# ECTOMYCORRHIZAE OF HIMALAYAN ALDER (*ALNUS NITIDA*) FROM THE MOIST TEMPERATE FORESTS OF PAKISTAN

# MUHAMMAD HANIF<sup>1\*</sup> AND ABDUL NASIR KHALID<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, GC University, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Institute of Botany, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan \*Corresponding author's email: dr.mhanif@gcu.edu.pk

## Abstract

The roots of the Himalayan alder were sampled from the rhizosphere and were investigated for ectomycorrhizal association. We described 11 ectomycorrhizal species viz; *Amphinema* sp. MHBYS-01, *Helvella* sp. MHELA-02, *Hydnobolites* sp. MHCER-02, *Inocybe* sp. MHNIT-01, *Inocybe* sp. MHNIT-02, *Inocybe* sp. MHOBL-01, *Peziza michelii*, *Sebacina* sp. MHAFE-01, *Tomentella* sp. MHSTU-01, *Tomentella* sp. MHSUB-01 and *Tylospora* sp. MHTYL-01 based on molecular phylogeny by using the Maximum Likelihood criterion. Some of the ectomycorrhizal morphotypes are also being described here on a morpho-anatomical basis.

Key words: Clade, Dichotomous, Khanspur, Pseudoparenchymatous, rDNA-ITS

#### Introduction

*Alnus* Mill. comprises approximately 28-44 species and are widely distributed in the boreal and temperate zone of the Northern hemisphere (Rochet *et al.*, 2011). From Pakistan, only the *Alnus nitida* is reported from the Himalayan forests of Pakistan. It is native to the Himalayas and west Nepal.

A. nitida has many uses; decoction of its bark is used for the treatment of swellings and body pains (Gamble, 1972), tannin from the bark is used in dyeing. Its wood is used for construction, timber, firewood, as street tree and furniture (Gupta, 1945; Gamble, 1972; Khan *et al.*, 2020). A. nitida has been used as fodder (Rana *et al.*, 2019), medicinal, construction, furniture, fencing, roofing, utensils (Ahmad *et al.*, 2009), A. nepalensis used to treat Urination with bleeding in livestock and its extract is given orally (Nand and Naithani, 2018) and A. nitida has also been used as a pain reliever and has pain reducing the potency of catkin and cone extracts (Nagina & Ibrar, 2018).

Ectomycorrhizal status of the *Alnus* has been previously proved by a number of studies (Trappe 1964; Molina 1979; Miller *et al.*, 1991, 1992; Pritsch 1996, Pritsch *et al.*, 2000; Becerra *et al.*, 2005; Tedersoo *et al.*, 2009; Ostonen *et al.*, 2009; Kennedy and Hill 2010; McBurney *et al.*, 2017; Thiem *et al.*, 2018; Kilpeläinen *et al.*, 2019).

Alder (*Alnus*) belongs to the birch family Betulaceae. This genus is distributed throughout the north temperate zone. From Pakistan, only *Alnus nitida* (Himalayan alder) is found along the western Himalayas. This host plant remained unexplored for their belowground ectomycorrhizal partners from this region. There was only one report about the ectomycorrhizal evidence of *Alnus nitida* from Pakistan (Ashraf *et al.*, 2012). They described and illustrated ectomycorrhizae of *Peziza michelli*. In the present work additional 11 ectomycorrhizal morphotypes have been identified, described and illustrated with this photobiont.

## **Material and Methods**

**Sampling of ectomycorrhizae:** The Himalayan alder (*Alnus nitida*) roots were sampled from Ayubia National Park, KPK. Ectomycorrhizal roots were collected, vouchered and wrapped in polythene bags. The

morphotypes of *A. nitida* were manually sorted after removing the soil particles from the surface of the morphotypes and kept in 2% CTAB buffer for DNA extraction and in distilled autoclaved  $H_2O$  for morphoanatomic characterization. The selected morphotypes were characterized morpho-anatomically following Agerer (2002). Morphological and anatomical characterization of the ectomycorrhizal system was carried out under stereo and compound microscopes, photographed and illustrations were made with the help of Camera Lucida.

Molecular characterization: For molecular characterization, DNA was extracted from the selected morphotypes using the modified CTAB method given by Gardes & Bruns (1993). Amplification of the extracted DNA was performed using fungal-specific and universal primers (ITS1F, ITS1 and ITS4). Hot-start enzyme JumpStart (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) was used to catalyze the PCR with 2 min at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C,  $30 \text{ s at } 53^{\circ}\text{C}, 40 \text{ s} + 5 \text{ s per cycle at } 72^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{ and finishing with}$ 5 min at 72°C. The PCR products were purified with QIAquick (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA), sequenced bidirectionally using the reverse and forward primers and BigDye 3.1 on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and edited in sequencher 4.5 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) in Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, UK. The DNA sequences were submitted to BLAST and used to query the nucleotide collection using default settings. The divergence in rDNA-ITS was measured by comparing sequence pairs reconstructed by using MegAlign (DNASTAR). DNA sequences obtained from A. nitida morphotypes were submitted in GenBank. These sequences were manually edited using MacClade 4.08 and Bioedit (version 7.0.9).

### Results

### Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01 Plate 01 (Figs 1. A-E)

**Morphological characters:** ECTOMYCORRHIZAL SYSTEM simple to irregular, axis 30×50-1.0×1.0mm, UNRAMIFIED ENDS dichotomously branched, club-shaped, 3.0×5.0-1.0×1.0mm, young tips skin colored

while oxblood red at maturity. Texture smooth with a matte luster, no visibility of host tissue beneath the mantle sheath. EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, off-white in color, RHIZOMORPHS not observed.

Anatomical characters of mantle: MANTLE transitionally pseudoparenchymatous, OUTER MANTLE plectenchymatous, with irregularly arranged and densely packed hyphae (mantle type B; Agerer, 2006), up to 11.0-11.7µm in diameter, contents clear, pale yellow in color, septa and clamps absent, hyphal junctions absent, matrix material not visible. INNER MANTLE transitionally parenchymatous, hyphae intermingled with epidermoid cells, 8.0-14.0µm in length while 8.0-9.0µm in width, light brown in color, contents clear, ornamentation absent, matrix material not visible.

Anatomical characters of emanating elements: EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, transparent, straight, up to 2.1-2.4 $\mu$ m in diameter, contents clear, ornamentation absent, frequently septate, clamped, branching not observed.

