GROWTH DYNAMICS AND LEAF CHARACTERISTICS IN OATS (AVENA SATIVA L.) DIFFER AT EXCESSIVE NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS APPLICATION

AMANULLAH^{1,2*}, HIDAYATULLAH^{1,} AMANULLAH JAN¹ AND B.A. STEWART²

¹Department of Agronomy, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan-25130 ²Dryland Agriculture Institute, West Texas A&M University, Canyon, Texas-USA ^{*}Corresponding author e-mail: amanullah@aup.edu.pk

Abstract

Shoot-root ratio (by length), number of roots plant^{-1} (NRPP), number of tillers plant^{-1} (NTPP), and leaf characteristics [number of leaves plant^{-1} (NLPP), leaf length (LL), mean single leaf area (MSLA), leaf area plant^{-1} (LAPP), leaf elongation rate (LER), leaf area index (LAI), specific leaf area (SLA), specific leaf weight (SLW), and leaf area ratio (LAR)] response of oats (*Avena sativa* L., cv. Walker) was investigated under excessive nitrogen (N) as 200 mg N kg⁻¹ (N₁P₀), excessive phosphorus (P) as 200 mg P kg⁻¹ (N₀P₁), and combine 100 mg N + 100 mg P kg⁻¹ of potting mix (N₂P₂) and control (N₀P₀) as check in pot experiment at Dryland Agriculture Institute, West Texas A&M University, Canyon, Texas, USA during winter 2009-10. The experiment was performed in completely randomized design (CRD) with three replicates. Shoot-root ratio increased with excessive N excessive P and combined N + P applications due to the decline in root lengths. The lower shoot-root ratio in control was mainly attributed to the longer roots produced by oats. Phosphorus alone or combined application with N (N₂P₂) increased NRPP over control. At the early stages, control had more NRPP than N alone. With advancement in crop growth, combined N + P applications had more favorable effects on NTPP than other treatments. Excessive P had more but excessive N had less NTPP than control. Except SLW, all other parameters viz. NLPP, LL, LER, MSLA, LAPP, LAI, SLA, and LAR decreased due the toxic effects of excessive N. Excessive P alone or combined N + P applications had beneficial effects on various leaf characteristics of oats viz. NLPP, LL, LER, MSLA, LAPP, LAI, SLA, and LAR.

Introduction

The relationship between root growth and whole plant growth is called allometry or relative growth (Fageria et al., 2006), and root dry weight is generally related to total plant dry weight (Yoshida, 1981). Shootroot relationship by weights gives an estimate of root mass that remains in soil if shoot weight is known. In contrast to shoot, dry matter partitioning in roots is high during the seedling stages of crop growth and steadily declines throughout development (Evans & Wardlaw, 1976). Fageria (1992) reported increase in shoot-root ratios of common bean, rice, wheat, and cowpea as plants advanced in age. The decrease in shoot-root ratios with time indicating roots have preferential utilization of photosynthates under the existing plant growth conditions. Environmental stresses increase relative weights of roots compared to shoots (Eghball & Maranville, 1993). Davidson (1969) reported that availability of N, P, or water increased root-shoot ratios of perennial ryegrass. When plants are nitrogen deficient, relatively more photosynthates is used by roots as they develop greater length to aid the plants in obtaining more N (Fageria et al., 2006). Champigny & Talouizte (1981) reported that under N deprivation, translocation of photoassimilates from shoots to roots increased because of increased sink strength of roots compared to shoot sinks. Root-shoot ratios of maize plants were higher when grown with low soil N compared to adequate N (Eghball & Maranville, 1993). The mineral nutrients P and N exerted pronounced influences on photosynthates and dry matter partitioning between shoots and roots (Costa et al., 2002). Phosphorus and N deficient plants usually have more dry matter partitioning to roots than shoots, probably as a result of higher export rates of photosynthates to roots (Fageria et al., 2006). Root development varies with stages of plant growth and development (Fageria et al., 2006). The most rapid development of maize roots occurs during the first eight weeks after planting (Anderson, 1987). After silking, maize root length declines (Mengal & Barber, 1974) indicating high C demand of grain, resulting in enhanced translocation of C and N to grain, including some C and N that roots would normally obtain (Wiesler & Horst, 1993). Techniques used to quantify components of crop growth are collectively known as growth analysis. Plant growth analysis is generally expressed as indexes of growth such as crop growth rate, relative growth rate, net assimilation rate, leaf area ratio, and leaf area index (Fageria et al., 2006). Functional growth analysis, based on experiments in which plants are grown under standard conditions and harvested at regular intervals, can provide the first clues toward an understanding of variation in growth rates among genotypes or species (Lambers, 1987).

Nitrogen is the most important nutrient required for growth, development, and achievement of higher vield (Fageria et al., 2006; Iftikhar et al., 2010; Babar et al., 2011). Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), defined as grain yield per unit N applied, depends on the extent and effectiveness of roots for N uptake (Jackson et al., 1986). Durieux et al., (1994) reported stimulated maize root length in area of N application without affecting total root length. Other studies also noted localized total root stimulation of root growth in response to N placement (Granato & Raper, 1989) and N source (Teyker & Hobbs, 1992). Anderson (1987) found that N additions appeared to stimulate root growth close to soil surface 7cm under field conditions. Addition of N fertilizer also created longer roots without changing weight, which resulted in finer roots. Durieux et al., (1994) found that root weight was less affected by N than root length, and the effects may have depended on plant maturity. Mackay and Barber (1986) concluded that field applications of N did not affect root length and root surface area of the maize hybrid "Pioneer-3732", but increased root growth of the

