

## ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF DIFFERENT EXTRACTS OF *LECANORA ATRA*, *LECANORA MURALIS*, *PARMELIA SAXATILIS*, *PARMELIA SULCATA* AND *PARMELIOPSIS AMBIGUA*

BRANISLAV RANKOVIĆ<sup>1\*</sup> AND MARIJANA KOSANIĆ<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, 34000 Kragujevac,  
Radoja Domanovića 12, Serbia

\*Correspondence author: Tel.: +381(34)300252, Fax: +381(34) 335040, E-mail: rankovic@kg.ac.rs

### Abstract

Antimicrobial activity of the acetone, methanol and aqueous extracts of the lichens *Lecanora atra*, *Lecanora muralis*, *Parmelia saxatilis*, *Parmelia sulcata* and *Parmeliopsis ambigua* was explored *In vitro* against to 6 species of bacteria and 10 species of fungi by the disc-difusion method and determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) by the Broth tube Dilution method. The aqueous extracts of the tested lichens didn't show any antimicrobial activity on any of the test organisms, whereas the acetone and methanol ones showed an activity related to the tested species. The bacteria were very sensitive related to the tested fungi. The strongest antimicrobial activity was found in the acetone extract of the lichen *Parmelia sulcata* where the least measured MIC value was 0.78 mg/ml. Generally, among the bacteria the most sensitive was the species *Bacillus mycoides*, and among the fungi *Botrytis cinerea* and *Candida albicans*. The bacterium *Escherichia coli* was resistant to all the extracts of the explored lichens. Generally, all the explored lichens had a relatively strong antimicrobial activity, which can be very important in making the food bad and in curing numerous diseases caused by these and similar microorganisms.

### Introduction

Lichens are symbiotic organisms built from fungi and a photosynthetic partner, that can be an alga or a Cyanobacterium (Ahmadijan, 1993). They usually grow on rocks, non-fertile ground, as well as epiphytes on the trees and leaves (Taylor *et al.*, 1995). Lichens synthesise various bioactive components that sometimes make even more than 30% of the dry mass of talus (Galun & Shomer-Ilan, 1988). Although there are about 20,000 species of them around the world, and even they make 8% of the terrestrial ecosystems, their biological activity and biological components are not distinguished very much (Toma *et al.*, 2001). Various biological activities of some lichens and their components are known, such as: antiviral, anti-tumor, anti-inflammatory, analgetic, antipirethic, antiproliferative, antiprotosoal (Lawrey, 1986; Huneck, 1999; Davies *et al.*, 2002; Halama & Van Haluwin, 2004). Besides, many sorts are used for human nutrition, animal nutrition, for getting colours, perfumes, alcohol and in the medicine industry. (Richardson, 1988; Richardson, 1991; Romagni, 2002; Kirmizigül *et al.*, 2003). Lichens have also, for hundreds of years, been used in many European countries as a cure for stomach diseases, diabetes, cough, pulmonar tuberculosis, wounds curing, dermatological diseases (Richardson, 1991; Baytop, 1999; Huneck, 1999). The usage of some lichens for many years in the traditional medicine was later justified by numerous researches that confirmed their antimicrobial activity (Vartia, 1973; Choudhary *et al.*, 2005; Cansaran *et al.*, 2006; Gulluce *et al.*, 2006; Ranković *et al.*, 2008).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the acetone, methanol and aquatic extract of the lichens relative to the chosen test microorganisms, which are the causes of turning the food bad and cause the diseases of humans, animals and plants.

### Materials and Methods

**Lichen samples:** Samples of the lichens of *Lecanora atra* (Hudson) Ach., *Lecanora muralis* (Schreber) Rabenh., *Parmelia saxatilis* (L.) Ach., *Parmelia sulcata* (Taylor) and *Parmeliopsis ambigua* (Wulf.) Nyl., were collected from Borač, Serbia, in August 2007, and identified by Dr. B. Ranković, University of Kragujevac. The demonstration samples are preserved in faculties of the Department of Biology and Ecology of Kragujevac, Faculty of Science. Determination of the investigated lichens was accomplished using standard keys (Purvis *et al.*, 1992; Wirth, 1995; Dobson, 2000).

