

SELECTION OF ELITE GENOTYPES FOR YIELD AND ASSOCIATED TRAITS IN F_{2,3} FAMILIES OF INTERSPECIFIC CROSSES IN *BRASSICA* SPECIES

FAZLI DAYIM SHEHZAD AND FARHATULLAH*

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agricultural University Peshawar, Pakistan

*Corresponding author's email: drfarhat@aup.edu.pk

Abstract

Interspecific crosses of *Brassica* species were evaluated to identify desirable genotypes for yield and associated traits. Parental species included *Brassica napus* (Dunkled, Maluko, line A-20-20), *B. campestris* (lines 1203 and 2163), *B. carinata* (Peela raya), and *B. juncea* (lines PR-64 and 89111-1). All traits were segregated in F₂ population. All parameters were decreased in F₃ in comparison to F₂ population, indicating higher response of the crosses to inbreeding depression (0-85%). A reduction in height (12-27%), primary branches (18-35%), pods main raceme⁻¹ (19-35%), seed pod⁻¹ (10-31%), pod length (14-28%), 100-seed weight (5-28%) and seed yield plant⁻¹ (14-35%) of F₃ population was recorded as compared to F₂. Heritability estimates were low to medium (50-70%) for primary branches, medium to high (70-80%) for plant height, pod length, seeds pod⁻¹, and seed yield plant⁻¹ while low-medium-high for pods raceme⁻¹ and 100-seed weight. It was concluded that selection for one of these characters would be beneficial for the others also. F₃ population of Maluko × 1203 produced the maximum silique main raceme⁻¹, seeds silique⁻¹, silique length and 100-seed weight. Peela raya × PR-64 produced the maximum primary branches plant⁻¹, while 2163 × 89111-1 was the best for seed yield plant⁻¹ and plant height in F₃ as compared to the F₂ population.

Introduction

Rapeseed and mustard crops are being cultivated in 53 countries spread over the six continents across the globe covering an area of 24.2 million hectares with an average yield of 1451 kg ha⁻¹ ranging from 411 (Russian Federation) to 6250 kg ha⁻¹ (Algeria) with the total production of 35.1 million tons. Asian continent alone accounts for 59.1% of the hectareage but contributes only 48.6% to the world production. Europe contributes 29.7% to the global production while its share is only 16.2% in the total global hectareage. However, yield is highly variable ranging from 411 (Russian Federation) to 3528 kg ha⁻¹ (France) (Yadav & Singh 1999).

Pakistan is chronically deficient in the production of edible oils and this deficit is being continuously enlarged. The local production of edible oil from all crops is only sufficient to meet about one third of the domestic consumption, remaining being met through imports. These imports are continuously increasing with an alarming rate of 13% annually. During the year 2010-11 local production of oilseed crops accounted for only 23% of the total availability while the remaining 77% was made available through imports (Anon., 2011).

Heritability is an important tool for measuring the transmission of trait from parents to off-springs or consistency of that particular trait. Considerable work has been done in order to estimate the heritability of morphological traits in *Brassica* species. In rapeseed high heritability with high genetic advance has been reported for plant height and seed yield (Singh & Singh, 1997). Skeikh *et al.*, (1999) found high heritability coupled with high genetic advance for seed yield plant⁻¹, primary and secondary branches, silique plant⁻¹ and seed weight in rapeseed (*B. campestris* L.) genotypes. They also reported correlation of all the yield components with seed yield. Inter-specific and inter-generic hybridizations can be used for the release of genetically modified *Brassica campestris* and *B. napus* hybrids. Interspecific crosses may result in progeny that exhibit partial fertility/sterility. This may be influenced by chromosome number of the cultivated species and the relatives. Although there are

many exceptions, higher maternal and paternal ratios improve the chance for a successful cross (Nishiyama & Inomata, 1966).

Estimation of variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and inheritance pattern of yield and its components were investigated by Ali *et al.*, (2003), Noshin *et al.*, (2003), Sohail & Khan (2003), and Ali (1985). In their studies selections were made in local or exotic germplasm but not in combination of local and exotic germplasm for yield and other economic traits. Keeping in view the importance of edible oil in the country, an experiment was designed with the following objectives to:

- i. identify the promising interspecific crosses in F_{2,3} generations having high yield and associated traits.
- ii. estimate h² for yield and quality traits through using parents-offspring regression procedure.

