ANALYSIS OF AIRBORNE POLLEN GRAINS IN KONYA, TURKEY, 2005

MUSTAFA KEMAL ALTUNOGLU^{1*}, ERKAN TORAMAN², MEHMET TEMEL², ADEM BICAKCI¹ AND MUSTAFA KARGIOGLU²

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Uludag University, Gorukle, Bursa, Turkey ²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyon, Turkey.

Abstract

In this study, airborne pollen grains of Konya province were investigated using Durham sampler from January to December 2005. A total of 4420 pollen grains/cm² which belonged to 29 taxa and 9 unidentified pollen grains were recorded. From identified taxa, 19 belong to arboreal and 10 taxa to non-arboreal plants. Total pollen grains consist of 87,49% arboreal, 12,31% non-arboreal plants and 0,20% unidentified pollen grains. In the investigated region, from arboreal plant taxa *Pinus* spp. (21,63%), *Fraxinus* spp. (21,13%), Cupressaceae (15,84%), *Ailanthus* spp. (7,47%), *Platanus* spp. (3,80%), *Acer* spp. (3,28%), *Populus* spp. (1,86%), *Sophora* spp. (3,85%) and from non-arboreal plant taxa Chenopodiaceae / Amaranthaceae (4,77%), Poaceae (3,67%) were responsible for the greatest amount of pollen. During the study period, the pollen fall reached its highest level in March.

Introduction

In the past few years, air quality analysis in city centres has taken on an important role in the field of environmental research and prevention (Ballero & Maxia, 2003). Airborne bio-particles include pollen grains from wind-pollinated plant, viruses, bacteria, spores, of fungi, mosses, ferns, fungi hyphal fragments, algae, and other plant fragments (Hasnain et al., 2005). Most of the airborne pollen grains are composed of arboreal and nonarboreal plants and most of them are allergic for human health. Determination of the pollen types and their concentration is very important especially in the atmosphere of highly populated cities. These data prove helpful in the treatment of patients suffering from such diseases. For this reason, studies of the pollen content in the atmosphere of different areas have been carried out by researchers worldwide and Turkey. Finlad (Koivikko et al., 1986), Italy (Romano et al., 1988, Ballero & Maxia, 2003), Poland (Kasprzyk, 1996), Portugal (Abreu et al., 2003), Iberian peninsula (Cariñanos et al., 2004), Croatia (Peternel et al., 2005) and Spain (García-Mozo et al., 2006, Doacmpo et al., 2007) were investigated many countries by researchers in the world. Also in Turkey, Balikesir (Bicakci & Akyalcin, 2000), Isparta (Bicakci et al., 2000a), Burdur (Bicakci et al., 2000b), Rize (Bicakci et al., 2002a), Afyon (Bicakci et al., 2002b), Bursa (Bicakci et al., 2003), Usak (Bicakci et al., 2004a), Edirne (Bicakci et al., 2004b), Izmir (Guvensen & Ozturk, 2002), Bartin (Kaya & Aras, 2004), Bitlis (Celenk & Bicakci, 2005), Sakarya (Bicakci, 2006), Bilecik (Ture & Bocuk, 2008) and Yalova (Altunoglu et al., 2008) were investigated provinces by researchers.

The aims of this study was to determine pollen grains and changes in pollen fall per cm^2 weekly, monthly and annually. The results of this study are expected to be useful for allergist in establishing a right diagnosis.



Fig. 1. Map of Konya Province.