**Microorganisms and media:** The bacteria used as test organisms in this study were: *Bacillus mycoides* (IPH), *Bacillus subtilis* (IPH), and *Staphylococcus aureus* (IPH) (Gram-positive bacteria); and *Enterobacter cloacae* (IPH), *Escherichia coli* (IPH), and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (IPH), (Gram-negative bacteria). All of the bacteria used were isolates of the Institute for Protection of Health in Kragujevac (IPH) and the Faculty of Agriculture in Belgrade (FAB). Their identification was confirmed in the Microbiological Laboratory of Kragujevac University's Department of Biology. The fungi used as test organisms were: *Aspergillus flavus* (ATCC 9170), *Aspergillus fumigatus* (DBFS 310), *Botrytis cinerea* (DBFS 133), *Candida albicans* (IPH 1316), *Fusarium oxysporum* (DBFS 292), *Mucor mucedo* (ATCC 52568), *Paecilomyces variotii* (ATCC 22319), *Penicillium purpurescens* (DBFS 418), *Penicillium verrucosum* (DBFS 262), and *Trichoderma harsianum* (DBFS 379). They were from the mycological collection maintained by the Mycological Laboratory within the Department of Biology of Kragujevac University's Faculty of Science (DBFS). Bacterial cultures were maintained on Müller-Hinton agar substrates (Torlak, Belgrade). Fungal cultures were maintained on potato dextrose agar and Sabourad dextrose agar (Torlak, Belgrade). All cultures were stored at 4°C and subcultured every 15 days.

**Preparation of the lichen extracts:** Finely pulverised thalli of the investigated lichens (50 g) were extracted using acetone, methanol and water in a Soxhlet extractor. The extracts were filtered and then concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator. The dry extracts were stored at -18°C until they were used in the tests. The extracts were dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) for the disk diffusion test. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by preparing a series of dilutions in Müller-Hinton broth (for bacteria) or in SD broth (for fungi) in the range 50 to 0.195 mg/mL. The final concentration for the DMSO didn't extend 2% in the experiment.

**Antimicrobial assays:** The sensitivity of microorganisms to acetone, methanol and aqueous extracts of the investigated species of lichens was tested by measuring the zone of inhibition of a given concentration of extract by the disk diffusion method and by determining the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) (Ifikhar *et al.*, 2010; Jabeen *et al.*, 2011).

Bacterial inocula were obtained from bacterial cultures incubated for 24 h at 37°C on Müller-Hinton agar substrate and brought up by dilution according to the 0.5 McFarland standard to approximately 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml. Suspensions of fungal spores were prepared from fresh mature (3- to 7-day-old) cultures that grew at 30°C on a PDA substrate. Spores were rinsed with sterile distilled water, used to determine turbidity spectrophotometrically at 530 nm, and then further diluted to approximately 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml according to the procedure recommended by the Anon., (1998).

A standard disk-diffusion method (Anon., 1993) was used to study antimicrobial activity. Müller-Hinton agar (for bacteria) or in SD agar (for fungi) was seeded with the appropriate inoculum. Paper disks (7 mm diameter) were

laid on the inoculated substrate after being soaked with 15 µL of lichen extract (50 mg/mL). Antimicrobial activity was determined by measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition around the disk. Streptomycin (for bacteria) and ketoconazole (for fungi) were used as controls. A DMSO solution was used as a negative control for the influence of the solvents. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by the broth tube dilution method. A series of dilutions with concentrations ranging from 50 to 0.0037 mg/mL was used in the experiment for each extract against every microorganism tested. The starting solutions of extracts with a concentration of 50 mg/mL were obtained by measuring off a certain quantity of extract and dissolving it in DMSO. Two-fold dilutions of extracts were prepared in Müller-Hinton broth for bacterial cultures and SD broth for fungal cultures in test tubes. The minimal inhibitory concentration was determined by establishing visible growth of the microorganisms. The boundary dilution without any visible growth was defined as the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the tested microorganism at the given lichen extract concentration. As a positive control of growth inhibition, streptomycin was used in the case of bacteria, ketoconazole in the case of fungi. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

## Results

The antimicrobial activity of the tested lichen extracts against the tested microorganisms is shown in the tables for the disc-difusional method (Table 1) and the minimal inhibitory concentration (Table 2).