Materials and Methods

Genetic material: To get F₂ plants, F₁ hybrids obtained from the crosses Dunkled × 1203, Maluko × 2163, A-20-20 × 1203, Peela raya × PR -64 and 2163 × 89111-1 were evaluated during the years 2003-4 and 2004-05 (Rabi season) at New Development Farms, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agricultural University, Peshawar. In F₂ population, selection was made for yield and associated traits and was advanced to F₃ generation. Means, variances and heritability estimates (h²) for plant height, primary branches plant⁻¹, silique main raceme⁻¹, seed silique⁻¹, silique length⁻¹ (Table 1), 100-seed weight (Table 2) and seed yield plant⁻¹ (Table 3) were recorded for all the populations under study.

Cultural practices: Both the populations (F₂ and F₃) were evaluated in two meters long beds. Bed-to-bed distance was one meter. Each bed was comprised of 11 lines of a single population with 60 cm row to row and 40 cm plant-to-plant spacing. Each line within the bed was a different cross of that particular population. No fertilizer and pest management practices were adopted in order to measure the potential of the crosses under natural conditions.

Table 1. Means, variances and heritability estimates for plant height, primary branches plant⁻¹, silique main raceme⁻¹, seed silique⁻¹ and silique length⁻¹ of F₂ and F₃ Brassica populations.

| Plants /Lines | Characters | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Plant height (cm) | Branches plant ⁻¹ | Silique main raceme ⁻¹ | Seed silique ⁻¹ | Silique length (cm) |
| Dunkled | 150 | 8 | 42 | 14 | 6.6 |
| 1203 | 150 | 7 | 45 | 16 | 4.9 |
| Maluko | 200 | 6 | 45 | 22 | 5.9 |
| 2163 | 195 | 7 | 47 | 15 | 4.5 |
| A-20-20 | 175 | 7 | 41 | 16 | 8.5 |
| Peela Raya | 180 | 7 | 47 | 19 | 5.4 |
| PR-64 | 112 | 5 | 40 | 10 | 3.5 |
| 89111-1 | 117 | 7 | 40 | 18 | 6.9 |
| Dunkled × 1203 | | | | | |
| F ₂ | 175.9 | 9.0 | 56.0 | 18.1 | 5.0 |
| F ₃ | 147.9 | 6.0 | 44.7 | 16.2 | 4.3 |
| Difference I | -15.0 | -33.3 | -20.27 | -10.50 | -14.0 |
| Difference II | -1.4 | -25.0 | 2.75 | 8.0 | -25.47 |
| Var I | 908.8 | 9.0 | 566.6 | 42.7 | 1.3 |
| Var II | 375.0 | 5.6 | 319.0 | 15.4 | 1.0 |
| h ² | 0.83 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.87 |
| Maluko × 2163 | | | | | |
| F ₂ | 163.2 | 10.0 | 74.8 | 16.6 | 6.3 |
| F ₃ | 135.8 | 7.0 | 54.8 | 11.5 | 4.6 |
| Difference I | -16.78 | -30.0 | -26.80 | -30.72 | -23.8 |
| Difference II | -21.24 | 7.69 | 19.13 | -37.83 | -8.22 |
| Var I | 1072.3 | 8.8 | 755.4 | 25.0 | 2.2 |
| Var II | 678.3 | 2.0 | 404.7 | 15.8 | 0.9 |
| h ² | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.74 |
| A-20-20 × 1203 | | | | | |
| F ₂ | 167.8 | 9.0 | 71.5 | 20.5 | 6.9 |
| F ₃ | 122.0 | 6.0 | 45.9 | 14.2 | 5.2 |
| Difference I | -27.29 | -33.3 | -35.84 | -30.73 | -24.63 |
| Difference II | -24.92 | -14.28 | 6.74 | -11.25 | -22.38 |
| Var I | 997.9 | 14.2 | 750.4 | 5.8 | 0.3 |
| Var II | 214.9 | 3.8 | 218.0 | 32.2 | 5.2 |
| h ² | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.75 |
| Peela Raya × PR-64 | | | | | |
| F ₂ | 187.9 | 17.0 | 18.8 | 20.7 | 6.0 |
| F ₃ | 164.2 | 11.0 | 15.2 | 15.4 | 4.5 |
| Difference I | -12.61 | -35.2 | -19.1 | -25.43 | 25.0 |
| Difference II | 12.46 | 83.33 | -65.05 | 62.10 | 0.4 |
| Var I | 580.6 | 38.6 | 5.3 | 23.4 | 0.8 |
| Var II | 297.4 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 0.9 |
| h ² | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.76 |
| 2163 × 89111-1 | | | | | |
| F ₂ | 183.1 | 11.0 | 53.9 | 15.2 | 4.9 |
| F ₃ | 157.6 | 9.0 | 37.0 | 12.6 | 3.5 |
| Difference I | -13.92 | -18.0 | -31.18 | -17.28 | -28.57 |
| Difference II | 1.02 | 28.57 | -14.94 | -23.63 | -38.59 |
| Var I | 1086.5 | 2.4 | 181.4 | 4.2 | 0.4 |
| Var II | 695.2 | 2.4 | 299.4 | 5.8 | 0.2 |
| h ² | 0.82 | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.81 | 0.71 |

