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Abstract

Glycyr rhiza uralensis is one of the most widely-used Chinese herbal medicines, and glycyrrhizic acid (GA) is its marker component. However, the GA content in G. uralensis cultivars is generally low. We selected three functional genes involved in GA biosynthetic pathway in G. uralensis, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductase gene (GuHMGR), squalene synthase1 gene (GuSQS1), and β-amyrin synthase gene (GuBAS), and constructed three root-specific expression vectors by gene method, then transformed them into disarmed Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 cells using CaCl2 freeze-thaw method, which was used to infect G. uralensis explants. There were about 13% explants survived in infection and then dedifferentiated to resistant callus. The shoots differentiation rate was only about 7%, but they all successfully took root and finally, about 60% regenerated G. uralensis plantlets survived in transplantation, qRT-PCR was used to analyze the expression level and calculate the copy number of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants. Root-specific over-expression of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes were respectively observed in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants. Thirteen GuHMGR transgenic G. uralensis plants were obtained with the gene copy number of 2, 3, 4, or 5, respectively, six GuSQS1 transgenic G. uralensis plants were obtained with the gene copy number of 2, 3, 4, or 6, respectively, and five GuBAS transgenic G. uralensis plants were obtained with the gene copy number of 3 and 5, respectively. HPLC was used to detect the contents of GA and isoglycyrrhizic acid (IGA) in regenerated G. uralensis plants. It was found that GA and IGA contents were both higher in transgenic plants than that in blank control, and with the increase of copy number of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS both contents were increased. Root-specific over-expression of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes enhances the accumulation of GA and IGA.
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Introduction

With a 3000-year medicinal history, Glycyr rhiza uralensis is believed to be one of the most important herbal medicines in China (Wei et al., 2012). The roots and rhizomes of G. uralensis are widely used in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) for their functions of relieving coughing, nourishing qi, tonifying spleen and stomach, alleviating pain and eliminating phlegm (Anon., 2010), G. uralensis is also frequently used as industrial raw materials. In our previous studies, we investigated the GA contents in more than 1000 G. uralensis cultivars, and found there were only 14% samples, in which the GA content met the requirement of 2.0% regulated in Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China. Therefore, improving the quality of G. uralensis cultivars has become a more and more important issue to satisfy the increasing demand of G. uralensis, which leads to the cultivars becoming the main source of G. uralensis medicinal materials. In our previous studies, we investigated the GA contents in more than 1000 G. uralensis cultivars, and found there were only 14% samples, in which the GA content met the requirement of 2.0% regulated in Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China. Therefore, improving the quality of G. uralensis cultivars has become a more and more important issue to satisfy the increasing demand of G. uralensis.

Chinese government has to impose a strict restriction on the collection and commercial uses of wild G. uralensis, which leads to the cultivars becoming the main source of G. uralensis medicinal materials. In our previous studies, we investigated the GA contents in more than 1000 G. uralensis cultivars, and found there were only 14% samples, in which the GA content met the requirement of 2.0% regulated in Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China. Therefore, improving the quality of G. uralensis cultivars has become a more and more important issue to satisfy the increasing demand of G. uralensis.

To date, the biosynthetic pathway of GA, the mevalonic acid (MVA) pathway, has been clarified (Fig. 1). Three key enzymes, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductase (HMGR), squalene synthase (SQS), and β-amyrin synthase (β-AS), play very important role in this pathway. HMGR is the first rate-limiting enzyme involved in GA biosynthesis (Aquil et al., 2009; Friesen & Rodwell, 2004; Harker et al., 2003; Yang et al., 1991). It catalyzes HMG-CoA and NADPH into MVA, which is an irreversible reaction. SQS situates in a branch point from farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) to triterpenoids and...
plays an important role for regulating carbon flowing to triterpenoids (Lee et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2008; Seo et al., 2005). Up to date, at least two kinds of SQS genes have been found in Arabidopsis thaliana (Kribii et al., 1997; Mirjalili et al., 2011) and Glycyrrhiza glabra (Hayashi et al., 2003). β-AS is responsible for triterpen skeleton formation, it also situates in a branch point to catalyze 2, 3-oxidosqualene into β-amyrin (Hayashi et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2011). Many reports have demonstrated that there is a positive correlation between the accumulation of terpene and the dosage of HMGR (Chappell & Nable, 1987; Dai et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014a), SQS (Liu et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2008), and β-AS genes (Liu et al., 2014b). Although the conclusions of the above researches were mainly obtained from the recombinant yeast and hairy roots systems, they still gave us an important inspiration. Over-expression of the functional genes involved in GA biosynthesis may improve the GA content in G. uralensis cultivars.