## Helvella sp. MHELA-02 Plate 01 (Figs. 2A-F)

**Morphological characters:** ECTOMYCORRHIZAL SYSTEM simple to irregularly dichotomous, main axis  $7.0 \times 10-0.5 \times 0.5$  mm, UNRAMIFIED ENDS bulbous to club-shaped,  $2.5 \times 3.0-1.0 \times 1.0$  mm, tips light brown to beige in color. Texture of system smooth with a matte luster, host tissue not visible beneath the mantle sheath. Main axis of the Ectomycorrhizal system covered in a dense matte of short pointed echine like structures. EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, concentrated around the tips, white in color. RHIZOMORPHS not observed.

Anatomical characters of mantle: MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, OUTER MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous with densely packed irregular to rectangular cells (mantle type K; Agerer, 2006), cells  $4.0 \times 4.7$  µm in width while  $6.1 \times 6.8$ µm in length. Cells pale yellow, contents clear, no matrix material, ornamentation absent. INNER MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous (type K; Agerer, 2006), cells irregular to angular,  $3.8 \times 4.6$ µm in width while  $5.9 \times 7.1$ µm in length, contents clear, no matrix material.

Anatomical characters of emanating hyphae and Echines: EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, straight, hyaline, up to  $1.8-2.1\mu$ m in diameter, thin walled, moderately septate, clampless, contents clear, ornamentation absent. ECHINE LIKE STRUCTURES on the main axis, 47.2-94.4 $\mu$ m in length, apex 2.5-2.6 $\mu$ m, mid 3.3-3.5 $\mu$ m and base 5.4-5.6 $\mu$ m in width, contents clear, encrusted with gelatinous material.

## Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01 Plate 01 (Figs. 3A-F)

Morphological characters: ECTOMYCORRHIZAL SYSTEM simple to irregular, axis 10.0×15.0-0.5×1.0mm, **UNRAMIFIED** ENDS simple to irregular, 5.0×7.0-0.5×1.0mm, color of system is rusty-brown; texture of system is coarsely grainy with a matte luster. No visibility of host tissue beneath the mantle sheath. EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, light brown, RHIZOMORPHS not observed.

Anatomical characters of mantle: MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, OUETR MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, with tightly packed angular cells (mantle type: K; Agerer, 2006), upto 7.9-8.9 $\mu$ m in length and 8.0-11.4 $\mu$ m in width, pale yellow in color, contents clear, matrix material absent. INNER MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, densely packed angular cells, pale yellow to hyaline in color, contents clear, matrix material not observed.

**Anatomical characters of emanating elements:** EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, of two distinct types.

Type 1 is straight, dark brown in color, up to 2.1- $3.2\mu m$  in width, thin-walled, rarely septate, clamps present, ramification present; contents clear except a few oil globules present, ornamentation absent.

Type 2 is straight to wavy, hyaline, up to  $10.0-11.1\mu m$  in width, thin-walled, rarely septate, clamps absent, contents clear, thickly ornamented with echines, ramification present.

## Sebacina SP. MHAFE-01 Plate 01 (Figs. 4A-F)

**Morphological characters:** ECTOMYCORRHIZAL SYSTEM simple to irregular, axis  $25.0 \times 35.0 - 0.5 \times 1.0$ mm, UNRAMIFIED ENDS simple to bulbous,  $3.0 \times 7.0 - 1.0 \times 1.0$ mm, young tips light skin to beige in color while dark at maturity. Texture of system smooth with a matte luster. Host tissue not visible beneath the mantle sheath. EMANATING HYPHAE present, RHIZOMPORPS not observed.

Anatomical characters of mantle: MANTLE plectenchymatous, OUETR MANTLE plectenchymatous with irregularly arranged hyphae (mantle type B; Agerer, 2006), up to  $3.1-5.1\mu$ m in width, hyaline, some hyphae terminally branched, contents clear, septa present, clamps absent, hyphal junctions absent, matrix material not visible. INNER MANTLE plectenchymatous, hyphae up to  $3.2-4.7\mu$ m in width, contents clear, hyaline, septate, clamps absent, hyphal junctions absent, matrix material not visible.

Anatomical characters of emanating elements: EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, densely surrounding the ectomycorrhizal system. Two distinct types of emanating hyphae present.

Type 1 light brown, up to  $5.7-6.1\mu m$  in width, straight to wavy, thick-walled, rarely septate, unbranched, encrusted with gelatinous material, contents clear.

Type 2 hyaline, up to  $1.8-2.1\mu m$  in width, straight, thin-walled, moderately septate, clamps present, ornamentation absent, contents clear.

#### Tomentella sp. MHSTU-01 Plate 01 (Figs. 5A-F)

**Morphological characters:** ECTOMYCORRHIZAL SYSTEM simple to irregular, axis  $7.0 \times 11.0 - 0.5 \times 1.0$ mm, UNRAMIFIED ENDS simple,  $2.0 \times 4.0 - 0.5 \times 1.0$ mm, tips black in color, texture of system coarse with a matte luster. Host tissue not visible beneath the mantle sheath. EMANATING HYPHAE present, concentrated on tips. RHIZOMORPHS present.



Fig. 1. A-E. Morpho-anatomical features of *Amphinema* sp. MHBYS-01 (A-B) ECM morphotypes of *Amphinema* sp. MHBYS-01 (C) Transitionally pseudoparenchymatous outer mantle (D) Plectenchymatous Inner Mantle (E) Emanating Hypahe.



Fig. 2. A-F. Morpho-anatomical features of *Helvella elastica* (A-B) ECM morphotypes of *Helvella elastica* (C) Pseudoparenchymatous outer mantle (D) Pseudoparenchymatous Inner mantle (E) Emanating Hyphae (F) Scale-like Hairs.



Fig. 3. A-F: Morpho-anatomical features of *Inocybe nitidiscula* (A-B) ECM morphotypes of *Inocybe nitidiscula* (C) Pseudoparenchymatous outer mantle (D) Pseudoparenchymatous inner mantle (E-F) Emanating Hyphae.



Fig. 4. A-F: Morpho-anatomical features of *Sebacina* sp. MHAFE-01 (A-B) ECM morphotypes of *Sebacina* sp. MHAFE-01 (C) Plectenchymatous Outer mantle (D) Plectenchymatous Inner mantle (E-F) Emanting Hyphae.



Fig. 5. A-F: Morpho-anatomical features of *Tomentella* sp. MHSTU-01 (A-B) ECM morphotypes of *Tomentella* sp. MHSTU-01 (C) Plectenchymatous Outer mantle (D) Pseudoparenchymatous Inner mantle (E) Emanating Hyphae (F) Rhizomorph.