Var I = Variance among F₂ Plants; Var II= Variance among F₃ Plants**Table 2. Means, variances and heritability estimates for 100-seed weight of F₂ and F₃ Brassica populations.**

| Plants/lines | Populations | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Dunkled × 1203 | | Maluko × 2163 | | A-20-20 × 1203 | | Peela raya × PR 64 | | 2163 × 89111-1 | |
| Parents | Dunkled | 1203 | Maluko | 2163 | A-20-20 | 1203 | Peela raya | PR 64 | 2163 | 89111-1 |
| Values | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.83 |
| Mean | F ₂ | F ₃ | F ₂ | F ₃ | F ₂ | F ₃ | F ₂ | F ₃ | F ₂ | F ₃ |
| Difference I | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Difference II | -9.09 | | -16.98 | | -5.88 | | -26.92 | | | -28.57 |
| Var | 33.33 | | 11.11 | | 11.11 | | 0.0 | | | -41.17 |
| h ² | 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.008 |
| | 0.79 | | 0.81 | | 0.91 | | 0.69 | | | 0.65 |

Table 3. Means, variances and heritability estimates for seed yield plant⁻¹ of F₂ and F₃ *Brassica* populations.

| Plants/lines | Populations | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Dunkled × 1203 | | Maluko × 2163 | | A-20-20 × 1203 | | Peela raya × PR 64 | | 2163 × 89111-1 | |
| Parents | Dunkled | 1203 | Maluko | 2163 | A-20-20 | 1203 | Peela raya | PR 64 | 2163 | 89111-1 |
| Values | 90.6 | 131.76 | 58.68 | 62.88 | 36.96 | 131.76 | 62.32 | 82.56 | 104.8 | 85.0 |
| Mean | F ₂ 163.1 | F ₃ 114.2 | F ₂ 156.1 | F ₃ 112.3 | F ₂ 140.2 | F ₃ 103.8 | F ₂ 95.9 | F ₃ 83.6 | F ₂ 185.7 | F ₃ 140.0 |
| Difference I | | -29.9 | | -28.0 | | -25.9 | | -18.6 | | -24.5 |
| Difference II | | 2.71 | | 84.76 | | 23.02 | | 15.19 | | 47.52 |
| Var | 8470.7 | 4150.6 | 5823.2 | 3014.68 | 2227.00 | 1219.4 | 116.5 | 77.06 | 11458.1 | 6513.0 |
| h ² | | 0.70 | | 0.71 | | 0.74 | | 0.86 | | 0.75 |

Data collection and statistical analysis: Data regarding different yield and associated traits i.e., plant height, number of primary branches, pod length, pods raceme⁻¹, seeds pod⁻¹, 100-grain weight, seed yield plant⁻¹ were recorded.

Mean and variances were calculated from the average data recorded using Microsoft Excel software. Heritability for all traits was also estimated using procedure described by Fehr (1988).

Results and Discussion

Plant height: A reduction of various magnitude occurred for plant height of all the crosses in F₃ as compared with F₂ generation. Reduction was 15% in cross Dunkled × 1203, 16.78% in cross Maluko × 2163, 27.29% in cross A-20-20 × 1203, 12.61% in cross Peela raya × PR-64 and 13.92% in cross 2163 × 89111-1. These observations revealed that selection in F₂ was probably made for medium plants had the maximum tolerance to lodging. In comparison to the parental lines, plant height in F₃ was reduced 1.4% in Dunkled × 1203, 31.24% in Maluko × 2163, 24.92% in A-20-20 × 1203, while increased 12.46% in Peela raya × PR-64 and 1.02% in 2163 × 89111-1 (Table 1). Heritability estimates for plant height in *brassica* populations were 0.83 for Dunkled × 1203, 0.80 for Maluko × 2163, 0.70 for A-20-20 × 1203, 0.85 for Peela raya × PR-64, and 0.82 for 2163 × 89111-1. High heritability values indicate that plant height could be used as selection criterion. This indicates effectiveness of selection for plant height in the current *brassica* populations. High heritability coupled with genetic advance is reported by Diwakar & Singh (1993), Mahmood *et al.*, (2003) and Mahak *et al.*, (2011) observing high GCV coupled with high heritability for plant height, seed yield and days to maturity while working on Indian mustard.