Description of the study area: Konya situated in 36° 41' N, 31° 14' E (Fig. 1) with its population (approximately 2,000,000), the field (40.813,52 km²). Konya is located in the inner Anatolia Region and surrounded with Toros Mountains and its branches in the direction of southeast, south and southwest. Konya have 70 families and 600 taxon belong to 292 genus. The plants determined foristic zone are Irano-Turaian (31%), widespread (22,4%), Mediterranean (14,6%) and Euro-Sberian (3%). Of these 29% is undetermined. Endemizm rate in the region is 13%. The following species are found in Konya: Juniperus excelsa M. Bieb. J. foetidissima Willd., J. oxycedrus L. subsp. oxycedrus Ephedra major Host, Cedrus libani A.Rich. Picea orientalis (L.) Link, Pinus nigra J.F.Arnold. subsp. nigra var. caramanica (Loudon) Rehder, Acanthus hirsutus Boiss., Acer monspessulanum L. subsp. monspessulanum, Acer negundo L., A. platanoides L., Pistacia terebinthus L. subsp. palaestina (Boiss.) Engler, Echinophora tenuifolia L. subsp. sibthorpiana (Guss.) Tutin, Torilis leptophylla (L.) Reichb., Turgenia latifolia (L.) Hoffm. Achillea biebersteinii Afan., A. phrygia Boiss. & Bal., A. wilhelmsii C. Koch., Anthemis tinctoria L. var. Tinctoria, Centaurea balsamita Lam., Berberis crataegina DC., Echium italicum L., Lappula barbata (M. Bieb.) Gürke, Brassica elongata Ehrh., Isatis tinctoria L. subsp. tomentella (Boiss.) P. H. Davis, Malcolmia africana (L.) R. Braga, Sisymbrium altissimum L., Lonicera etrusca Santi var. etrusca, Bolanthus minuartioides (Jaub. & Spach) Hub.-Mor., Cerastium dichotomum L. subsp. dichotomum, Holosteum umbellatum L. var. umbellatum, Helianthemum nummularium (L.) Miller subsp. lycaonicum Coode & Cullen, Scabiosa argentea L., Elaeagnus angustifolia L., Alhagi pseudalhagi (M. Bieb.) Desv., Astragalus angustifolius Lam. subsp. longidens Hub.-Mor. & Matt., A. condensatus Ledeb., Colutea cilicica Boiss. & Bal., Coronilla varia L. subsp. varia, Melilotus officinalis (L.) Desr., Trigonella spruneriana Boiss. var. spruneriana, Quercus pedunculiflora C. Koch., Q. cerris L. var. cerris, Q. coccifera L., Q. robur L.var.robur, Erodium cicutarium (L.) L' Her. subsp. cicutarium, Globularia orientalis L., Juglans regia L., Stachys lavandulifolia Vahl., Teucrium chamaedrys L. subsp. chamaedrys, Wiedemannia orientalis Fisch. & C.A. Mey., Alcea pallida Waldst. & Kit., Malva neglecta Wallr., Morus alba L., M. nigra L., Fontanesia philliraeoides Labill. subsp. philliraeoides, Fraxinus angustifolia Vahl subsp. angustifolia, F. ornus L. subsp. ornus, Jasminum fruticans L., Ligustrum vulgare L., Glaucium corniculatum (L.) J.O.Rudbeck subsp. corniculatum, Plantago lanceolata L., Acantholimon venustum Boiss. var. venus, Polygonum bellardii All., Adonis flammea Jacq., Nigella arvensis L. var. glauca Boiss., Ranunculus arvensis L., Rhamnus petiolaris Boiss., R. oleoides L. subsp. graecus (Boiss. et Reut.) Holmboe., R. rhodopeus Velenovsky., Amygdalus communis L., A. orientalis Miller. Cotoneaster nummularia Fisch. et Mey. Crateagus aronia (L.) Bosc.ex DC., C. monogyna Jacq. subsp. monogyna, Crateagus orientalis Pallas ex Bieb. var.orientalis, Cydonia oblonga Mill., Prunus divaricata Ledeb. subsp. ursina (Kotschy) Browicz., Pyracantha coccinea Roem., Pyrus elaeagnifolia Pall. subsp. elaeagnifolia, Rosa canina L., Populus alba L., P.nigra L.subsp.nigra, Salix alba L., S. caprea L., Verbascum cheiranthifolium Boiss. var. heldreichii Boiss., Ailanthus altissima (Miller) Swingle., Hvoscvamus reticulatus L., Daphne oleoides Schreb., Tilia rubra DC. subsp. caucasica (Rupr.) V. Engl. Celtis tournefortii Lam., Ulmus minor Miller., Juncus inflexus L. Aegilops triuncialis L. subsp. triuncialis, Bromus tectorum L. and Cynodon dactvlon (L.) Pers. (Dural & Ekim, 1984).