In the researches about over-expressing functional genes to control and regulate the metabolite production, the exogenous genes are often expressed in specific tissue by tissue specific promoter (Wang et al., 2015; Xue et al., 2016). Tissue specific expression can not only improve the accumulation of expression product, but also avoid or minimize unwanted effects (Jeon et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015). In our previous study, we found that GA and β-AS gene were only synthesized or expressed in root and rhizome of G. uralensis (Liu Y & Liu CS, 2012).

We have already successfully cloned G. uralensis HMGR (GuHMGR) (Liu et al., 2013a), G. uralensis SQS1 (GuSQS1) (Liu et al., 2013b), and G. uralensis BAS (GuBAS) (Chen et al., 2013) in our previous work. Therefore in this paper, these three functional genes involved in GA biosynthetic pathway were selected as target genes and root-specifically over-expressed in G. uralensis callus cells, and regeneration of the transgenic G. uralensis plantlets was induced. The relationship between over-expression of the three functional genes and the content levels of GA and its epimer, isoglycyrrhizic acid (IGA) was analyzed in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants. This work was intended to facilitate the molecular breeding research of G. uralensis, reveal the molecular mechanisms of GA biosynthesis, and improve the quality of G. uralensis cultivars.

![Fig. 1. The biosynthetic pathway of GA and IGA (MVA pathway) and the functions of HMGR (marked in red), SQS (marked in blue), and β-AS (marked in green). Abbreviations: AACT: acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase, HMGS: hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA synthase, HMGR: 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase; MK: mevalonate kinase, MPK: mevalonate phosphate kinase, MVA: mevalonate, MPD: mevalonate pyrophosphate decarboxylase, IPI: isopentenyl pyrophosphate isomerase, FPPS: farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase, FPP: farnesyl pyrophosphate, SQS: squalene synthase, SE: squaleneepoxidase.](image-url)
Materials and Methods

Cloning of tobacco root-specific promoter TobRB7: Total DNA of tobacco was extracted from approximately 1g fresh plant leaves using plant DNA extraction kit (Beijing Biomed medical technology Co., LTD) and examined by spectrophotometry and electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose gel. Based on Yamamoto’s work (Yamamoto et al., 1991), TobRB7 was amplified. The specific PCR primers for amplifying TobRB7 were as follows: forward primer (FP) was 5′-CCCACCCCGAAAGAATGATT-3′ and reverse primer (RP) was 5′-AATTTTCTCAGAAAAATTGCACC-3′. The PCR cycling parameters were as follows: 94°C for 8min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 40 sec, annealing at 50°C for 40 sec, extension at 72°C for 60 sec; a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The amplified fragment was purified and subcloned into pMD19-T (TaKaRa, Otsu, Shiga, Japan) and transferred into the disarmed E. coli DH5α, and then sequenced for correction.

Construction of the root specific expression vectors: The three exogenous genes, GuHMGR (Liu et al., 2013a), GuSQS1 (Liu et al., 2013b), and GuBAS (Chen et al., 2013), were cloned in our previous work, and recombinant plasmids containing the three genes were kept in E. coli DH5α cells in our laboratory. The three recombinant plasmids were extracted by plasmid extraction kit (Baihui, Beijing Biomed Medical Technology Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) and used as PCR template. And the recombinant pMD19-T vector with a TobRB7 was also extracted and used as PCR template.