Anatomical characters of mantle: Mantle transitionally plectenchymatous OUTER MANTLE plectenchymatous, some irregularly shaped rounded cells also intermingled with hyphae (mantle type H; Agerer, 2006), cells up to  $5.2-9.4\mu$ m in length and  $4.0-4.5\mu$ m in width. Hyphae up to  $2.6-3.1\mu$ m in width, light brown in color, contents clear, hyphal junctions absent, matrix material not visible. INNER MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, with hyphae intermingled with angular-shaped cells, up to  $5.4-9.7\mu$ m in length and  $4.2-4.5\mu$ m in width, pale brown in color, contents clear, hyphal junction absent, septa absent, matrix material not visible.

Anatomical features of emanating elements: EMANATING HYPHAE frequent, wavy to straight, up to  $4.0-4.2\mu m$  in width, dark brown, contents clear, frequently septate, thick-walled, clamps absent, ornamentation absent, ramification absent, anastomoses absent.

RHIZOMORPHS present, light brown, aseptate, clampless hyphae, neatly aggregated together, contents clear, gelatinous material abundant on hyphae, up to 118-141.6µm in diameter with a restricted point of attachment.

### Tomentella sp. MHSUB-01 Plate 01 (Figs. 6A-E)

**Morphological characters:** ECTOMYCORRHIZAL SYSTEM simple to irregular, axis  $15.0 \times 22.0 - 0.5 \times 1.0$ mm, UNRAMIFIED ENDS straight to club-shaped,  $5.0 \times 7.0 - 0.5 \times 1.0$ mm, color of tips blackish brown while black at maturity, texture of system is coarse with a matte luster. No visibility of host tissue beneath the mantle sheath. EMANATING HYPHAE infrequent, RHIZOMORPHS present.

Anatomical characters of mantle: MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, OUETR MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, with tightly arranged rectangular cells (mantle type L; Agerer, 2006), up to 7.2-8.0 $\mu$ m in length while 7.7-7.8 $\mu$ m in width, light brown in color, contents clear, ornamentation absent, matrix material not visible. INNER MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, cells up to 7.0-7.7 $\mu$ m in length and 7.5-7.8 $\mu$ m in width, contents clear, ornamentation absent, matrix material not observed.

Anatomical characters of emanating elements: EMANATING HYPHAE infrequent, straight, hyaline, up to 10.0-13.0 $\mu$ m in width, thin walled, moderately septate, clamped, ramification absent, contents clear, ornamentation absent. RHIZOMPRPHS abundant, offwhite, numerous hyphae septate hyphae aggregated together, up to 180.5-182.3 $\mu$ m in width with the restricted point of attachment.

**Molecular and Phylogenetic Identification of fungal taxa:** From the living roots system of *A. nitida*, 12 fungal taxa were isolated, sequenced and BLAST searched for the closest match in GenBank (Table 1).

The distribution of these 12 phylotypes was based on the cladistic distribution of fungal taxa associated with *A. nitida*. The cladogram included ectomycorrhizal phylotypes distributed in 7 clades (Fig. 7).

clade, included 3 In the Agaricales we ectomycorrhizal fungal species from the genus Inocybe associated with this host tree. Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01 isolates (ENA16.42, ENA68.44, ENA76.41, 3ENA74.43 and 3ENA73.43) shared 97-100% analyzed genetic characters/nucleotides with each other and shared 93-95% analyzed genetic characters/nucleotides with similarity with Inocybe nitidiuscula (JF908249.1) while Inocybe sp. MHOBL-01.2ENA52.58 shared 93% of analyzed genetic characters/nucleotides with Inocvbe oblectabilis (AM882831.2). These phylotypes/OTUs from Pakistan grouped in clade Agaricales with strong (92%) bootstrap support (Fig. 1).

Atheliales clade was represented by the genus Amphinema and Tylospora. From Pakistan, Amphinema was first time being reported from belowground community associated with A. nitida.All isolates of Amphinemasp. MHBYS-01 (3ENA89.48, ENA60.45, ENA52.48, 3ENA90.48 and ENA15.49) shared 100% of analyzed genetic characters with each other and 83% analyzed genetic characters/nucleotideswith Amphinema byssoides (AY219839.1) while Tylosporasp. MHTYL shared 99% of analyzed genetic characters with T. fibrillosa (HM1900017). The clade formed by Atheliales was not highly resolved by bootstrapping (54%) for the present analysis. Species of order Thelephorales grouped in two paraphyletic clades. Clade Thelephorales-I represented by Pseudotomentella species/isolates and Clade Thelephorales-II presented by Tomentella species. Both OUTs of Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI (3ENA75.43 and 3ENA85.44) shared 98% of their analyzed genetic characters with each other and shared 89% analyzed genetic characters with Pseudotomentella tristis (AJ889968.1). Other clade of the Thelephorales (Clade-II) included only species of Tomentella and two OUTs from Pakistan clustered with strong (82%) bootstrap support. Tomentellasp. MHSTU.ENA77.41 shared 78% analyzed genetic characters with T. stuposa (EU819523.1) while Tomentella sp. MHSUB.2ENA09.40 shared 96% analyzed genetic characters with T. subtestacea (JQ711878.1).

Clade Sebacinales represented by only one phylotype (*Sebacina* sp. MHEPI-2ENA51.67) with strong (98%) bootstrap support from Pakistan. This morpho-phylotype shared 94.5% analyzed genetic characters with *Sebacina epigaea* (JQ665492.1).

Lastly, species of order Pezizales were also grouped in two clusters. *Helvella* sp. MHELA (2ENA08.40 and 2ENA07.40) shared 100% genetic characters with each other and 87% with *H. elastica* (AF335455.1). All phylotypes grouped together with strong bootstrapping (99%). Two morpho-phylotypes were represented with in the second cluster (Clade Pezizales-II). *Hydnobolites* sp. MHCER01 (ENA17.42) shared 82% genetic characters with *H. cerebriformis* (EU784272.1) and *Peziza* sp. MHMIC (3ENA88.45) shared 99% genetic characters with *P. michelii* (JF908562.1) and all phylotypes grouped together with strong bootstrap (99%) support (Fig. 01).



Fig. 6. A-F. Morpho-anatomical features of *Tomentella* sp. MHSUB-01 (A-B) ECM morphotypes of *Tomentella* sp. MHSUB-01 (C) Pseudoparenchymatous Outer mantle (D) Pseudoparenchymatous Inner Mantle (E) Emanating Hyphae (F) Rhizomorph.