Material and Methods

In this study, gravimetric method and Durham sampler were used. The Durham sampler was placed on the roof of an appartment at a height of 15 m above ground level in Alaaddin hill. Slides placed in the Durham sampler were changed weekly. Before exposure, the slides were coated with glycerine jelly mixed with basic-fuchsin (Charpin & Surinyach, 1974). The slides were examined weekly by light microscope. The analysis of the pollen concentration pattern in 2005 was performed using the annual sum of the weekly mean values. The pollen was counted at a magnification of X400, in 11 vertical lines and total daily counts were converted into the number of pollen grains per m² of air. A pollen calendar was prepared on the basis of weekly means for Konya.

Results and Discussion

The number of total pollen grains collected from 1^{st} January to 31^{st} December 2005 using Durham sampler was counted as 4420 pollen grains/m² and 29 taxa were identified. From identified taxa, 19 belong to arboreal (AP) and 10 taxa to non-arboreal plants (NAP). Total pollen grains consist of 3867 (87,49% arboreal, 544 (12,31%) non-arboreal and 9 (0,20%) unidentified pollen grains. (Tables 1-2).

	Total	%
Arboreal (AP)		
Pinus	956	21,63
Fraxinus	934	21,13
Cupressaceae	700	15,84
Ailanthus	330	7,47
Sophora	170	3,85
Acer	168	3,80
Populus	145	3,28
Ostrya	82	1,86
Quercus	79	1,79
Salix	75	1,70
Abies	72	1,63
Ulmus	59	1,33
Juglans	50	1,13
Moraceae	21	0,48
Alnus	8	0,18
Tilia	6	0,14
Ericaceae	6	0,14
Ligustrum	4	0,09
Pistacia	1	0,02
Total AP	3697	87,49
AP %	87,49	
Non Arboreal (NAP)		
Chenopodiaceae/Amaranthaceae	211	4,77
Poaceae	162	3,67
Urticaceae	75	1,70
Xanthium	38	0,86
Mercurialis	25	0,57
Plantago	17	0,38
Apiaceae	7	0,16
Centaurea	5	0,11
Artemisia	3	0,07
Asteraceae	1	0,02
Total NAP	211	4,77
NAP %	12,31	
Unidentified	9	0,20

Table 1. Annual totals of weekly pollen counts for Konya.

In the atmosphere of Konya arboreal pollen grains were dominant. The frequency of arboreal pollen grains generally depends on the distribution and density of the local vegetation and rate of pollen production. According to other studies carried out in Europe, arboreal pollen grains are also dominant in Finland (82,00%) (Koivikko, 1986); Bursa, Turkey (78,61 %) (Bicakci *et al.*, 2003); Ostrowiec Swietokrzyski, Poland (73,00%) (Kasprzyk, 1995); Perugia (71,00%) and Ascoli-Piceno (55,00%) (Romano *et al.*, 1988); Balikesir, Turkey (70,92 %) (Bicakci & Akyalcin, 2000).