**Table 1. Antimicrobial activities of different extracts of *Lecanora atra*, *Lecanora muralis*, *Parmelia saxatilis*, *Parmelia sulcata* and *Parmeliopsis ambigua* by using agar disc diffusion method.**

Organisms	Lichen species															Antibiot.	
	<i>L. atra</i>			<i>L. muralis</i>			<i>P. saxatilis</i>			<i>P. sulcata</i>			<i>P. ambigua</i>			S	K
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C		
<i>Bacillus mycoides</i>	22 <sup>b</sup>	25	-	23	26	-	22	24	-	28	26	-	16	11	-	28	-
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	14	14	-	13	15	-	18	20	-	20	16	-	16	15	-	26	-
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	13	13	-	14	16	-	16	16	-	16	13	-	15	17	-	25	-
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	16	14	-	18	24	-	17	19	-	18	14	-	21	19	-	40	-
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	16	13	-	17	18	-	18	20	-	26	25	-	14	14	-	20	-
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	16	18	-	-	-	-	13	17	-	23	23	-	14	16	-	-	27
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	12	15	-	-	13	-	18	24	-	18	19	-	14	16	-	-	34
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	20	16	-	12	15	-	22	28	-	30	27	-	20	23	-	-	39
<i>Candida albicans</i>	20	21	-	-	12	-	20	22	-	24	23	-	19	23	-	-	40
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	12	18	-	-	14	-	13	17	-	14	16	-	12	17	-	-	35
<i>Mucor mucedo</i>	12	16	-	-	14	-	17	19	-	13	16	-	12	16	-	-	17
<i>Paecilomyces variotii</i>	10	20	-	13	16	-	20	22	-	22	25	-	13	24	-	-	40
<i>Penicillium purpurescens</i>	17	21	-	-	-	-	15	18	-	17	20	-	12	15	-	-	38
<i>Penicillium verrucosum</i>	15	19	-	-	-	-	15	22	-	17	19	-	15	15	-	-	36
<i>Trichoderma harsianum</i>	11	19	-	-	15	-	20	24	-	18	20	-	15	17	-	-	18

<sup>a</sup> A – acetone extract; B – methanol extract; C – aqueous extract

<sup>b</sup> Diameter of inhibition zone (mm) including disc diameter of 7 mm. Values are the mean of three replicate Antibiotics: K – ketoconazole, S – streptomycin

**Table 2. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of *Lecanora atra*, *Lecanora muralis*, *Parmelia saxatilis*, *Parmelia sulcata* and *Parmeliopsis ambigua* extracts against the test organisms.**

Organisms	Lichen species															Antibiot.	
	<i>L. atra</i>			<i>L. muralis</i>			<i>P. saxatilis</i>			<i>P. sulcata</i>			<i>P.ambigua</i>			S	K
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C		
<i>B. mycoides</i>	1.56 <sup>b</sup>	1.56	-	3.12	1.56	-	3.12	1.56	-	0.78	1.56	-	1.56	1.56	-	7.81	0
<i>B. subtilis</i>	1.56	3.12	-	6.25	3.12	-	3.12	3.12	-	0.78	1.56	-	1.56	1.56	-	7.81	0
<i>E. cloaceae</i>	3.12	3.12	-	3.12	1.56	-	3.12	1.56	-	0.78	1.56	-	1.56	3.12	-	1.95	0
<i>E. coli</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	31.25	0
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	3.12	3.12	-	3.12	1.56	-	3.12	1.56	-	0.78	1.56	-	1.56	3.12	-	1.95	0
<i>S. aureus</i>	3.12	3.12	-	3.12	1.56	-	3.12	3.12	-	0.78	1.56	-	1.56	1.56	-	31.25	0
<i>A. flavus</i>	25	6.25	-	-	-	-	25	12.5	-	6.25	3.12	-	25	12.5	-	0	3.9
<i>A. fumigatus</i>	25	3.12	-	-	25	-	25	6.25	-	6.25	3.12	-	25	6.25	-	0	3.9
<i>B. cinerea</i>	25	3.12	-	25	12.5	-	3.12	1.56	-	1.56	1.56	-	12.5	6.25	-	0	1.95
<i>C. albicans</i>	12.5	1.56	-	-	25	-	3.12	1.56	-	1.56	1.56	-	25	6.25	-	0	1.95
<i>F. oxysporum</i>	25	6.25	-	-	25	-	25	12.5	-	6.25	3.12	-	25	6.25	-	0	3.9
<i>M. mucedo</i>	25	6.25	-	-	25	-	12.5	6.25	-	6.25	3.12	-	25	6.25	-	0	31.25
<i>P. variotii</i>	25	3.12	-	25	12.5	-	6.25	3.12	-	3.12	1.56	-	25	3.12	-	0	1.95
<i>P. purpurescens</i>	25	3.12	-	-	-	-	25	12.5	-	12.5	6.25	-	25	12.5	-	0	3.9
<i>P. verrucosum</i>	25	3.12	-	-	-	-	25	6.25	-	12.5	6.25	-	25	12.5	-	0	3.9
<i>T. harsianum</i>	25	6.25	-	-	-	-	12.5	6.25	-	6.25	3.12	-	25	12.5	-	0	7.81