TI control has att-tiviat of along	19	Areas free memo				•	o
Fungal species	Voucher No.	Accession No.	Closest match in GenBank	GenBank Accession No.	Origin country	Max. Identity (%)	Query coverage
Amhinama en MURVC 01	3EN A 80 A8	IN122016	Ambinoma bucchec	AV710220.1	Canada	Q 407	000
Ampunenta sp. MIII 10-01.	0121NA09.40	OTACCINIC	Amphunema vyssomes	A1219021.1	Callaua	04.70	97%
Helvella sp. MHELA.	2ENA08.40	Awaiting	Helvella elastica	AF335455.1	Canada	92%	89%
Hydnobolites sp. MHCER.	ENA17.42	Awaiting	Hydnobolites cerebriformis	EU784272.1	England	88%	%06
Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01	ENA16.42	Awaiting	Inocybe nitidiuscula	JF908249.1	USA	95%	%66
Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02	.ENA76.41	Awaiting	Inocybe nitidiuscula	JF908249.1	USA	94%	93%
Inocybe sp. MHOBL-01	.2ENA52.58	Awaiting	Inocybe oblectabilis	AM882831.2	Sweden	95%	%66
Peziza michelii	3ENA88.45	JN836749	Peziza michelii	JF908562.1	USA	%66	100%
Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-01	.3ENA75.43	Awaiting	Pseudotomentella tristis	AJ889968.1	Denmark	93%	87%
Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-02	.3ENA85.44	Awaiting	Pseudotomentella tristis	AJ889968.1	Denmark	100%	88%
Sebacina sp. MHEPI	.2ENA51.67	Awaiting	Sebacina epigaea	JQ665492.1	Germany	95%	98%
Tomentella sp. MHSTU.	ENA77.41	Awaiting	Tomentella stuposa	EU819523.1	USA	%6L	93%
Tomentella sp. MHSUB.	2ENA09.40	Awaiting	Tomentella subtestacea	JQ711878.1	Canada	6%	%66
	Fungal species   Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01.   Hydnobolites sp. MHELA.   Hydnobolites sp. MHNT-01   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01   Processor   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01   Processor   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02   Processor   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02   Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02   Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-01   Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-02   Sebacina sp. MHEPI   Tomentella sp. MHSUB.	Fungal speciesVoucherAmplinema sp. MHBYS-01.3ENA89.48Amplinema sp. MHBYS-01.3ENA89.48Helvella sp. MHELA.2ENA08.40Hydnobolites sp. MHCER.ENA17.42Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01ENA16.41Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01ENA16.42Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01ENA16.42Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01SENA75.43Peziza michelii3ENA85.44Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-01.3ENA75.43Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-01.3ENA75.43Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-02.3ENA75.43Tomentella sp. MHSTU2ENA97.41Tomentella sp. MHSTU.ENA77.41Tomentella sp. MHSTU2ENA97.40	Fungal speciesVoucherAccessionAmphinema sp. MHBYS-01.3ENA89.48IN133916Amphinema sp. MHBXS-01.3ENA89.48IN133916Hydnobolites sp. MHELA.2ENA08.40AwaitingHydnobolites sp. MHCER.ENA17.42AwaitingInocybe sp. MHNIT-01ENA16.42AwaitingInocybe sp. MHNIT-01ENA76.41AwaitingInocybe sp. MHNIT-01ENA76.41AwaitingInocybe sp. MHOBL-012ENA52.58AwaitingPeziza michelii3ENA88.45JN836749Pseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-01.2ENA52.58AwaitingPseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-02.3ENA85.44AwaitingPseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-02.3ENA55.43AwaitingTomentella sp. MHSTU2ENA51.67AwaitingTomentella sp. MHSTU.2ENA09.40Awaiting	Fungal speciesVoucherAccessionClosest match in BootAmphinema sp. MHBYS-01.3ENA89.48JN133916Amphinema byssoidesAmphinema sp. MHBYS-01.3ENA89.40AwaitingHehvella elasticaHelvella sp. MHELA.2ENA08.40AwaitingHehvella elasticaHydnobolites sp. MHNT-01ENA17.42AwaitingHydnobolites cerebriformisInocybe sp. MHNTF-01ENA16.42AwaitingInocybe mitidiusculaInocybe sp. MHNTF-01ENA76.41AwaitingInocybe mitidiusculaInocybe sp. MHNTF-01SENA75.43AwaitingInocybe mitidiusculaInocybe sp. MHOBL-01.2ENA52.58AwaitingPeciza micheliiPeciza michelii3ENA75.43AwaitingPeciza micheliiPredotomentella sp. MHTRI-01.3ENA75.43AwaitingPseudotomentella tristisPseudotomentella sp. MHTRI-02.3ENA75.43AwaitingPseudotomentella tristisPseudotomentella sp. MHEPI.2ENA51.67AwaitingSebacina epigaeaTomentella sp. MHEPI.2ENA09.40AwaitingTomentella subrestaceaTomentella sp. MHSUB.2ENA09.40AwaitingTomentella subrestacea	Fungal speciesVoucherAccessionClosest match inGenBank AccessionAmphinema sp. MHB Y5-01.No.No.CoenBankNo.Amphinema sp. MHB Y5-01. $3ENA89.48$ $N133916$ Amphinema byssoidesAY219839.1Helvella sp. MHELA. $2ENA08.40$ AwaitingHelvella elasticaAF335455.1Hydnobolites sp. MHUT-01 $ENA17.42$ AwaitingHydnobolites cerebriformisEU784272.1Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01 $ENA16.42$ AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01 $ENA16.42$ AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01 $ENA76.41$ AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1Inocybe sp. MHOBL-01 $2ENA52.58$ AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1Inocybe sp. MHOBL-01 $2ENA52.58$ AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1Inocybe sp. MHOBL-01 $2ENA52.58$ AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908262.1Peziza michelii $3ENA8.45$ $N836749$ Peziza micheliiJF908562.1Preziza michelii $3ENA75.43$ AwaitingPreziza micheliiJF908562.1Preziza michelii	Fungal speciesVoucherVoucherAccessionClosest match inCenBankNo.OriginAmphinema sp. MHB YS-01. $3ENA89.48$ $JN133916$ $Amphinema$ byssoides $AY219839.1$ $country$ Amphinema sp. MHB YS-01. $3ENA89.48$ $JN133916$ $Amphinema$ byssoides $AY219839.1$ $country$ Amphinema sp. MHELA. $2ENA08.40$ Awaiting $Hehella$ elastica $AY219839.1$ $Canada$ Hydnobolites sp. MHNTP-01 $ENA16.42$ Awaiting $Hehella$ elastica $AT219839.1$ $Canada$ <i>Inocybe</i> sp. MHNTP-01 $ENA16.42$ Awaiting <i>Inocybe nitidiuscula</i> $JF908249.1$ $USA$ <i>Inocybe</i> sp. MHNTP-02 $ENA76.41$ Awaiting <i>Inocybe nitidiuscula</i> $JF908249.1$ $USA$ <i>Inocybe</i> sp. MHNTP-02 $ENA76.41$ Awaiting <i>Inocybe oblectabilis</i> $AM8828331.2$ Sweden <i>Pocybe</i> sp. MHNTP-02 $2ENA75.41$ Awaiting <i>Inocybe oblectabilis</i> $AJ88968.1$ $USA$ <i>Inocybe</i> sp. MHNTP-02 $3ENA55.45$ Awaiting <i>Inocybe oblectabilis</i> $AJ88968.1$ $USA$ <i>Pocidonoentella</i> sp. MHTRI-01 $3ENA75.43$ Awaiting <i>Pseudotomentella</i> tristis $AJ889968.1$ $Denmark$ <i>Pseudotomentella</i> sp. MHEPI $3ENA55.44$ Awaiting <i>Pseudotomentella</i> tristis $AJ889968.1$ $Denmark$ <i>Pseudotomentella</i> sp. MHEPI $3ENA75.41$ Awaiting <i>Pseudotomentella</i> tristis $AJ889968.1$ $Denmark$ <i>Pseudotomentella</i> sp. MHEPI $2ENA77.41$ Awaiting <i>Pseudotomentella</i> suposa $J0$	Fungal speciesVoucherAccessionClosest match in $No.$ GenBank AccessionOriginMax. Identity $(%)$ Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01. $3ENA89.48$ $NO.$ $OO.$ $OO.$ $OO.$ $OO.$ $(%)$ Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01. $3ENA89.48$ $JN133916$ $Amphinema byssoides$ $AY219839.1$ $Canada$ $84\%$ Helvella sp. MHELA. $2ENA08.40$ Awaiting $Helvella elastica$ $AF3355.1$ $Canada$ $84\%$ Hydnobolites sp. MHCRR. $ENA17.42$ Awaiting $Hydnobolites cerebriformisEU784272.1England88\%Inocybe sp. MHNIT-01ENA17.42AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1USA95\%Inocybe sp. MHNIT-02ENA76.41AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1USA95\%Inocybe sp. MHOBL-012ENA52.58AwaitingInocybe nitidiusculaJF908249.1USA95\%Pezica micheliiJF908249.1USA95\%Pezica micheliiJF908249.1USA95\%Pezica micheliiJR0000mentella ristisAM882331.2Sweden95\%Pezica micheliiJS08366.1USA95\%95\%Pezica micheliiPseudotomentella ristisAM882331.2Sweden95\%Pezica micheliiPseudotomentella ristisAM882931.2Sweden95\%Pezica micheliiPseudotomentella ristisAN389968.1USA95\%Pseudotomentella sp. MHFPI$