Table 2. The highest	t pollen co	oncentrat	ions in co	onsecutiv	e mount	s and the	ir yearly	composi	ition (%)), Konya	, Turke	y.	
Taxa	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Agu.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total
Pinus	ı	ı	ı	1,109	3,416	15,792	1,131	0,181	ı		·	,	21,63
Fraxinus	ı	ı	19,638	0,520	0,882	0,090	ı		ı		ı	·	21,13
Cupressaceae	ı	0,023	7,443	4,299	3,439	0,633					,	,	15,84
Ailanthus	ı	ı	ı	,	0,045	6,855	0,566		·		ı	,	7,47
Chenopodiaceae/Amaranthaceae	'	ı	ı	,	ı	0,136	0,430	1,561	2,421	$0,\!226$	ı	ı	4,77
Sophora	ı	ı	ı		ı	ı	0,113	3,416	0,317	·	ı	ı	3,85
Platanus	ı	ı	ı	3,597	0,204	ı	ı		ı		ı	·	3,80
Poaceae	ı	ı	0,385	0,181	0,588	1,629	0,452	0,136	0,204	0,090	ı	·	3,67
Acer	ı	ı	ı	2,036	1,176	0,068	ı		ı		ı	,	3,28
Populus		·	0,317	1,335	0,204	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1,86
Ostrya	'	ı	ı	1,787	ı	ı	ı	,	ı	·	ı	ı	1,79
Quercus	ı	ı	ı	0,226	1,199	0,271	ı	,	ı	·	ı	ı	1,70
Urticaceae	'	ı	ı	0,814	0,747	0,136	ı		ı		ı	,	1,70
Salix	ı	ı	ı	1,154	0,475	ı	ı		ı		ı	·	1,63
Abies	ı	ı	ı	0,023	0,860	0,158	0,294		ı		ı	,	1,33
Ulmus	'	ı	1,109	0,023	·	ı	·		ı		ı		1,13
Total	ı	0,02	28,89	17,10	13,24	25,77	2,99	5,29	2,94	0,32	ı	ı	96,56
Others	'	ı	0,05	0,41	0,72	0,59	0,34	0,20	0,90	0,02	ı		3,24
Unidentified	'		ı		0,05	0,11	0,05						0,20
Total		0,02	28,94	17,51	14,00	26,47	3,37	5,50	3,85	0,34	ı		100,00

Ostrya Quercus Urticaceae

Salix Abies

Ulmus Total

Acer Populus

Fraxinus

Platanus Sophora

AIRBORNE POLLEN GRAINS IN KONYA, TURKEY

Total

Others

The main pollen producers in the atmosphere of Konya were the following arboreal plants: Pinus spp. (21,63%), Fraxinus spp. (21,13%), Cupressaceae (15,84%), Ailanthus spp. (7,47%), Sophora spp. (3,85%), Platanus spp. (3,80%), Acer spp. (3,28%) and Populus spp. (1,86%). They form 78,86% of the total pollen grains (Table 1-2). From non-arboreal plants taxa Chenopodiaceae/Amaranthaceae (4,77%) and Poaceae (3,67%) were found frequently in the atmosphere of Konya making up 8,44% of the total pollen grains (Tables 1-2). According to the other studies carried out in Europe, the most common pollen producers taxa was found as Acer spp., Cupressaceae, Poaceae, Hamamelidaceae, Pinaceae, *Quercus* spp., Urticaceae in Porto region (Portugal), (Abreu et al., 2003); Pinus spp., Cupressaceae / Taxaceae, Platanus spp., Quercus spp., Ailanthus spp., Moraceae, Chenopodiaceae / Amaranthaceae and Asteraceae in Afyon, Turkey, (Bicakci et al., 2002b); Cupressaceae, Quercus spp., Populus spp., Poaceae spp., Olea spp. Platanus spp., Ulmus spp. and Morus spp. in Toledo, Central Spain, (García-Mozo et al., 2006); Cupressus spp., Platanus spp., Quercus spp., Olae spp., Poaceae, Urticaceae, Artemisia spp.and Chenopodiaceae in Iberian Peninsula (Cariñanos et al., 2004); Alnus spp., Ambrosia spp., Betula spp., Carpinus spp., Poacae, Quercus spp., Taxus / Juniperus and Urticaceae in Croatia (Peternel et al., 2005); Poaceae, Pinus spp., Quercus spp., Cupressaceae / Taxaceae, Salix spp., Platanus spp., Populus spp., Carpinus spp., Fagus spp., Chenopodiaceae / Amaranthaceae, Xanthium spp., Moraceae, Corvlus spp., Fraxinus spp. and Urticaceae in Sakarya, Turkey (Bicakci, 2006); Pinus spp., Olea spp., Platanus spp., Cupressaceae / Taxaceae, Quercus spp., Acer spp., Morus spp., Castanea spp., Corylus spp., Fraxinus spp., Poaceae, Xanthium spp., Chenopodiaceae / Amaranthaceae, Artemisia spp. in Bursa, Turkey, (Bicakci et al., 2003); Cupressaceae, Pinaceae, Urticaceae, Anacardiaceae, Oleaceae and Polygonaceae in Cagliari, Italy, (Ballero & Maxia, 2003).