Fig. 2a shows the construction strategy of root-specific expression vectors. The plant binary expression vector pCAMBIA1305.1 was used as vector skeleton. TobRB7 was used as promoter for the three exogenous genes, and NOS polyA, which located in pCAMBIA1305.1 vector, was used as terminator. Sequence analysis results did not support the double enzyme digestion method. So effusion method was used to construct root-specific expression vectors. Sph I and Spe I were selected as insertion sites of fusion gene fragments, TobRB7-GuHMGR, TobRB7-GuSQS, and TobRB7-GuBAS. Four consecutive PCR reactions were necessary to obtain these fusion gene fragments. All of the primers used in the four PCR reactions were listed in Table 1. In the first PCR reaction, the recombinant pMD19-T vector with a TobRB7 was used as PCR template, primer No. 1 and No. 3 were used to obtain TobRB7, and the PCR cycling parameters were as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 61°C for 45 sec, and 72°C for 8 min. In the second PCR reaction, recombinant plasmids containing GuHMGR, GuSQS1, or GuBAS genes were used as template, primer No. 4 and No. 5 were used to obtain GuHMGR, GuSQS, and GuBAS, respectively, and the PCR cycling parameters were as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 sec, 50°C for 60 sec, and 72°C for 8 min. In the third PCR reaction, TobRB7 obtained from the first PCR reaction and the three exogenous genes obtained from the second PCR reaction were used as template. Primer No. 3 and No. 4 were used as PCR primers and the PCR cycling parameters were as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 7 cycles of 94°C for 50 sec, 50°C for 60 sec, and 72°C for 100 sec; 72°C for 8 min. In the fourth PCR reaction, production of the third PCR reaction was used as template. Primer No. 2 and No. 6 were used to obtain the fusion gene fragments, and the PCR cycling parameters were as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 sec, 60°C for 60 sec, and 72°C for 120 sec; 72°C for 8 min. All of the amplified fragments were purified and ligated by effusion kit with linearized pCAMBIA1305.1, which was digested at Sph I and Spe I sites.

After construction, the three recombinant plasmids, pCA-TobRB7-HMGR, pCA-TobRB7-SQS, and pCA-TobRB7-BAS, were transferred into the disarmed E. coli DH5α (Beijing Biomed medical technology Co., LTD) by CaCl2 freeze-thaw method, and the volume of recombinant gene product and E. coli solution was 5μL and 50μL, respectively. The transformed cells were cultured in none antibiotics Luria-Bertani (LB) liquid medium on 37°C at 150 rpm for 45 min to recovery cells. And then E. coli cells were cultured on LB solid medium supplemented with 50 mg∙L−1 kanamycin sulfate (Kan) on 37°C for 12 ~ 16 h and the monoclonal colonies were validated strictly by PCR.

Table 1. Primers for construction of the root specific plant expression vectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sequences (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common use</td>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td>CGCCAGGTTTTTTCCGATCGACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td>GAGTCCGATCCTGCAAGCTGCCACCCAGGAAGATGATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 5</td>
<td>GGATAACAATTTCACACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuHMGR</td>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td>GAAAGTCCATTGTCCGACCCGTCTCAAGAAAAATGCCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>GGCTGAGAATGGAAGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 6</td>
<td>TGGATCCGGGTACAGACTAGTGGAGGCTTTCTGTTATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuSQS1</td>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td>ATGCGCTCCAAAATCCCGATTGCTACTAGAAAAATGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>ATGGGAGATTGGAGGCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 6</td>
<td>TGGATCCGGGTACAGACTAGTGGCTTTCTGTTATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuBAS</td>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td>GCTATCTCCAGCCCTCCATGTCCTACTAGAAAAATGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>ATGCGGAGGCTGAAATAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 6</td>
<td>TGGATCCGGGTACAGACTAGTGGAGGCTGAAATAGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. The construction and verification of the three root-specific expression vectors, pCA-TobRB7-SQS, pCA-TobRB7-HMGR, and pCA-TobRB7-BAS. a shows the construction strategy. Sph I and Spe I outlined in red are the insertion sites of the fusion gene fragments. b shows PCR verification results of the three root specific expression vectors (No.1, No.8, and No.15 are DNA markers. No.2 ~ No.7 show the root-specific promoter TobRB7 in the three recombinant plasmids, No.9 shows GuBAS in the recombinant plasmids pCA-TobRB7-BAS, No.10 and No.11 show GuHMGR in pCA-TobRB7-HMGR, and No.12 ~ No.14 show GuSQS1 in pCA-TobRB7-SQS.)
Transformation and culture of recombinant Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105: After amplification in E. coli DH5α, recombinant plasmids, pCA-TobRB7-HMGR, pCA-TobRB7-SQS, and pCA-TobRB7-BAS, were extracted to transform competent A. tumefaciens EHA105. The recombinant plasmids (~50 ng) were mixed with 50 μL competent EHA105 cells solution. Standing 30 min on ice, the mixture was rapidly moved to liquid nitrogen freezing for 5 min, and then immediately transferred to water-bath on 37°C for 3 min. Adding 1 mL Yeast Extract Broth (YEB) liquid medium (no antibiotics) to this mixture, the transformed EHA105 was cultured at 28°C, 200 rpm for 3 ~ 5 h to recover cells. The EHA105 was then selected on YEB solid medium supplemented with 50 mg·L⁻¹ Kan and 20 mg·L⁻¹ hygromycin (Hyg) and validated strictly by PCR. Growth condition was set at 28°C with a constant shaking speed of 180 rpm. When optical density (OD) of the suspension cultures of A. tumefaciens EHA105 was up to 0.7 at 600 nm, the A. tumefaciens EHA105 cells were collected by centrifugation and suspended in same cubage of liquid Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Murashige & Skoog, 1962) and then used for plant transformation.