<sup>a</sup> A – acetone extract; B – methanol extract; C – aqueous extract

<sup>b</sup> Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC); values given as mg/ml for lichen extract and as µg/ml for antibiotics  
Antibiotics: K – ketaconazole, S – streptomycin

**Disc-difusional method:** The acetone and methanol extracts of the tested lichens showed a strong antimicrobial activity. The extracts of the lichen *Lecanora atra* inhibited 5 out of 6 tested bacteria. The greatest sensitivity to the tested species was shown by the species *Bacillus mycoides* in which the largest zones of inhibition were measured (22 cm for the acetone and 25mm for the methanol extract). The extracts of the lichen *Lecanora atra* also showed an antifungal activity relative to the all tested fungi. The zones of inhibition for the acetone and the methanol extracts were within the range 11-21 mm.

The lichen *Lecanora muralis* showed a relatively strong antibacterial activity. The largest zone of inhibition (26mm) was measured in the methanol extract relative to the species *Bacillus mycoides*. The extracts of this lichen showed a weak antifungal activity. The acetone extract inhibited two and the methanol one seven out of ten tested fungi. The zone of inhibition for the acetone and methanol extracts range 12-16 mm.

The acetone and methanol extracts of the lichen *Parmelia saxatilis* showed a very strong inhibitory influence to the tested bacteria. Bigger zones of inhibition were noticed in influencing of the methanol extract, especially relative to the species *Bacillus mycoides* (24 mm). The zones of inhibition in both extracts relative to the tested fungi ranged 13-28mm.

The extracts of the lichen *Parmelia sulcata* showed the strongest antibacterial activity. The acetone extract showed a stronger antibacterial effect compared to the methanol one. The zones of inhibition relative to the bacteria were large. They were within the range of 16-28mm for the acetone and 13 – 26mm for the methanol one. The lichen *Parmelia sulcata* had a strong antifungal activity. The measured zones of inhibition related to the fungi were also large.

The acetone and methanol extracts of the lichen *Parmeliopsis ambigua* showed a relatively strong antimicrobial activity. The zones of inhibition of both the extracts relative to the bacteriae and fungi were relatively large (11–24 mm).

**Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC):** The MIC for the different extract related to the tested bacteria and fungi were within the range of 0.78-25 mg/ml. The biggest antibacterial activity was in the extracts of the lichen *Parmelia sulcata*, particularly the acetone extract, which inhibited the tested bacteria in a very low concentration (0.78 mg/mL). The lichen *Parmelia sulcata* had a very strong antifungal activity as well. The measured MIC values related to the tested fungi were relatively low (1.56-12.5 mg/mL). The extracts of the lichens *Lecanora atra*, *Parmelia saxatilis* and *Parmeliopsis ambigua* showed relatively equal antimicrobial activity, although it should be stressed that the methanol extracts had shown a stronger inhibitory influence than the acetone ones. The lichen *Lecanora muralis* showed a relatively strong antibacterial effect but the antifungal effect was weak. The MIC for the acetone and methanol extracts of the lichen *Lecanora muralis* were within the range 1.56-3.12 mg/mL related to the bacteria and 12.5-25 mg/mL related to the fungi.