Monthly variation of arboreal and non-arboreal pollen grains recorded in the atmosphere of Konya is shown in Fig. 2. Arboreal pollen grains are dominant in the springtime, non-arboreal in the summer and autumn (Fig. 2). The earliest pollen grains in the atmosphere of Konva were noted in February. In this month, low amount was recorded for Cupressaceae (0,02%) (Table 2). In March Fraxinus ssp. (19,63%) and Cupressaceae (7,44) were recorded as dominant taxa. Owing to Fraxinus ssp. released high amount of pollen into the atmosphere throughout their pollination period, total pollen grains reached their maximum levels in March (28,94%) (Table 2). The numbers of pollen grains were also high in April, May and June. In April, Cupressaceae (4,30%), *Platanus* spp. (3,60%) and Acer spp. (2,03), in May, Cupressaceae (3,44%) and Pinus spp. (3,42%), in June, Pinus spp. (15,80%) and Ailanthus spp. (6,86%) were recorded as dominant taxa. In July, the amount of pollen was lower than in springtime. This decrease was correlated with the end of the pollination period of many arboreal plants which produce and release high amount of pollen grains into the atmosphere. In July, *Pinus* spp. (1,13%) and in August, *Sophora* spp. (3,42%), Chenopodiaceae / Amaranthaceae (1,56%), In September, Chenopodiaceae / Amaranthaceae (2,42%), in October, Chenopodiaceae / Amaranthaceae (0,23%) were recorded as dominant taxa. In November and in December no pollen grains were recorded (Table 2).

In the other studies, dominant pollen garins were recorded in Toledo, Spain from March to May and also in January (García-Mozo *et al.*, 2006); inland Croatia in April and August (Peternel *et al.*, 2005); in Cagliari, Italy between February and May (Ballero & Maxia, 2003); in Porto, Portugal between the end of March and the first two weeks of April (Abreu *et al.*, 2003); in Bursa, Turkey in April (Bicakci *et al.*, 2003); in Afyon, Turkey in May (Bicakci *et al.*, 2002b); in Balikesir, Turkey May (Bicakci & Akyalcin, 2000).



Fig. 2. Monthly variation in AP (arboreal pollen) and NAP (non-arboreal pollen) in the atmosphere of Konya.

The types of pollen presented in the atmosphere of Konya are shown as a pollen calendar in Figure 3 based on the total counts of pollen grains on weeks / cm^2 in 2005. The following 16 taxa produced the greatest amount of pollen (Table 2, Fig. 3):

Pinus spp: Pollen grains of this genus presented 21,63 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the first week of April (14^{th} week) and ended in the last week of August (34^{th} week). The peak value of the pollen was determined in the third and fourth weeks of June (15,79%) (24^{th} and 25^{th} weeks).

Fraxinus spp.: Pollen grains of this genus presented 21,13 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the first week of March and lasted in the second week of May. The peak value of the pollen was determined in the second week of March (19,64%) (10th week).

Cupressaceae: Pollen grains of these taxa presented 15,84% of total pollen. The pollen season started in the last week of February and ended in the last week of June. The peak value of pollen was determined in the second week of March (7,44%).

Ailanthus **spp.:** Pollen grains of this genus presented 7,47 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the last week of May and lasted upto fourth week of July. The peak value of the pollen was determined in the third and fourth weeks of June (6,86%).

Chenopodiaceae/Amaranthaceae: Pollen grains of this genus presented 4,77 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the last week of June and lasted upto third week of October. The peak value of the pollen was determined between the second week of August and the last week of September (0,43%) (32^{nd} and 38^{th} weeks).