## Discussion

The Himalayan Alder's ectomycorrhizae were first documented by Ashraf *et al.*, (2012) from Pakistan. They reported *Peziza michelii* as ectomycorrhizal with this photobiont. Recently, Tapwal *et al.*, (2021) reported *Ramaria stricta* as ectomycorrhizal with this host. McBurney *et al.*, (2017) reported 22 ectomycorrhizal fungi from *Alnus* sp. (*A. rubra*). Kilpeläinen *et al.*, (2019) reported *Amanita muscaria*, *Hebeloma*, *Laccaria laccata*, *Lactarius aspideus*, *Leccinum aurantiacum* and *Paxillus involutus* were reported as ectomycorrhizal with another *Alnus* sp. (*A. incana*). Present investigation documents 11 ectomycorrhizae of Himalayan alder. These were characterized using the conventional and the modern molecular methods and were identified.

MHBYS-01 Amphinema sp. Ectomycorrhizae (closely matched with Amphinema byssoides) are being described and illustrated first time in association with Alnus nitida. Previously ectomycorrhizae of A. byssoides were reported with Picea abies (Agerer, 1987-1995; Berg, 1989; Danielson & Pruden, 1989; Haug & Pritsch, 1992; Ingleby et al., 1990; Weiss, 1988, 1989, 1990; Weiss & Agerer, 1988), Pinus (Fassiand de Vecchi, 1962; Haug et al., 1994; Raidl, 1997), and Quercus ilex (Montecchio et al., 2002). With Picea abies, it formed monopodial-pinnate ramification, with Pinus dichotomous, with Quercus irregularly pinnate to dichotomous-like. While with Alnus nitida, Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01, forms simple to irregular system with dichotomously branched unramified ends. Besides ramification, the mantle anatomy also differed when compared with morphotypes from other photobionts. Picea abies, Pinus and Quercus ilex morphotypes have plectenchymatous mantle organization while Alnus nitida has transitionally pseudoparenchymatous mantle organization. Despite this, Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01 has hyphae that lacked septa and clamps and also lacked rhizomorphs, young tips skin-colored, oxblood red at maturity, frequent emanating hyphae. The fruitbody of this fungus was previously found growing on the stump of Pinus wallichianain forests of Murree (Ahmad, 1972). Phylogenetically, Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01 grouped with A. byssoides with strong (96%) bootstrap support. All these morpho-anatomic and molecular phylogenetic differences are significant for delimiting Amphinema sp. MHBYS-01 from A. byssoides. Amphinema seems to generalist mycorrhizal forming

fungus as it is part of many ecologically different belowground communities (Kjøller *et al.*, 2008; Taylor & Finlay, 2003; Qian *et al.*, 1998; Taylor & Brand, 1992). *Picea abies* and *Pinus cembra* were also found to form ectomycorrhizal associations with *Amphinema* (Margit *et al.*, 2010). It was also found with *Pinus sylvestris* (Aučina *et al.*, 2007).

Ectomycorrhiza of the *Helvella* sp. MHELA-02 (closely matched with *Helvella elastica*) had light brown to beige color. Ectomycorrhizal system is characterized by simple to irregularly dichotomous with bulbous to club-shaped unramified ends.

Ectomycorrhiza of the Helvella sp. MHELA-02 (closely matched with Helvella elastica) can be compared with Helvella sp. Ho-TS601 which seems a close relative of Helvella sp. MHELA-02. Ectomycorrhizae of Helvella sp. Ho-TS601 was described by Tedersoo et al., (2006) and was characterized by orange-brown to red-brown morphotypes and whitish tips when young. The mantle organization was cellular with large spherical to subepidermoid cells, without emanating hyphae. The species in genera Helvella are treated with unresolved trophic status (Hansen and Pfister 2006; Læssøe & Hansen, 2007). There was no previous molecular evidence about its trophic status. Tedersoo et al., (2007a) designated this genus as ectomycorrhizal on the basis of stable isotopic analysis. O'Donnell et al., (1997), Hansen & Pfister (2006) and Tedersoo et al., (2006a) provided molecular (rDNA-ITS based) evidence about the mycorrhizal status of this group. On a similar rDNA-ITS barcoding basis, Helvella sp. MHELA-02 from Pakistan was assigned as mycorrhizal and its mycorrhizae are first time described and illustrated from Asia. This phylotype was recently reported as part of different belowground ectomycorrhizal communities of the Himalayan Moist temperate forests of Pakistan (Hanif, 2012).