Transformation, induction, selection, and transplantation of regenerated transgenic G uralensis plants: The G uralensis seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated on MS solid medium in 16 h light/8 h dark. Then hypocotyls of 7-day-old seedlings were collected and floated in above-mentioned MS liquid medium containing A. tumefaciens EHA105 cells and incubated for 20 min at 28°C. After infection, the explants were co-cultured on solid MS medium in dark at 25°C for 48 hours, and then transferred onto solid MS medium with 1.0 mg·L⁻¹ 2, 4-D, 0.5 mg·L⁻¹ 6-BA, 50 mg·L⁻¹ Kan, 20 mg·L⁻¹ Hyg, and 500 mg·L⁻¹ cefotaxim sodium (Cef) to eliminate A. tumefaciens EHA105 and induce resistant callus. The resistant callus was transferred onto solid MS medium with 0.5 mg·L⁻¹ 6-BA, 0.1 mg·L⁻¹ NAA, and 20 mg·L⁻¹ Hyg and cultured at 25°C with a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod for shoot organogenesis. The shoots were then cultured on solid 1/2MS medium with 0.6 mg·L⁻¹ IAA for root organogenesis. The rooted plantlets growing in bottles were then transferred to matrix material (50% vermiculite + 50% sandy soil) and irrigated by nutrient solution (1/10 MS inorganic and organic substance). After about 1~2 months, they were transplanted to the herb garden in Beijing University of Chinese Medicine. The regenerated transgenic G uralensis plants were further examined by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR).

DNA isolation and copy number analysis: Genomic DNA from regenerated transgenic G uralensis plants was isolated using plant DNA extraction kit (Beijing Biomed medical technology Co., LTD). qRT-PCR was used to determine the copy number of GuHMGR, GuSQS1 and GuBAS genes in regenerated transgenic G uralensis plants. Lectin was selected as an internal standard gene for its intra-non-specific, inter-specific and constant copy number characteristics (Liu et al., 2014c). In this work, we obtained Lectin by PCR from total DNA of G uralensis root. The primer pairs for amplifying Lectin were as follows: 5′-AAGAACGTCTTCAAGTCCACA-3′, 5′-GCTATGAGGTTTCACGAAGA-3′. The cycling parameters of PCR were: 94°C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 94°C for 50 s, 57°C for 50 s, 72°C for 50 s; a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The construction of standard recombinant plasmids containing GuHMGR, GuSQS1, GuBAS, and Lectin genes, the drawing of standard curves, the calculation of copy numbers of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes were completely based on the description in our previous report (Liu et al., 2014c). The specific primer pairs used in qRT-PCR are listed in Table 3. The cycling parameters of qRT-PCR were as follows: 95 °C for 10 min; 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 60 sec, saving at 4°C.