## Discussion

The tested lichen extracts show a relatively strong antimicrobial activity. The intensity of the antimicrobial effect of the tested extracts depended on the sort of the extract, its concentration and the tested microorganism. The aquatic extracts of the tested lichens didn't show any antimicrobial activity. That is probably because the active

components produced by lichens can't be diluted or can be little diluted (Kinoshita *et al.*, 1994). The antibacterial effect is stronger relative to the antifungal one. These results could be expected considering the fact that numerous tests proved that bacteria are more sensitive to the antibiotic compared with fungi (Hugo & Russell, 1983). The reason of different sensitivity between the fungi and bacteria can be found in different transparency of the cell wall (Yang *et al.*, 1999). The cell wall of the gram-positive bacteria consists of peptidoglycans (mureins) and teichoic acids, the cell wall of the gram-negative cells consists of lipopolysaccharides, and lipopoliproteins (Mandelstam *et al.*, 1982; Jean van Heijenoort; 2001; Hugenholtz, 2002) whereas the cell wall of fungi consists of polysaccharides such as chitin and glucan (Ruiz-Herrera, 1992; Griffin, 1994).

Previous researches showed significant bioactive characteristics of similar lichens. Gulluce *et al.*, (2006) found out that the methanol extract of the lichen *P. saxatilis* had a strong antimicrobial influence. Similar results were reported by Candan *et al.*, (2007) for different extracts extracted from the lichen *Parmelia sulcata*. Ranković *et al.*, (2007) find an antimicrobial activity for the extracts of the lichens *Parmelia caperata* and *Parmelia pertusa*.

In this work, for the first time study was carried out on the antimicrobial activity of the lichens *Lecanora atra*, *Lecanora muralis* and *Parmeliopsis ambigua*. The obtained results showed that the tested lichen extracts showed a significant antimicrobial influence relative to the tested bacteria and fungi. That can be very useful in prevention of turning food bad and therapies of many diseases caused by these and similar microorganisms.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was financed in part by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Development of the Republic of Serbia and was carried out within the framework of project no. 173032. The author is grateful to Prof. Dr. Slobodan Sukdolak for his helpful collaboration in this work.

#### References

- Ahmadjian, V. 1993. *The lichen symbiosis*. John Wiley & Sons, INC, pp.1-250.
- Anonymous. 1993. NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards). *Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility test* (8th ed.), Approved Standard. M2-A6, Wayne PA, USA.
- Anonymous. 1998. NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards). *Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of conidium-forming filamentous fungi*: Proposed Standard M38-P. NCCLS, Wayne, PA, USA.
- Baytop, T. 1999. *Therapy with Medicinal plants in Turkey* (Past and Present). Istanbul University, Istanbul, pp.1- 233.
- Candan, M., M. Yılmaz, T. Tay, M. Erdem and A.Ö. Türk. 2007. Antimicrobial activity of extracts of the Lichen *Parmelia sulcata* and its Salazinic acid constituent. *Z. Naturforsch.*, 62: 619-621.
- Cansarana, D., D. Kahya, E. Yurdakulol and O. Atakol. 2006. Identification and quantitation of usnic acid from the lichen *Usnea* species of Anatolia and antimicrobial activity. *Z. Naturforsch. C*, 61: 773-776.
- Choudhary, M.I., S.A. Jalil and A. Rahman. 2005. Bioactive phenolic compounds from a medicinal lichen, *Usnea longissima*. *Phytochemistry*, 66: 2346-2350.
- Davies, J.E., B. Waters and G. Saxena. 2002. Method for inhibiting eukaryotic protein kinases. US Patent 20000688545.
- Dobson, F. 2000. *Lichens An Illustrated Guide*. The Richmond publishing Co. Ltd., England, pp.1- 431.
- Galun, M. and Shomer-Ilan. 1988. Secondary metabolites products. In: *Handbook of Lichenology*. Vol. I. (Ed.): M. Galun. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 95 -107.
- Griffin, D.H. 1994. Molecular architecture. In: *Fungal Physiology*, 2nd edition, Wiley Liss New York: 65-74.
- Gulluce, M., A. Aslan, M. Sokmen, F. Sahin, A. Adiguzel and G. Agar. 2006. Screening the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of the lichens *Parmelia saxatilis*, *Platismatia glauca*, *Ramalina pollinaria*, *Ramalina polymorpha* and *Umbilicaria nylanderiana*. *Phytomedicine*, 13: 515-521.
- Halama, P. and C. Haluwin. 2004. Antifungal activity of lichen extracts and lichenic acids. *BioControl*, 49: 95-107.
- Heijenoort, J. 2001. Formation of the glycan chains in the synthesis of bacterial peptidoglycan. *Glycobiology*, 11: 25-36.
- Hugenholtz, P. 2002. Exploring prokaryotic diversity in the genomic era. *Genome biology*, 3(2): reviews 0003, 1-0003.8.
- Hugo, W.B. and A.D. Russell. 1983. *Pharmaceutical microbiology*, 3rd edition. Blackwell Scientific Publications, pp. 1- 351.
- Huneck, S. 1999. The significance of lichens and their metabolites. *Naturwissenschaften*, 86: 559-570.
- Iftikhar, T., A. Zia, M. Niaz, I. Ashraf, S.Q. Abbas, K.J. Lee and I.U. Haq. 2010. Mutation Induced Enhanced Biosynthesis of Lipases by *Rhizopus oligosporus* var. *microsporus*. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 42(2): 1235-1249.
- Jabeen, R., M. Ashraf, I. Ahmad and T. Iftikhar. 2011. Purification and bioassays of bioactive fraction from *Curcuma longa* against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* causing blb disease in rice. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43(2): 1335-1342.
- Kinoshita, K., H. Matsubara, K. Koyama, K. Takahashi, I. Yoshimura, Y. Yamamoto, Y. Miura, Y. Kinoshita and K.I. Kawai. 1994. Topics in the chemistry of lichen compounds, *J. Hattori Bot. Lab.*, 76: 227-233.
- Kirmizigül, S., Ö. Koz, H. Anil and S. İçli. 2003. Isolation and structure elucidation of novel natural products from Turkish Lichens. *Turk. J. Chem.*, 27: 493-500.
- Lawrey, J.D. 1986. Biological role of lichen substances. *Bryologist*, 89: 11-122.
- Purvis, O.W., B.J. Coppins, D.L. Hawksworth, P.W. James and D.M. Moore. 1992. *The lichen flora of Great Britain and Ireland*. Natural History Museum. Publications in association with the British Lichen Society, London, pp.1-700.
- Ranković, B., M. Mišić and S. Sukdolak. 2007. Antimicrobial activity of the lichens *Cladonia furcata*, *Parmelia caperata*, *Parmelia pertusa*, *Hypogymnia physodes* and *Umbilicaria polyphylla*. *British J. Biomedical Science*, 64: 143-148.
- Ranković, B., M. Mišić and S. Sukdolak. 2008. The antimicrobial activity of substances derived from the lichens *Physcia aipolia*, *Umbilicaria polyphylla*, *Parmelia caperata* and *Hypogymnia physodes*. *World J Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 24: 1239-1242.
- Reynolds, P.E. 1982. The bacterial cells: major structure In: *Biochemistry of Bacterial Growth*. (Eds.): J. Mandelstam, K. McQuillen and I. Davis. 3rd edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 52-54.