Fig. 3. Pollen calendar of Konya.

Sophora spp.: Pollen grains of this genus presented 3,85 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the last week of July and ended in the last week of September. The peak value of the pollen was determined in the second and third weeks of August (3,42%) $(32^{nd} \text{ and } 33^{rd} \text{ weeks}).$

Platanus spp.: Pollen grains of this genus constituted 3,80% of total pollen in the atmosphere of Konya. The pollen season started in the first week of April and ended in the last week of May. The peak value was noted in the first week of April (3,60%).

Poaceae: Pollen grains of this family presented 3,67% of total pollen. The pollen season started in the second week of March and lasted in the second week of October. The peak value of pollen was determined between the fourth week of May and the first week of July (1,63%) (24th and 26th weeks).

Acer spp.: Pollen grains of this genus presented 3,28 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the first week of April and lasted in the third week of June. The peak value of the pollen was determined between the first and the last week of April (2,04%). (14th and 17^{th} weeks).

Populus spp.: Pollen grains of this genus presented 1,86 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the third week of March and lasted in the first week of May. The peak value of the pollen was determined in the second and third week of April (1,34%) (14^{th}) and 16^{th} weeks).

Ostrya spp.: Pollen grains of this genus presented 1,79 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the first week of April and ended in the last week of April. The peak value of the pollen was determined between the second and the last week of April (1,79%) (15th and 17th weeks).

Quercus spp.: Pollen grains of this genus presented 1,70 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the third week of April and lasted in the second week of June. The peak value of the pollen was determined between the third week of May and the second week of June (1,20%) (20th and 22nd weeks).

Urticaceae: Pollen grains of this genus presented 1,70 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the first week of April and ended in the last week of June. The peak value of the pollen was determined between the last week of April and the first week of May (0,81%) (17th and 18th weeks).

Salix spp.: Pollen grains of this genus were presented 1,63 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the first week of April and ended in the last week of May. The peak value of the pollen was determined in first and third weeks of April (1,15%).

Abies **spp.:** Pollen grains of this family presented 1,33% of total pollen. The pollen season started in the last week of April and ended in the last week of July. The peak value of pollen was determined in the third and fourth weeks of May (0,86%) (20th and 21st weeks).

Ulmus **spp.:** Pollen grains of this genus were presented 1,13 % of total pollen. The pollen season started in the fourth week of March and lasted in the first week of April. The peak value of the pollen was determined in the fourth week of March (1,11%) $(12^{nd}$ week).

Conclusion

Pollen grains of 30 taxa were determined during the pollen season in the atmosphere of Konya, 16 of them formed about 96,56% of the total spectrum (Table 2). In the region investigated pollen grains were recorded all the year round and reached their maximum levels in March. The pollen calendar for this region presented in this study may be useful for allergologists to establish exact diagnosis.