RNA isolation and relative expression level analysis: RNA was extracted from roots of control and regenerated transgenic G uralensis plants using RNAprep Plant Kit (TIANGEN Biotech (Beijing) Co., Ltd.), and DNA contamination was removed with DNaseI (TaKaRa) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Lectin was used as reference gene (Liu et al., 2014c). The specific primer pairs of qRT-PCR are given in Table 2. qRT-PCR cycling parameters were as follows: 95°C for 3 min; 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 57°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 50 sec. Each sample was parallelly measured for three times. Initial data were classed to two groups for T-test. Two independent sample T-test and Dunnett’s T-test were applied in comparing the significance between regenerated transgenic G uralensis samples and blank control. α value in this test was 0.05.

Assay of GA and IGA in the regenerated transgenic G uralensis plants: The fresh root of each annual regenerated transgenic G uralensis plant was collected and dried to a constant weight, respectively. Then 0.1 g powder of each sample was extracted with ultrasonic by 50 mL 50% methanol for 30 min in 50 mL volumetric flask. Samples were filtered by 0.45 μm filter membrane before high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. An individual stock solution of the standard compounds IGA (purity: 90.8%) and GA (purity: 98%) was prepared separately at a concentration of 0.010704 mg·mL⁻¹ and 0.2164 mg·mL⁻¹ in 50% methanol. The calibration curves were prepared at six concentration levels as 1 μL, 2 μL, 5 μL, 10 μL, 15 μL, and 20 μL.

An Agilent HPLC 1100 system (Agilent Technologies Inc, California, USA) consisted of a G1311A pump, a multisolvant delivery system, and a 6000LP ultraviolet (UV) detector was used to detect GA and IGA contents in each sample. The column was Agela Durashell C18-AM (250 mm×4.6 mm, 5μm, Bonna-Agela Technologies Inc, Tianjin, China). The column temperature was 50°C. The mobile phase consisted of 10 mol·L⁻¹ ammonium perchlorate (A) and methyl alcohol (B) was applied to the gradient elution of 48% A/52% B. The UV detection wavelength was at 250 nm, the flow rate was 0.8 mL·min⁻¹ and the injection volume was 20 μL. The precision, repeatability, stability, recovery, were also investigated. Because HPLC data was non-normality hence nonparametric test was used to analyze the difference between different groups, α value in this test was 0.05, too.
Table 2. Primer pairs for relative expression level analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cDNA</th>
<th>Forward primer (FP)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (RP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GuHMGR</td>
<td>GATGCGGCAGTGACGGTAA</td>
<td>GCAATCCCTCCAAGGACTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuSQS1</td>
<td>TTTCCGACTCTGCTATTCA</td>
<td>GTAAGAGTACGACCTCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuBAS</td>
<td>CACCATGTTTTGTACTGCAC</td>
<td>AATCCACTTTTTGACTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lectin</td>
<td>AAGAACGTCCTTCAAGTCCCAA</td>
<td>GCTATGGAGGCTTCCACGAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Primer pairs for copy number determination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Forward primer (FP)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (RP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GuHMGR</td>
<td>GATGCGGCAGTGACGGTAA</td>
<td>GCAATCCCTCCAAGGACTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuSQS1</td>
<td>ATGGCTGATAGGAGTTG</td>
<td>CATAATGCCAGTGTTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuBAS</td>
<td>CACCATGTTTTGTACTGCAC</td>
<td>AATCCACTTTTTGACTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lectin</td>
<td>AAGAACGTCCTTCAAGTCCCAA</td>
<td>GCTATGGAGGCTTCCACGAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. PCR verification result of recombinant *A. tumefaciens* EHA105. a ~ d respectively shows TobRB7, GuHMGR, GuBAS, and GuSQS1 genes in recombinant *A. tumefaciens* EHA105.