We did not find any published description of ectomycorrhizae of *Inocybe nitidiuscula* (closely match of *Inocybe* sp. MHNIT-01) ectomycorrhizae to compare its morpho-anatomic features with *Inocybe* sp. MHNIT-01. Phylogenetically, this phylotype clustered with *I. nitidiuscula* sequences and thus was identified as a sister species to *I. nitidiuscula*. Previously, it was reported as ectomycorrhizal in the association of seedlings of *Pyrola chlorantha* (Hynson *et al.*, 2013).

Sebacina sp. MHAFE-01 (closely matched with Sebacina incrustans) was found growing as mycorrhizal with roots of A. nitida. Their mycorrhizal morphotypes differed significantly from Sebacina incrustans. Urban et al., (2003) described mycorrhizae of Sebacina incrustans in the association of Picea. These ectomycorrhizae have monopodial-pyramidal ramification and lack rhizomorphs. The color of their mycorrhizal roots ranged from yellow pale cream or faintly yellowish to yellowish or white; mantle organization plectenchymatous, hyphae arranged netlike, repeatedly and squarrosely branched. On the other hand, Sebacina sp. MHAFE-01 ectomycorrhizae have simple to the irregular ectomycorrhizal system with simple to bulbous unramified ends. Members of Sebacinales are part of many ectomycorrhizal communities (Takahide *et al.*, 2007; Selosse *et al.*, 2007; Martin *et al.*, 2009; Setaro *et al.*, 2006; Morris *et al.*, 2008; Smith *et al.*, 2007). Hanif (2012) also reported *Sebacinales* from Himalayan Moist Temperate Forests of Pakistan.

The mycorrhizal interactions in *Sebacinales* may have arisen from an ancestral endophytic habit by specialization (Weiß *et al.*, 2011). Considering their proven beneficial influence on plant growth and their ubiquity, endophytic *Sebacinales* may be a previously unrecognized universal hidden force in plant ecosystems.

In the present work, two more ectomycorrhizal morphotypes of the genus Tomentella are described and illustrated morpho-anatomically and phylogenetically. Ectomycorrhizal morphotypes of Tomentella sp. MHSTU-01 (closely matched with Tomentella stuposa) are simple to irregular with simple unramified ends with black tips, coarse texture of system with a matte luster. Phylogenetically, Tomentella sp. MHSTU-01 clustered with Tomentella stuposa (Fig. 01). Ectomycorrhizal morphotypes of T. stuposa were described previously (Agerer et al., 2002; Jakucs et al., 2005) with Pinus sp. These were brown in color and had monopodial-pinnate or monopodial-pyramidal ramification, rhizomorphs presence present, mantle pseudoparenchymatous with angular cells, bearing mounds of roundish cells (type K), emanating hyphae presence. T. stuposa ectomycorrhizae were also observed in association with Salix reticulata and Dryas octopetala communities (Ryberg et al., 2009) and many other ectomycorrhizal communities (Cline et al., 2005; Tedersoo et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2007; McCormick et al., 2004). Hanif et al., (2012) described and illustrated isolates of Tomentella spp. with Cedrus deodara from Pakistan.

Phylogenetically, Tomentella subtestacea (closely matched) appeared as sister species of Tomentella sp. MHSUB-01 (Fig. 01). Both these phylotypes differed significantly on the basis of shared analyzed genetic genetic characters and rDNA-ITS divergence. Ectomycorrhizae of the Tomentella sp. MHSUB-01 differed from T. subtestacea in having a simple to the irregular ectomycorrhizal system with straight to clubshaped unramified ends. The tips of the system are blackish brown while black at maturity, texture of the system is coarse with a matte luster. While, T. subtestacea has a monopodial-pinnate ectomycorrhizal system with straight unramified ends (Jakucs & Agerer, 2001). Previously T. subtestacea was reported it ectomycorrhizal from terrestrial Orchids below ground community (McCormick et al., 2004).

The existence of the diverse types of ectomycorrhizal fungal species with *A. nitida* growing in the Himalayan moist temperate forests of Pakistan indicates its preference for more mycobiont partners. To complete the below-ground picture of the ectomycorrhizal communities associated with *A. nitida*, more comprehensive sampling would be required.



Fig. 7. Phylogenetic tree for Ectomycorrhizal MOTUs associated with Alnus nitida and rDNA-ITS sequences retrieved from GenBank.

