $\pm$ 1.4	150 $\pm$ 3.2	139 $\pm$ 4.7
150-100	171 $\pm$ 5.3	110 $\pm$ 2.3	165 $\pm$ 2.3	138 $\pm$ 3.4
150-150	180 $\pm$ 4.7	111 $\pm$ 1.7	169 $\pm$ 2.7	137 $\pm$ 3.8
150-200	184 $\pm$ 3.3	113 $\pm$ 1.3	167 $\pm$ 3.4	135 $\pm$ 2.9

Phosphorus and Nitrogen fertilizer might also affect crude protein concentration of the legume, grasses and other plant families. As the N fertilization doses increased, the crude protein concentration of legumes slightly decreased in P<sub>0</sub> and P<sub>50</sub> treatments (Table 3). However, crude protein concentration of legumes increased with increasing N rate in P<sub>100</sub> and P<sub>150</sub> treatments. The results of experiment also showed that enhanced N and P doses increased crude protein ratio of both grasses and other plant families. The average crude protein concentration decreased compared to the control plots as the N doses increased. The mean crude protein concentration in both years was 110 g kg<sup>-1</sup> for control plots while it decreased to 106, 102, 104 and 103 g kg<sup>-1</sup> for 50, 100, 150 and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> applications, respectively, for P<sub>0</sub> treatments (Table 3). Crude protein concentrations were significantly increased with increasing P fertilizer doses. The maximum crude protein concentration was obtained from P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>0</sub> as 140 g kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3).

Enhanced P and N fertilization doses significantly increased crude protein production (Fig. 2). However at P<sub>150</sub> the crude protein yield was increased. The average crude protein yield was 126.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in control plots and maximum crude protein yield was obtained from P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>200</sub> treatments as 464.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2).

Economical analysis of two years results showed that fertilization with N and P is profitable. The net profit obtained from the treatments can depend on fertilizer doses and net return obtained from the crude protein that converts to meat on the hoof in cow-calf. The P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>200</sub> treatment was most profitable treatment and provide average of 644 € ha<sup>-1</sup> benefit (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3. Net return obtained from different fertilization rate for rangeland.

## Discussion

Phosphorus and nitrogen fertilization significantly affected composition of plants. N fertilization reduced legume proportion, however, P increases the proportion of legume in vegetation and similar results were reported by Sengul (2003); Keane & Allen (1999); Aydin & Uzun (2005). Carene *et al.*, (1984) reported that while the application of 50 and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N increased the root density of legumes, but high doses of N decreased densities of legumes. P fertilizers can increase the proportion of legumes with lower than (50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) doses of N.

Based on the results, the reduction in legume proportion related with increased N could be hardly prevented with 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or higher P application (Table 2). It was assumed that the decreased legume dry weight ratio in relation with N applications was due to higher utilization of N by the grasses. The ability of a certain species to utilize the available resources in prevailing environmental conditions depends on their high and efficient use of environmental conditions. Those species could grow faster. Hence the species with high coverage must have physiological advantage over other species (Bukun, 2004; Bukun & Guler, 2005). Nitrogen fertilizers have positive effect on the growth of grasses. Thus, it can be more competitive than legumes. Legumes are preferentially for forage compared to grasses (Dove, 1996; Wright *et al.*, 2004). These findings agree with the results of previous studies (Carene *et al.*, 1984; Sengul, 2003; Aydin & Uzun, 2004; Wright *et al.*, 2004). Aydin & Uzun (2005) proposed that the decrease of legume proportion due to N application cannot be prevented with 52 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P. However, results of our study showed that 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P would be enough to prevent the reduction in legume proportion. This difference may be related with ecological differences and plant composition. There were considerable differences between years in herbage composition and their dry weight ratio (\*\*p<0.0001).

Dry weight ratios of legumes in 2003 were higher than 2002. Those differences mainly resulted from lower precipitation in first year (Table 1) and especially the effect of fertilization appeared on plant growth in second year. Legumes were better in utilizing P fertilizer and as a result, it can increase their growth. Density of weeds occupying a



certain area depends upon many factors and varies according to season of year (such as precipitation, temperature etc.), type of crops, climatic conditions, soil type, fertilizer level (Nasir & Sultan, 2004).

The dry weight ratio of legumes was 2.1 and 5.7% in control plots in 2002 and 2003, respectively. These ratios increased with 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P fertilizer application to 7.8 and 41.6% in 2002 and 2003, respectively (Table 2). As the P fertilizer doses increased, the legume dry weight proportion also increased, while the dry weight proportion of other plant families decreased (Table 2). These findings are in agreement with the reports of Keane & Allen (1999); Sengul (2003), and Aydin & Uzun (2005). The reasons for lower legume dry weight ratio in our study compared to previous studies might be due to low precipitation (Table 1). In general the growth of legumes are related with available precipitation rate.

Mean crude protein concentration in the forage dry matter of two years was 110 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in control plots close to 120 g kg<sup>-1</sup> reported by Aydin & Uzun (2005). This difference was probably related to lower legume dry weight ratio in the study. Legumes greatly increase the crude protein concentration of forages (Sengul, 2003). When P fertilization was applied alone, crude protein concentration increased because of increase in legume proportion which has high crude protein content. However, as the N rate increased, crude protein concentration of grass and other plant families increased as well (Table 3). Despite, this increase did not increase the crude protein concentration of forage. Moreover, crude protein ratio decreases with increasing N doses. The maximum crude protein concentration was obtained from 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P fertilizer application alone and it continued to decrease with increasing N rate.

Forage that contains 125 g kg<sup>-1</sup> crude protein classified high quality for the animal feeding (Yıldız, 2001). This is the key finding of this study, because previous studies did not use so wide range doses of both N and P fertilizers. The forage obtained from this study for the rate of 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P application was more valuable for the animal production.