Fig. 4. Pictures of *G. uralensis* at different stages of transformation and regeneration. a shows the resistant callus after 2 weeks of culture, b shows shoot formation after 40 days of differentiation culture, c shows the strong roots after 50 days of rooting culture, d shows the healthy transgenic regenerated seedlings growing in the bottle, e shows the transgenic regenerated seedlings transplanted into 50% vermiculite and 50% sandy soil, and f shows transgenic *G. uralensis* plants transplanted to outdoor one year later.
Results

Cloning of tobacco root-specific promoter TobRB7: An approximate 730 bp fragment was obtained by PCR. The further sequencing result confirmed that the 721-bp fragment was tobacco root-specific promoter TobRB7. Blasting in GenBank (National Center for Biotechnology Information, USA), the result showed that sequence identity of this fragment and TobRB7 (Accession Number: JN656422) was 99%.

Construction of the root-specific expression vectors: According to the construction strategy described in Fig. 2a, three root-specific expression vectors were obtained. Fig. 2b shows the PCR verification results of the three root-specific expression vectors. Electrophoretic bands No.2 ~ No.7 show the 730 bp promoter TobRB7 in the three recombinant plasmids, band No.9 shows a 2289 bp GuBAS gene in the recombinant plasmid pCA-TobRB7-BAS, band No.10 and No.11 show a 1745 bp GuHMGR gene in pCA-TobRB7-HMGR, and band No.12 ~ No.14 show a 1239 bp GuSQS1 gene in pCA-TobRB7-SQS. Further sequencing results confirmed that the construction of the root-specific expression vectors was correct. By transformation experiments, the transgenic A. tumefaciens EHA105 was obtained and PCR and sequencing results validated strictly the correction of the positive clone. Fig. 3 shows PCR verification result of recombinant A. tumefaciens EHA105.

Induction, selection, culture, and transplantation of the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants: Fig. 4 shows the different stages of transformation and regeneration of G. uralensis. There were about 13% explants survived in infection and then differentiated to resistant callus. Resistant callus (Fig. 4a) was obtained on solid MS medium in dark after two weeks of culture, and then transferred onto differential medium for shoots organogenesis. After about 40 days, regenerated shoots were induced and obtained (Fig. 4b). However, the shoots differentiation rate was very low, only about 7%. Strong shoots were then transferred onto rooting medium for root organogenesis. After about 50 days, strong and healthy roots were induced and got (Fig. 4c), and the root differentiation rate was 100%. After 2~4 weeks of culture, strong seedlings growing in bottles (Fig. 4d) were transplanted (Fig. 4e). One year later, healthy transgenic G. uralensis plants (Fig. 4f) were got. In the total transfer process, approximate 40% plantlets were dead. Surviving plantlets were well adapted to soil environment, there were no plant diseases, insect pests or degradation occurred. Ultimately, 3 control regenerated G. uralensis plants without infected by recombinant A. tumefaciens EHA105, 13 regenerated G. uralensis plants over-expressing GuHMGR gene root-specifically, 6 regenerated G. uralensis plants over-expressing GuSQS1 gene root-specifically, and 5 regenerated G. uralensis plants over-expressing GuBAS gene root-specifically were obtained.

Copy number of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants: PCR and sequencing results confirmed that the standard plasmids of qRT-PCR were correct. Melting curve analysis confirmed that the qRT-PCR primers of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, GuBAS, and Lectin were specific. qRT-PCR standard curves of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, GuBAS, and Lectin were Y = -3.4581 X + 40.472 (R² =0.999), Y = -3.3914 X + 40.479 (R² = 0.998), Y = -3.1005 X + 38.171 (R² = 0.996), and Y = -3.0537 X + 36.164 (R² = 0.999), respectively. Among the 13 regenerated GuHMGR transgenic G. uralensis plants, 3 samples had 2 copies, 6 samples had 3 copies, 2 samples had 4 copies, 1 sample had 5 or 6 copies of GuHMGR gene. Among the 6 regenerated GuSQS1 transgenic G. uralensis plants, 2 samples had 2 or 3 copies, 1 sample had 4 or 6 copies of GuSQS1 gene. Among the 5 regenerated GuBAS transgenic G. uralensis plants, 4 samples had 3 copies of GuBAS gene, and 1 sample had 5 copies of GuBAS gene.