#### References

- Agerer, R. 1987-1995. Colour Atlas of Ectomycorrhizae. 1st-9th delivery. Einhorn, Schwäbisch Gmünd.
- Agerer, R. 2006. Fungal relationships and structural identity of their ectomycorrhizae. *Mycol. Prog.*, 5(2): 67-107.
- Agerer, R., R. Grote and S. Raidl. 2002. The new method 'micromapping', a means to study species-specific associations and exclusions of ectomycorrhizae. *Mycol. Prog.*, 1(2): 155-166.
- Ahmad, H, A.M. Khan, S. Ghafoor and N. Ali. 2009. Ethnobotanical study of upper Siran. J. Herbs Spices Med. Plants., 15: 86-97.
- Ahmad, S. 1972. Basidiomycetes of Pakistan. Biological Society of Pakistan. Monograph, 6. pp. 141.
- Ashraf, T., M. Hanif and A.N. Khalid. 2012. *Peziza michelii* and its ectomycorrhizae with *Alnus nitida* (*Betulaceae*) from Pakistan. *Mycotaxon*, 120: 181-188.
- Aučina, A., M. Rudawska, T. Leski, A. Skridaila, E. Riepŝasand and M. Iwanski. 2007. Growth and Mycorrhizal Community Structure of *Pinus sylvestris* Seedlings following the Addition of Forest Litter. *App. Environ. Microbiol.*, 73(15): 4867-4873.
- Becerra, A.G., L. Beenken, K. Pritsch, G. Daniele, M. Schloter and R. Agerer. 2005. Morphological description and molecular characterization of *Lactarius aff. omphaliiformis, Russula alnijorullensis* and *Cortinarius tucumanensis* ectomycorrhizas on *Alnus acuminata*. *Mycologia*, 97: 1047-1057.
- Berg, B. 1989. Charakterisierung und Vergleich von Ektomykorrhizen gekalkter Fichtenbestände. Diss Univ München.
- Cline, E.T., J.E. Ammirati and R.L. Edmonds. 2005. Does Proximity to Mature Trees Influence Ectomycorrhizal Fungus Communities of Douglas Fir Seedlings? *New Phytol.*, 166(3): 993-1009.
- Danielson, R.M. and M. Pruden. 1989. The mycorrhizal status of urban spruce. *Mycologia*, 81(3): 335-341.
- Fassi, B. and E. de Vecchi. 1962. Ricerche sulle micorrize ectotrofiche del Pino strobo in vivaio. I. Descrizione di alcune forme piu diffuse in Piemonte. *Allionia*, 8: 133-152.
- Gamble, J.S.1972. A Manual of Indian Timbers. S. Low, Marston & Co. Ltd., London.
- Gardes, M. and T. Bruns. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for *Basidiomycetes*, application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Mol. Ecol.*, 2: 113-118.
- Gupta, B.L.1945. Forest Flora of Chakrata, Dehra Dun and Saharanpur: Forest Research Institute Press.
- Hanif, M. 2012. PhD Thesis. Aphyllophorales and their morphotypes from Pakistan. Department of Botany, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan.
- Hanif, M., A.N. Khalid and S. Sarwar. 2012. Additions to the ectomycorrhizae associated with himalayan cedar (*Cedrus deodara*) using rDNA-ITS. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.*, 14: 101-106.
- Hansen, K. and D.H. Pfister. 2006. Systematics of the *Pezizomycetes* - the operculate discomycetes. *Mycologia*, 98: 1031-1041.
- Haug, I. and K. Pritsch. 1992. Ectomycorrhizal types of spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) in the Black Forest, a microscopical atlas. Kernforschungszentrum, Karlsruhe.
- Haug, I., R. Weber, F. Oberwinkler and J. Tschen. 1994. The mycorrhizal status of Taiwanese trees and the description of some ectomycorrhizal types. *Trees*, 8: 237-253.
- Hynson, A.N., M. Weiß, K. Preiss, G. Gebauer and K.K. Treseder. 2013. Fungal host specificity is not a bottleneck for the germination of *Pyroleae* species (*Ericaceae*) in a Bavarian forest. *Mol. Ecol.*, doi: 10.1111/mec.12180.

- Ingleby, K., P.A. Mason, F.T. Last and L.V. Fleming. 1990. *Identification of ectomycorrhizas*. ITE Research Publication no. 5. HMSO, London.
- Jakucs, E. and R. Agerer. 2001. Tomentella subtestacea Bourdot & Galzin + Populus alba L. Descr. Ectomycol., 5: 213-219.
- Jakucs, E., G.M. Kovacs, R. Agerer, C. Romsics and Z. Erös-Honti. 2005. Morphological-amatomical characterization and molecular identification of *Tomentella stuposa* ectomycorrhizae and related anatomotypes. *Mycorrhiza*, 15(4): 247-258.
- Kennedy, P.G. and L.T. Hill. 2010. A molecular and phylogenetic analysis of the structure and specificity of *Alnus rubra* ectomycorrhizal assemblages. *Fungal Ecol.*, DOI:10.1016/j.funeco.2009.08.005.
- Khan, M.K., N, Muhammad, N. Uddin, N. Ali, M. Umer and S. Ullah. 2020. Genetic diversity in threatened plant species *Alnus nitida* (Spach.) Endel". *Plant Sc. Today*, 7(3): 314-318.
- Kilpeläinen, J., A. Barbero-López, B. Adamczyk, P.J. Aphalo and T. Lehto. 2019. Morphological and ecophysiological root and leaf traits in ectomycorrhizal, arbuscularmycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal *Alnus incana* seedlings. *Plant Soil*, 436: 283-297.
- Kjøller, R. and E.C. Karina. 2008. The impact of liming on ectomycorrhizal fungal communities in coniferous forests in Southern Sweden, *Rapport*, pp. 1-70.
- Læssøe, T. and K. Hansen. 2007. Truffle trouble: what happened to the *Tuberales*, *Mycol. Res.*, 111: 1075-1099.
- Margit, B., Z. Margit and P. Ursula. 2010. Ectomycorrhizal status of *Larix decidua-*, *Picea abies-* and *Pinus cembra*nursery plants in South Tyrol. *Forest Obser.*, 5: 3-30.
- Martin, F., A. Aerts, D. Ahrén, A. Brun, E.G.J. Danchin, F. Duchaussoy, J. Gibon, A. Kohler, E. Lindquist and V. Pereda. 2008. The genome of *Laccaria bicolor* provides insights into mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nature*, 452: 88-92.
- McBurney, K.G., Erica T. Cline, J.D. Bakker and G.J. Ettl. 2017. Ectomycorrhizal community composition and structure of a mature red alder (*Alnus rubra*) stand. *Fungal Ecol.*, 27: 47-58.
- McCormick, M.K., D.F. Whigham and J. O'Neill. 2004. Mycorrhizal Diversity in Photosynthetic Terrestrial Orchids. *New Phytol.*, 163(2): 425-438.
- Miller, S.L., C.D. Koo and R. Molina. 1991. Characterization of red alder ectomycorrhizae: a preface to monitoring belowground ecological responses. *Can. J. Bot.*, 69: 516-531.
- Miller, S.L., C.D. Koo and R. Molina. 1992. Early colonization of red alder and Douglas-fir by ectomycorrhizal fungi and Frankia in soils from the Oregon Coast Range. *Mycorrhiza*, 2: 53-61.
- Molina, R. 1979. Pure culture synthesis and host specificity of red alder mycorrhizae. *Can. J. Bot.*, 57: 1223-1228.
- Montecchio, L., S. Rossi, A. Grendene and R. Causin. 2002. Amphinema byssoides (Pers.: Fr.) J. Erikss. + Quercus ilex L. Descr. Ectomyc., 6: 1-6.
- Morris, M.H., M.E. Smith, D.M. Rizzo, M. Rejmánek and C.S. Bledsoe. 2008. Contrasting Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Communities on the Roots of Co-Occurring Oaks (*Quercus* spp.) in a California Woodland. *New Phytol.*, 178(1): 167-176.
- Nagina and M. Ibrar. 2018. In vivo antinociceptive potential of ethanolic extract of leaves, catkin and cone of Alnus nitida (Spach.) Endl. Int. J. Biosci., 13(4): 256-261.
- Nand, K. and S. Naithani. 2018. Ethnobotanical uses of wild medicinal plants by the local community in the Asi Ganga sub-basin, Western Himalaya. J. Compl. Med. Res., 9(1): 34-46. 10.5455/jcmr.20180507034822