Crude protein production and dry matter yield increase with increasing both N and P fertilization. The fertilization with N and P affect the botanical composition of rangeland, thus dry matter yield was affected by change of the plant composition which influences the crude protein yield. The results of treatments of P<sub>0</sub> and P<sub>50</sub> showed that the increased doses of N for 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or more reduced the dry matter yield. However, sharply increases were determined for treatments of P<sub>0</sub> and P<sub>50</sub> at the 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and higher doses of N applications. These result supports the conclusion of compensate effect of P as 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or more doses to tolerate adverse effect of N fertilization on forage yield (Fig. 1).

Crude protein yield of forage on rangeland was linearly increased with increasing N doses for P<sub>0</sub> and P<sub>50</sub> treatments. But this increases was not reached to a level that supply sufficient crude protein content. In contrast, the doses of 100 and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P have sharply increased the crude protein content for 150 and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N applied treatments. The doses of 100 and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P fertilizer positively affect the legume growth and their proportion as a result the protein yield was increased. It can be concluded that high crude protein yield was obtained from increased doses of fertilizer that effects dry matter yield. Higher crude protein yield and dry matter were obtained from P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>200</sub> treatment as 464.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> protein yield and 3407 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> dry matter yield.

Additive phosphorus fertilizer application doses of 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or more can tolerate the adverse effect of nitrogen fertilization on forage quality in respect of protein concentration of forage dry matter. In economic perspective, N applications decrease the benefit of forage while N and P application together increase the net return. The

maximum benefit was obtained from P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>200</sub> treatment. The most profitable treatment was P<sub>150</sub>+N<sub>200</sub> and average of 644 € ha<sup>-1</sup> benefit was obtained from this rate of fertilizer doses.

## References

- Anonymous. 1996. *Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle*. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Nat. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.
- Aydin, I. and F. Uzun. 2005. Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization of rangelands affects yield, forage quality and the botanical composition. *Europ. J. Agron.*, 23: 8-14.
- Bukun, B. 2004. Critical periods for weed control in cotton in Turkey. *Weed Res.*, 44:404-4012.
- Bukun, B. and B.H. Guler. 2005. Densities and importance values of weeds in lentil production. *Int. J Bot.*, 1(1): 15-18.
- Carene, A., R. Rubbina and P.M. Lomio. 1984. Production of natural pastures in Southern Mountaine with different amounts of nitrogen fertilizer. Changes between and within years in the apparent and real grazing level for the le de FranceXApulian merino sheep. *Annali Dell'Instito Sperimentale Per Lo Zootechnia*, 17(1): 1-30.
- Dove, H. 1996. The ruminant, the rumen and the pasture resource: nutrient interaction in the grazing animal. In: *The Ecology and Management of Grazing Systems*. (Eds.): J. Hodgson, A.W. Illius. CAB International, UK, pp 219-246.
- Feyter, C., M.B., O'Conner and B. Addison. 1985. Effects of rates and times of nitrogen application on the production and composition of dairy pastures in Waikato district. *New Zeland Exp. Agric.*, 13: 247-252.
- Frame, J. 1992. *Improved Grassland Management*. Farming Press Books, Ipswich.
- Gillen, R.L. and W.A. Berg. 1998. Nitrogen fertilization of a native grass planting in western *Oklahoma J. Range. Manage.*, 51: 436-441.
- Hubbart, W.A. and J.L. Mason. 1967. Residual effects of ammonium nitrate and ammonium phosphate on some native ranges of British Columbia. *J. Range. Manage.*, 13: 34-36.
- Keane, M.G. and P. Allen. 1999. Effects of pasture fertiliser N level on herbage composition, animal performance and on carcass and meat quality traits. *Livest. Produc. Sci.*, 61: 233-244.
- Nasir, Z.A. and S. Sultan. 2004. Survey of weeds in mustard fields of district Chakwal, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 7: 279-286.
- Pamo, E.T. and S. Yonkeu. 1993. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer in combination with potassium phosphorus on rangeland yield in Cameroon. *Proceed. of XVII Int. Grassland Cong.* pp 55-56.
- Samuel, M.J. and R.H. Hart. 1998. Nitrogen fertilization, botanical composition and biomass production on mixed-grass rangeland. *J. Range. Manage.*, 51: 408-416.
- SAS Institute. 1999. SAS Systems, Version 8. Statistical Analysis Systems Institute, Cary, NC, USA.
- Sengul, S. 2003. Performance of some forage grasses or legumes and their mixtures under dry land conditions. *Europ. J. Agron.* 19: 401-409.
- Tükel, T., V. Tansi, T.Polat, A., Disbudak and E. Hasar. 1997. *Pasture improvement studies of the Taurus montains development project in Turkey*. *Proceed. of the XVIII. Int. Grassland Cong.* Winnipeg, Manitoba Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada, pp 9-10.
- Van Soest, P.J. 1973. *Composition and nutritive value of forages*. The Science of Grassland Agriculture, Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA.
- Wight, J.R. and A.L. Black. 1979. Range fertiliztaion, plant response and water use. *J. Range. Manage*, 32(8): 345-349.
- Wright, A. L., F.M. Hons and F.M. Roquette Jr. 2004. Long-term management impacts on soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics of grazed bermudagrass pastures. *Soil Biol. & Biochemsit.*, 36: 1809-1816.
- Yildiz, G. 2001. Feeding the beef cows. In: *Animal Nutrition and Nutrition-Related Diseases*. (Eds.): A. Ergun, S.D. Tuncer, (in Turkish). Özkan Pres, Ankara, pp. 137-175.