Primary branches plant⁻¹: Number of primary branches were reduced 33.3% in cross Dunkled × 1203, 30% in cross Maluko × 2163, 33.3% in cross A-20-20 × 1203, 35.2% in cross Peela raya × PR-64 and 18% in cross 2163 × 89111-1 in F₃ in comparison to F₂ populations. Comparing parental values, number of primary branches increased 7.69% in Maluko × 2163, 83.33% in Peela raya × PR-64, and 28.57% in 2163 × 89111-1, while reduced 25% in Dunkled × 1203 and 14.28% in A-20-20 × 1203 (Table 1). Heritability estimates were 0.67 (Dunkled × 1203), 0.68 (Maluko × 2163), 0.57 (A-20-20 × 1203), 0.59 (Peela raya × PR-64), and 0.73 (2163 × 89111-1).

High heritability values obtained in the current studies revealed that early selection could be valuable for primary branches. On the basis of these observations, phenotypic or pedigree selection could be more efficient for the improvement of this trait. These results are also supported by Gosh & Gulati (2001) who reported higher heritability in Indian mustard. Results are also in agreement to the findings of Khulbe *et al.*, (2000) who found higher heritability and genetic advance for number of branches and other parameters.

Silique main raceme⁻¹: The range and average for silique main raceme⁻¹ in F₂ was from 11 to 80 for cross Dunkled × 1203, 44 to 116 for Maluko × 2163, 25 to 119 for cross A-20-20 × 1203, 12 to 22 for cross Peela raya × PR-64, and from 30 to 74 for cross 2163 × 89111-1 averaging 54.09, 74.72, 71.54, 16.90, 53.90, respectively (Table 1). In F₃ it was observed that pods main raceme⁻¹ of the five *Brassica* populations reduced 20.27% in cross Dunkled × 1203, 26.80% in cross Maluko × 2163, 35.84% in cross A-20-20 × 1203, 19.1% in cross Peela raya × PR-64 and 31.18% in cross 2163 × 89111-1 in comparison to F₂ population. Heritability estimates were 0.74 for cross Dunkled × 1203, 0.73 for cross Maluko × 2163, 0.55 for cross A-20-20 × 1203, 0.80 for cross Peela raya × PR-64, and 0.66 for cross 2163 × 89111-1, respectively (Table 1).

The results of current studies are supported by earlier findings of Mahmood *et al.*, (2003) in mustard, who obtained higher heritability for silique plant⁻¹. Similarly, Gosh & Gulati (2001) and Hashemi *et al.*, (2010) reported higher heritability for number of pods main shoot⁻¹ during F₂ and F₃ generations. High heritability combined with high genetic advance was also reported by Ali (1985) in mustard germplasm.

Seeds silique⁻¹: In comparison to F₂, number of seed silique⁻¹ in F₃ of the five *Brassica* populations reduced 10.50% for cross Dunkled × 1203, 30.72% for cross Maluko × 2163, 30.73% for cross A-20-20 × 1203, 25.43% for cross Peela raya × PR-64 and 17.28% for cross 2163 × 89111-1. In comparison to the parental values, seeds silique⁻¹ decreased 37.83% in Maluko × 2163, 11.25% in A-20-20 × 1203 and 23.63% in 2163 × 89111-1, while increased 8.0% in Dunkled × 1203 and 62.10% in Peela raya × PR-64 in F₃ populations (Table 1).

Heritability estimates were 0.83 for cross Dunkled × 1203, 0.68 for cross Maluko × 2163, 0.70 for cross A-20-20 × 1203, 0.70 for cross Peela raya × PR-64, and 0.81 for cross 2163 × 89111-1, respectively for number of seeds silique⁻¹ (Table 1).

However, higher heritability estimates found in the current studies are supported by the earlier findings of Gosh & Gulati (2001). They reported high heritability with high genetic advance for seed silique⁻¹, they further suggested that phenotypic selection could be used for the improvement of this trait. However, Rao & Gulati (2001) reported medium heritability for seed silique⁻¹.