- O'Donnell, K., E. Cigelnik, N.S. Weber and J.M. Trappe. 1997. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetous truffles and false morels inferred from 18S and 28S ribosomal DNA sequence analysis. *Mycologia*, 89: 48-65.
- Ostonen, I., L. Tedersoo, T. Suvi and K. Lõhmus. 2009. Does a fungal species drive ectomycorrhizal root traits in *Alnus* species? *Can. J. For. Res.*, 39: 1787-1796.
- Pritsch, K. 1996. Untersuchungen zur Diversität und Ö. kologie von Mykorrhizen der Schwarzerle (*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.) [Doctoral dissertation]. Tu" bingen: Univ Tubingen Press. 197 p.
- Pritsch, K., J.C. Munch and F. Buscot. 2000. Identification and differentiation of mycorrhizal isolates of black alder by sequence analysis of the ITS region. *Mycorrhiza*, 10: 87-93.
- Qian, X.M., I. Kottke and F. Oberwinkler. 1998. Influence of liming and acidification on the activity of the mycorrhizal communities in a *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. stand. *Plant & Soil*, 199: 99-109.
- Raidl, S. 1997. Studien zur Ontogenie an Rhizomorphen von Ektomykorrhizen. *Bibl. Mycol.*, 169: 1-84.
- Rana, D., A. Bhatt and B. Lal. 2019. Ethnobotanical knowledge among the semi-pastoral Gujjar tribe in the high altitude (Adhwari's) of Churah subdivision, district Chamba, Western Himalaya. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed., 15:10 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-019-0286-3.
- Rochet, J., P.A. Moreau, S. Manzi and M. Gardes. 2011. Comparative phylogenies and host specialization in the alder ectomycorrhizal fungi *Alnicola*, *Alpova* and *Lactarius* (*Basidiomycota*) in Europe. *B.M.C. Evo. Biol.*, 11: 40.
- Ryberg, M., E. Larsson and U. Molau. 2009. Ectomycorrhizal Diversity on *Dryas octopetala* and *Salix reticulata* in an Alpine Cliff Ecosystem. *Arct. Antarct. Alp Res.*, 41(4): 506-514.
- Selosse, M.A., S. Setaro, F. Glatard, F. Richard, C. Urcelay and M. Weiß. 2007. *Sebacinales* are common mycorrhizal associates of *Ericaceae*. *New Phytol.*, 174(4): 864-878.
- Setaro, S., M. Weiβ, F. Oberwinkler and I. Kottke. 2006. Sebacinales form Ectendomycorrhizas with Cavendishia nobilis, a Member of the Andean Clade of Ericaceae, in the Mountain Rain Forest of Southern Ecuador New Phytol., 169(2): 355-365.
- Smith, M.E., G.W. Douhan and D.M. Rizzo. 2007. Ectomycorrhizal Community Structure in a Xeric *Quercus* Woodland Based on rDNA Sequence Analysis of Sporocarps and Pooled Roots. *New Phytol.*, 174(4): 847-863.
- Takahide, A.I., K. Nara and T. Hogetsu. 2007. Host effects on ectomycorrhizal fungal communities: insight from eight host species in mixed conifer–broadleaf forests. *New Phytol.*, 174(2): 430-440.

- Tapwal, A., K.S. Kapoor, Y. Thakur and A. Kumar. 2021. Ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with *Pinus gerardiana* in Kinnaur district of Himachal Pradesh, India. *Stud. Mycol.*, 6(1): 425-436.
- Taylor, A.F.S. and F. Brand. 1992. Reaction of the natural Norway spruce mycorrhizal flora to liming and acid irrigation. In: Read DJ, Lewis DH, Fitter AH, Alexander IJ, eds. *Mycorrhizas in Ecosystems*. Wallingford: C.A.B. International, 404.
- Taylor, A.F.S. and R.D. Finlay. 2003. Effects of liming and ash application on below ground ectomycorrhizal community structure in two Norway spruce forests. *Water Air & Soil Poll.*, 3: 63-76.
- Tedersoo, L. U. Collar, N. Hallenberg and K.H. Larsson. 2003. Fine scale distribution of ectomycorrhizal fungi and roots across substrate layers, including coarse woody debris in a mixed forest. *New Phytol.*, 159(1): 153-165.
- Tedersoo, L., K. Hansen, B.A. Perry and R. Kjøller. 2006. Molecular and morphological diversity of pezizalean ectomycorrhiza. *New Phytol.*, 170: 581-596.
- Tedersoo, L., P. Pellet, U. Kõljalg and M.A. Selosse. 2007. Parallel evolutionary paths to mycoheterotrophy in understorey *Ericaceae* and *Orchidaceae*: ecological evidence for mixotrophy in *Pyroleae*. *Oecologia*, 151: 206-217.
- Tedersoo, L., T. Summer, T. Jairus, I. Ostonen and S. Põlme. 2009. Revisiting ectomycorrhizal fungi of *Alnus*: the differential host specificity, diversity and determinants of the fungal community. *New Phytol.*, 182: 727-735.
- Thiem, D., A. Piernik and K. Hrynkiewicz. 2018. Ectomycorrhizal and endophytic fungi associated with *Alnus glutinosa* growing in a saline area of central Poland. *Symbiosis*, 75: 17-28. DOI 10.1007/s13199-017-0512-5.
- Trappe, J.M. 1964. Mycorrhizal host and distribution of *Cenococcum graniforme. Lloydia*, 27: 100-106.
- Urban, A., M. Weiß and R. Bauer. 2003. Ectomycorrhizas involving sebacinoid mycobionts. *Mycol. Res.*, 107(1): 3-14.
- Weiss, M. 1988. Ektomykorrhizen von *Picea abies*. Synthese, Ontogenie und Reaktion auf Umweltschadstoffe. Diss Univ München.
- Weiss, M. 1989. Amphinema byssoides. In: (Ed.): Agerer, R. Colour Atlas of Ectomycorrhizae, plate 23, Einhorn-Verlag, Schwäbisch Gmünd.
- Weiss, M. 1990. Studien an Ektomykorrhizen XXV. Untersuchungen zur Ontogenie von Ektomykorrhizen an *Picea abies. Nova Hed.*, 50: 361-393.
- Weiss, M. and R. Agerer. 1988. Studien an Ektomykorrhizen XII. Drei nichtidentifizierte Mykorrhizen an *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. aus einer Baumschule. *Eur. J. For. Path.*, 18: 26-43.
- Weiß, M., Z. Sýkorová, S. Garnica, K. Riess and F. Martos. 2011. Sebacinales Everywhere: Previously Overlooked Ubiquitous Fungal Endophytes. PLoS One, 6(2):e16793. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016793.

(Received for publication 21 December 2021)