- Richardson, D.H.S. 1988. Medicinal and other economic aspects of lichens. In: *CRC Handbook of lichenology*. (Ed.): M. Galun. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, 93-108.
- Richardson, D.H.S. 1991. Lichen and man. In: *Frontiers in Mycology*, (Ed.): D.L. Hawksworth. Wallingford: CAB International, 187-210.
- Romagni, J.G. and F.E. Dayan. 2002. Structural diversity of lichen metabolites and their potential use, In: *Advances in Microbial Toxin Research and its Biotechnological Exploitation*, (Ed.): R.K. Updhyay, New York. Kluwer Academic, Plenum Publishers, pp. 151-169.
- Ruiz-Herrera, J. 1992. *Chemical composition of the fungal cell*. In: *Fungal Cell Wall, Structure, Synthesis and Assembly*. CRC Press, London, pp. 5-40.
- Taylor, T.N., H. Hass, W. Remy and H. Kerp. 1995. The oldest fossil lichen. *Nature*, 378: 244-245.
- Toma, N., L. Ghetea, R. Nitu and D.I. Corol. 2001. Progress and perspectives in the biotechnology of lichens. *Roum. Biotechnol. Lett*, 6: 1-15.
- Vartia, K.O. 1973. Antibiotics in lichens In: *The lichens*. (Eds.): V. Ahmadjian and M.E. Hale. Academic Press. New York, pp. 547-561.
- Wirth, V. 1995. Die Flechten Baden-Württembergs-Teil 1 and 2. Verlag Ulmer, Stuttgart, pp. 1-527.
- Yang, Y. and E.J. Anderson. 1999. Antimicrobial activity of a porcine myeloperoxidase against plant pathogenic bacteria and fungi. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 86: 211-220.

(Received for publication 3 March 2009)