References

- Abreu, I., H. Ribeiro and M. Cunha. 2003. An aeropalynological study of the Porto region (Portugal). *Aerobiologia.*, 19: 235-241.
- Altunoglu, M.K., A. Bicakci, S. Celenk, Y. Canitez, H. Malyer and N. Sapan. 2008. Airborne pollen grains in Yalova, Turkey, 2004. *Biologia*, 63: 658-663.
- Ballero, M. and A. Maxia. 2003. Pollen spectrum variation in the atmosphere of Cagliari, Italy. *Aerobiologia*, 19: 251-259.
- Bicakci, A. and H. Akyalcin. 2000. Analysis of airborne pollen fall in Balıkesir, Turkey, 1996-1997. Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, 7: 5-10.
- Bicakci, A., A. Akkaya, H. Malyer, M. Unlu and N. Sapan. 2000a. Pollen Calendar of Isparta, Turkey. *Israel Journal of Plant Science*, 48: 67-70.
- Bicakci, A., A. Akkaya, H. Malyer, E. Turgut and U. Sahin. 2000b. Airborne pollen grains of Burdur, Turkey. *Acta Botanica Sinica*, 42(8): 864-867.
- Bicakci, A., H. Malyer, S. Tatlidil and A. Akkaya. 2002a. Airborne pollen grains of Rize. *Acta Pharmaceutica Turcica*, 44: 3-9.
- Bicakci, A., S. Ergun, S. Tatlidil, H. Malyer, S. Ozyurt, A. Akkaya and N. Sapan. 2002b. Airborne pollen grains of Afyon, Turkey. *Acta Botanica Sinica*, 44(11): 1371-1375.
- Bicakci, A., S. Tatlidil, N. Sapan, H. Malyer and Y. Canitez. 2003. Airborne pollen grains in Bursa, Turkey, 1999-2000. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*, 10: 31-36.
- Bicakci, A., R.D. Koc, S. Tatlidil and O.N. Benlioglu. 2004a. Analysis of airborne pollen fall in Usak, Turkey. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 36(4): 711-717.
- Bicakci, A., G. Olgun, M. Aybeke, P. Erkan and H. Malyer. 2004b. Analysis of airborne pollen in Edirne, Turkey. *Acta Botanica Sinica*, 46(10): 1149-1154.
- Bicakci, A. 2006. Analysis of airborne pollen fall in Sakarya, Turkey. Biologia, 61(4): 457-461.
- Cariñanos, P., C. Galan, P. Alcázar and E. Domínguez. 2004. Airborne pollen records response to climatic conditions in arid areas of Iberian Peninsula. *Environmental And Experimental Botany*, 52: 11-22.
- Celenk, S. and A. Bicakci. 2005. Aerobiological investigation in Bitlis, Turkey. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*, 12(1): 87-93.
- Charpin, J., and R. Surinyach. 1974. Atlas of European Allergenic Pollen. Sandoz Editions, Paris.
- D'Amato, G. and F.Th.M. Spieksma. 1990. Allergenic pollen in Europe. Grana, 30: 67-70.
- Dural, H. and T. Ekim. 1984. Takkalı Dağları'nın Florası, S.Ü. Fen-Edb. Fak. Fen Dergisi, 3: 183-204.
- Docampo, S., M. Recio, T.M. Trigo, M. Melgar and B. Cabezudo. 2007. Risk of pollen allergy in Nerja (southern Spain): a polen calendar. *Aerobiologia*, 23: 189-199.
- Guvensen, A. and M. Ozturk. 2002. Airborne pollen calendar of Buca–Izmir, Turkey. *Aerobiologia*, 18: 229-237.
- Hasnain, S.M., K. Fatima, A. Al-Frayh and S.T. Al-Sedairy. 2005. One-Year pollen and spore calendars of Saudi Arabia: Al-Khobar, Abha and Hofuf. *Aerobiologia*, 21: 241-247.
- García-Mozo, H., R. Pérez-Badia, F. Fernández-González and C. Galán. 2006. Airborne pollen sampling in Toledo, Central Spain. *Aerobiologia*, 22: 55-66.
- Kasprzyk, I. 1996. Palynological analysis of airborne pollen fall in Ostrowiec Świetokrzyski in 1995. Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, 3: 83-86.
- Kaya, Z. and A. Aras. 2004. Airborne pollen calendar of Bartin, Turkey. Aerobiologia, 20: 63-67.
- Koivikko, A., R. Kupias, Y. Makinen and A. Pohjola. 1986. Pollen seasons: forecasts of the most important allergenic plants in Finland. *Allergy*, 41: 233-242
- Ture, C. and H. Bocuk. 2008. Analysis of airborne pollen grains in Bilecik, Turkey. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.*, DOI 10.1007/s10661-008-0246-1
- Peternel, R., J. Čulig, B. Mitić, I. Hrga and I. Vukuškić. 2005. Airborne pollen specktra at three sites inland Croatia, 2003. *Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica*, 46: 53-59.
- Romano, B., G. Mincigrucci, G. Frenguelli and E. Bricchi. 1988. Airborne pollen content in the atmosphere of central Italy (1982-1986). *Experientia*, 44: 625-629.