Relative expression level of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants: The relative expression levels of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants are shown in Fig. 5. It was found that GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes expressed normally in all of the transgenic G. uralensis plants. T-test results showed that the relative expression level of exogenous gene in transgenic plants was significantly different from which in the blank control (T = 2.71, p<0.05). According to Dunnett’s T-test results, the relative expression level of GuHMGR gene in samples with 2 copies of GuHMGR (H1, H5, and H10) was not significant compared to blank control (p>0.05), and in samples with 3, 4, and 6 copies of GuHMGR (H2, H3, H4, H6, H7, H8, H11, H12, H13) was significantly higher (p<0.05) than that in blank control (Fig. 5a). Sample H9 with 5 copies of GuHMGR had the highest expression level. The relative expression level of GuBAS gene in sample with 5 copies of GuBAS (B2) was significantly higher (p<0.05) than that in blank control (Fig. 5b). And the relative expression level of GuSQS1 gene in samples with 2 copies of GuSQS1 (S3 and S6) was not significant (p>0.05) compared to that in blank control (Fig. 5c), while in samples with 3, 4, or 6 copies of GuSQS1 showed significant difference (p<0.05, Fig. 5c).

GA and IGA contents in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis plants: Fig. 6a shows the HPLC chromatograms of reference substances (No. 1, marked in red), blank control (No. 2, marked in green), and one of the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis samples (No. 3, marked in purple). The retention time of IGA and GA is 14.100 min and 15.458 min, respectively. The contents of GA and IGA in each sample are showed in Fig. 6b ~ 6d. Blue indicates that the copy number of exogenous gene in the sample is two, green is three, purple is four, yellow is five, and red is six. It was found that the contents of GA and IGA in all of the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis samples were both higher than that in the blank control. And nonparametric test results (Table 4) showed that the difference between the contents of GA and IGA in the regenerated transgenic G. uralensis samples and in the blank control were statistically significant. It was also found that with the increase of copy number of GuHMGR, GuSQS1, and GuBAS, the contents of GA and IGA were both increased. Among the 13 samples over-expressing GuHMGR gene root-specifically,
the contents of GA (2.92%) and IGA (0.21%) were both the highest in sample H4 which had 6 copies of *GuHMGR* gene. Among the 6 samples over-expressing *GuSQS1* gene root-specifically, the contents of GA (3.04%) and IGA (0.23%) were both the highest in sample S1 which had 6 copies of *GuSQS1* gene. And among the 5 samples over-expressing *GuBAS* gene root-specifically, the contents of GA (2.39%) and IGA (0.16%) were both the highest in sample B2 which had 5 copies of *GuBAS* gene.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we obtained 13, 6, and 5 regenerated *G. uralesis* plants that root-specifically over-expressed *GuHMGR*, *GuSQS1*, and *GuBAS*, respectively. These regenerated transgenic *G. uralesis* plants contained different copy number of *GuHMGR*, *GuSQS1*, and *GuBAS* genes. We found that the contents of GA and IGA were both higher in the regenerated transgenic *G. uralesis* plants than that in blank control, and with the increase of the gene copy number, the contents were also increased. The highest GA and IGA contents in transgenic *G. uralesis* plants were about 3.1 times and 2.9 times higher than in the blank control, respectively. Biologically, the difference in gene copy number and expression level can lead to variations of enzyme content and finally affect the relevant products formation. Accordingly, with the increase of *GuHMGR*, *GuSQS1*, and *GuBAS* gene copy numbers, the content of corresponding enzymes also increase, resulting in the accumulation of GA and IGA. At the same time, the expression levels of the three genes in these transgenic *G. uralesis* plants were all higher than that in blank control, which also led to the higher accumulation of GA and IGA in the transgenic *G. uralesis*. The findings of this paper were intended to facilitate the molecular breeding of *G. uralesis* and improve the contents of GA and IGA in *G. uralesis* cultivars.