Silique length (cm): In F₃, silique length of five *Brassica* populations, a reduction of 14.0% for cross Dunkled × 1203, 23.8% for cross Maluko × 2163, 24.63% for cross A-20-20 × 1203, 25% for cross Peela raya × PR-64 and 28.57% for cross 2163 × 89111-1 in comparison to the F₂ populations (Table 1). In comparison to the parental lines, silique length in F₃ reduced 25.47% in Dunkled × 1203, 8.22% in Maluko × 2163, 22.38% in A-20-20 × 1203 and 38.59% in 2163 × 89111-1, while increased 0.4% in Peela raya × PR-64.

Heritability estimates were 0.87 for cross Dunkled × 1203, 0.74 for cross Maluko × 2163, 0.75 for cross A-20-20 × 1203, 0.76 for cross Peela raya × PR-64, and 0.71 for cross 2163 × 89111-1 (Table 1).

The importance of silique length is obvious and as a yield component consideration was given to this trait in the current study. Higher heritability estimates suggest that the selection of this trait could be beneficial for the improvement of yield. Chay & Thurling (1989) reported that Seed weight plant⁻¹ tended to increase with increasing silique length. They further reported that families with longest silique generally produce significantly higher yields than those with shorter silique.

100-Seed weight: During the study it was observed that 100-seed weight of the five *Brassica* populations reduced 9.09% in cross Dunkled × 1203, 16.98% in cross Maluko × 2163, 5.88% in cross A-20-20 × 1203, 26.92% in cross Peela raya × PR-64 and 28.57% in cross 2163 × 89111-1 in F₃ as compared to the F₂ population (Table 2). In comparison to the seed weight of parental, in F₃ a reduction of 41.17% was observed in 2163 × 89111-1, while for the remaining all crosses 100-seed weight increased 33.33% in Dunkled × 1203, 11.11% in both Maluko × 2163 and A-20-20 × 1203.

Heritability estimates were 0.79, 0.91, 0.69 and 0.65 for crosses Dunkled × 1203, Maluko × 2163, A-20-20 × 1203, Peela raya × PR-64, and 2163 × 89111-1, respectively (Table 2).

Higher heritability estimates were experienced in the current studies for 100-seed weight, which are also supported by the results of Ali (1985) who observed a range of heritability (0.51-0.95) for the said trait in mustard germplasm. Medium heritability (0.55) was reported by Ali *et al.*, (2003) suggesting that this parameter could be improved through mass selection.

Seed yield plant⁻¹: From the data it is revealed that seed yield plant⁻¹ of the five *Brassica* populations reduced 35.68% in cross Dunkled × 1203, 33.66% in cross Maluko × 2163, 26.91% in cross A-20-20 × 1203, 14.45% in cross Peela raya × PR-64 and 23.89% in cross 2163 × 89111-1 in F₃ in comparison to F₂ populations (Table 3).

In comparison to parental genotypes, an increase in seed yield plant⁻¹ of Maluko × 2163 (48.28%), A-20-20 × 1203 (0.69%), Peela raya × PR-64 (29.53%) and 2163 × 89111-1 (58.70%) was recorded. A reduction of 40.61% in seed yield in Dunkled × 1203 was also noticed.

Heritability estimates were 0.70 for cross Dunkled × 1203, 0.71 for cross Maluko × 2163, 0.74 for cross A-20-20 × 1203, 0.86 for cross Peela raya × PR-64, and 0.81 for cross 2163 × 89111-1, respectively (Table 3).

In current studies high to medium heritability was observed for seed yield plant⁻¹. Similarly Ali *et al.*, (2003) and Mahak *et al.*, (2011) observed high GCV coupled with high heritability for plant height, seed yield and days to maturity. However, Rao & Gulati (2001) observed medium to low heritability for seed yield plant⁻¹ in crosses. The difference could be due the difference in genetic material and environmental conditions. However, low heritability is obtained by Li *et al.*, (1990). Yadav *et al.*, (1993) suggested that selection under normal sowing condition could improve the seed yield plant⁻¹.

Conclusions and recommendations: The study aimed to identify promising genotypes, having high yield and associated traits, by combination of local and exotic germplasm. A high level of inbreeding depression was observed among all the populations under the study. Evaluation of parental lines and their respective progenies in two separated years may also be a reason for it.