In other reports, Lu et al. placed the *GuSQS1* gene under a CaMV 35S promoter and transferred it into *G. uralesis* by using *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* strain ACCC10060 (Lu et al., 2008). Three transgenic hairy root lines were obtained and the HPLC analysis demonstrated that the highest GA content was about 2.6 times higher than the control hairy roots, which proved that *GuSQS1* over-expression enhanced GA accumulation (Lu et al., 2008). In our previous studies, we also wanted to reveal the effect of functional genes on the MVA pathway. We cloned *GuSQS1* and *GuHMGR* genes, over-expressed them in *Pichia pastoris* GS115, and obtained 12 recombinant *P. pastoris* strains containing different copy number of *GuSQS1* and *GuHMGR* genes. The HPLC analysis demonstrated that with the increase of copy number of *GuSQS1* and *GuHMGR* genes, the content of ergosterol showed an increasing-decreasing-increasing pattern, which also proved that copy number variations (CNVs) of functional genes influenced the secondary metabolite production in MVA pathway (Liu et al., 2014a; Liu et al., 2015). However, the conclusions of the above researches were drawn in hairy root system or recombinant yeast system. Although these reports affirm the effect of functional genes on metabolite production in MVA pathway, it still needs further studies on plant level to reveal the impact of functional gene over-expression on GA biosynthesis.

GA and IGA are a pair of epimers, differed only in the C18-H. In *Chinese Pharmacopoeia* GA is the stipulated marker component of *G. uralesis*. However, recent researches have demonstrated that IGA has a better lipotropy, a stronger anti-inflammatory activity, a higher

---

**Fig. 5.** Quantitative real-time RT-PCR transcription profile analysis of *GuHMGR*, *GuBAS*, *GuSQS1*. All RNA samples were isolated from fresh root of transgenic *G. uralesis* plants. Data are presented as the averages of three independent experiments conducted in triplicate. *Lectin* was used as reference gene. Error bars show standard deviations. * indicates the T test results. ** represents p<0.01, and * represents p<0.05. a ~ c shows the relative expression levels of *GuHMGR*, *GuBAS*, and *GuSQS1* in transgenic *G. uralesis* plants, respectively.
targeting and fewer adverse reactions comparing with GA (Chen et al., 2014; Cheng et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2006), it gradually becomes a new evaluation index of high quality G. uralensis. Thus in this paper we also analyzed the content of IGA and found a positive correlation between the contents of IGA and GA. In our future studies we will further investigate the molecular mechanism of the formation of the important epimers, GA and IGA. And we intensely believe that GuBAS gene is the key entry point.

**Conclusion**

These transgenic G. uralensis plants had different copy number of GuHMG, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes, and their contents of GA and IGA were both higher than that in the blank control. Moreover, with the increase of the gene copy number, both the GA and IGA contents were increased. Therefore, root-specific over-expression of GuHMG, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes can enhance the accumulation of GA and IGA.

Fig. 6. HPLC analysis results. a shows the HPLC chromatograms of reference substances (No.1, marked in red), blank control (No.2, marked in green) and sample S1 root-specifically over-expressing GuSQS1 gene (No.3, marked in purple). The retention time of GA and IGA is 15.458 and 14.100, respectively. b – d show the contents of GA and IGA in blank control and samples root-specifically over-expressing GuHMG, GuSQS1, and GuBAS genes, respectively.
Table 4. The nonparametric test results based on the contents of GA and IGA in the regenerated transgenic

**G. uralensis** plants and blank control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Z value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Between all of the regenerated transgenic <em>G. uralensis</em> plants and blank control</td>
<td>-2.7397</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between samples root-specifically over-expressing <em>GualHMG</em> and blank control</td>
<td>-2.5562</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between samples root-specifically over-expressing <em>GualSQS1</em> and blank control</td>
<td>-2.1947</td>
<td>0.0297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between samples root-specifically over-expressing <em>GualBAS</em> and blank control</td>
<td>-2.1123</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGA</td>
<td>Between all of the regenerated transgenic <em>G. uralensis</em> plants and blank control</td>
<td>-2.7751</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between samples root-specifically over-expressing <em>GualHMG</em> and blank control</td>
<td>-2.5926</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between samples root-specifically over-expressing <em>GualSQS1</em> and blank control</td>
<td>-2.2226</td>
<td>0.0131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between samples root-specifically over-expressing <em>GualBAS</em> and blank control</td>
<td>-2.0995</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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