The study revealed that *Brassica* is much influenced by environmental stress. Temperature is having a significant role in vegetative growth of *Brassica* plant. During the second year of evaluation rainfall was more than normal so vegetative growth was enhanced which may also be the reason for increased heritability. All the characters were highly correlated to each other so selection for one of the character would be beneficial for the other one also. Taller plants were having significant impact on yield as they have more silique plant⁻¹ and have more number of branches.

During the study, no pest management studies were carried out, however, all the lines advanced to the next generation were resistant to both disease and insect pests. In future, it is recommended that exclusive work should be carried out to develop resistance against disease and insect pests. As the study aimed to identify promising genotypes for local cultivation, combination of *Brassica napus* × *B. campestris* proved superior for local cultivation.

References

- Ali, N., F. Javidfar, J.Y. Elmira and M.Y. Mirza. 2003. Relationship among yield components and selection criteria for yield improvement in winter rapeseed *Brassica napus*. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 35: 167-174.
- Ali, N. 1985. Genetic variability and correlation studies in *Brassica juncea*. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 17: 297-303.
- Anonymous. 2011. *Economic Survey of Pakistan*. Ministry of Finance, Govt of Pakistan, Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Chay, P. and N. Thurling. 1989. Identification of genes controlling pod lengths in spring rapeseed, *Brassica napus* and their utilization for yield improvement. *Plant Breeding*, 103: 54-62.

- Diwakar, M.C. and A.K. Singh. 1993. Heritability and genetic advance in segregating populations of yellow seeded Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss.). *Annal. Agric Res.*, 14: 247-248.
- Fehr, W.R. 1988. *Principles of Cultivar Development*, 1. New York. Macmillan.
- Ghosh, S.K. and S.C. Gulati. 2001. Genetic variability and association of yield components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Crop Res.*, 21: 345-349.
- Hashemi, A.S., G.A. Nematzadeh, N.B. Jelodar and O.G. Chapi. 2010. Genetic evaluation of yield and yield components at advanced generations in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *African. J. Agric. Res.*, 5: 1958-1964.
- Khulbe, R.K., D.P. Pant and N. Saxena. 2000. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Crop Res.*, 20: 551-552.
- Li, J.N., J. Qiu, Z.L. Tang and L. Chen. 1990. Correlation analyses of the major yield and quality characters in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). *Oil Crops. China*, 1: 11-16.
- Mahmood, T., M. Ali, S. Iqbal and M. Anwar. 2003. Genetic variability and heritability estimates in Summer Mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Asian. J. Plant Sci.*, 2: 77-79.
- Mahak, S., A. Tomar, C.N. Mishra and S.B.L. Srivastava. 2011. Genetic parameters and character association studies in Indian mustard. *J. Oilseed. Brassica*, 2: 35-38.
- Nishiyama, I. and N. Inomata. 1966. Embryological studies on cross incompatibility between 2X and 4X in *Brassica. Japanese. J. Genet.*, 41: 27-42.
- Noshin, M., M. Iqbal, R. Din, S.J. Khan, S. Khan, I. Khan and M. Khan. 2003. Genetic analysis of yield and its components in F generations of brown mustard (*Brassica juncea* L., Czern and Coss). *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 2: 1027-1033.
- Rao, N.V. and S.C. Gulati. 2001. Comparison of gene action in F₁ and F₂ diallels of Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Crop Res.*, 21: 72-76.
- Singh, M. and G. Singh. 1997. Correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard (*B. juncea* L) under mid hills of Sikkim. *J. Hill Res.*, (India), 10: 10-12.
- Skeikh, F.A., A.G. Rather and S.A. Wain. 1999. Genetic variability and inter-relationship in toria (*B. campestris* L., var. toria). *Advances in plant sciences*, 12: 139-143.
- Sohail, R.A.K. and F.A. Khan. 2003. Evaluation of Genetic Potential of Some *Brassica* Germplasm Collections. *International J. Agric. Bio.*, 5: 630-631.
- Yadav, Y.P., H. Singh and D. Singh. 1993. Gene action for seed yield and its attributes under two environments in Indian mustard. *Crop Res.*, 6: 168-172.
- Yadava, J.S. and N.B. Singh. 1999. Strategies to enhance yield potential of rapeseed mustard in India. *10th International Rapeseed Conference*, (Eds.): N. Wratten and P. Salisbury. Canberra, Australia. CD-ROM.

(Received for publication 14 March 2010)