hybrid "B73XM017". In addition, B73M017 gave higher grain yield than Pioneer-3732. In grren house experiments, Hatlitligil et al., (1984) noted greater root dry matter for "B73XM017" compared to two other maize hybrids. Baligar et al., (1998) reported that relative dry weights of roots due to absence of N in rice, common bean, maize, and soybean was 62, 44, 65, and 89 percent less than that of treatments where N, P, and K nutrients were applied in adequate levels. Sharratt & Cochran (1993) reported that barley roots in the upper 10 cm of soil was more prolific where N fertilizer was banded rather than broadcast, but total N uptake was no different among treatments. Under moderate N fertilization and water stress conditions, maize developed greater root masses and lengths than under normal non-stressful conditions. Under severe N stress, maize roots appear to develop less branching than where plants receive adequate N. Root branching can be useful when nutrients are applied in localized zones, but maize roots seem to develop less branching when entire soils are N deficient (Eghball et al., 1993). Other studies have reported that reductions in root growth may occur at high N supplies (Anderson, 1987; Comport et al., 1988). High N rates may reduce deep root penetration and decrease potential use of deep soil nutrients and water. Bosemark (1954) concluded that with high N supplies, root growth stopped completely. Cereal plants have been reported to respond to additional N nutrition through increase growth of whole plant (Troughton, 1962). However, growth of shoots increased to a greater extent than roots. In herbage grasses, each increasing increment in level of N supply produced smaller increases in growth and resulted in retardation of growth at high levels (Troughton, 1957).

Phosphorus is a key nutrient essential for root development in highly weathered tropical soils (Fageria et al., 2006). Fageria et al., (1997) reported that root dry weight was reduced 62% in rice, 74% in common bean, 50% in maize, and 21% in soybean without added soil P compared to adequate P in Brazilian Oxisol. In other study by Fageria et al., (1997) reported maximum root dry weight for weight at 152 mg P/kg, whereas maximum root dry weight for common bean and cowpea were achieved at 130 and 159 mg/kg soil, respectively. Indicating that increasing P levels increased root growth, but root growth was reduced at higher P levels, and crop species have different P requirements to achieve maximum growth potentials. Overall, root growth of cereals and legumes crops was reduced if P was deficient. Troughton (1962) reported that both root and shoot vary similarly as P level increases, and above certain levels, further increase in P supply do not affect root or shoot growth. Crop yield is frequently constrained by the excess availability of major nutrients, including N and P. In hydroponic, pot and field experiments with wheat and other cereals increasing N supply enhanced both shoot and root growth, but usually shoot growth more than root growth, leading to increased shoot/root dry weight ratio with increase in N supply (Robinson et al., 1994; Marschner 1995; Lucas et al., 2000; Ahmad et al., 2012). At high N rates inhibition of root mass and/or length was observed (Welbank et al., 1974; Feil & Geisler, 1988).

Further, the stimulating effect of increased local concentration of nitrate-N on uptake and root proliferation may affect root distribution in a soil (Drew 1975, Robinson 1996). Urea application greater than 83mg N kg⁻¹ in one experiment and 130mg N kg⁻¹ in another experiment caused severe toxicity to the Proso grass seedlings (Ayed & Mashhady, 1984). Plaster (2009) reported that plants with too much N do not grow properly. High tissue N contents cause a very succulent growth, that is, growth that is high in water content but low in dry matter, and so the plants are very weak. Leaves high in N also respire-use up the food produced by photosynthesis-more rapidly. In lowlight situations, where photosynthesis is light limited, high N merely depletes food more quickly. Plants growing under low light, such as shady turf or indoor plants should be fertilized more lightly than plants grown in the sun (Plaster, 2009). In general, N promotes vegetative growth-stems and leavesmore than the reproductive growth of flowers and fruit. Also, while N aids growth in root and shoot, shoot growth tends to be favored. This can be a problem in turf, where high N fertilization can yield lush growth with an inadequate root system to support it during times of stress (Plaster, 2009). Excess N may reduce quality measures such as flavor components or sweetness in a wide range of crops. Also in some plants, high nitrate levels in plants tissue may present health problems for animals and humans that consume them (Plaster, 2009). Excess of some N compounds in soils can adversely affect human and animal health and can denigrate the quality of the environment. High nitrate level in soils can lead to sufficiently high nitrates in drinking water as to endanger the health of human and ruminant animals. The movement of soluble N compounds from soils to aquatic systems can disrupt the balance of those systems, leading to eutripication, decline in oxygen content of the water, and the subsequent death of fish and other aquatic species (Braddy & Weil, 2002). When too much N is applied, excessive vegetative growth occurs; the cells of the plant stems become enlarged but relatively weak, and the topheavy plants are prone to lodging with heavy rain or wind. High N applications may delay plant maturity and cause the plants to more susceptible to diseases and to insect pests. These problems are especially noticeable if the other nutrients, such as P and potassium, are in relatively low supply. An oversupply of N degrades crop quality, resulting in undesirable color and flavor of fruits, and low sugar and vitamin levels of leafy vegetables (Braddy & Weil, 2002). Growth disorders and mortality of apical buds in Scots pine are observed under high ammonium supply and these have been attributed to decreased frost tolerance of the trees (Ferm et al., 1990, Pietila et al., 1991). Reports concerning the effect of nitrogen on frost resistance vary depending on the species and the form of nitrogen (NOx, NH₃). Nitrogen-rich fertilization is known to prolong the growing period of plants and thus increase the susceptibility of trees to late and/or early frosts (Bobbink et al., 1992). Excess nitrogen, either as fertilization or ammonium deposition, has also caused frost-drought-type injuries in plants (Gordon et al., 1999). Extra nitrogen supply alters the metabolism of nitrogenous compounds in plants and an increased concentration of amino acids (Nasholm et al., 1994), soluble proteins (Zedler et al., 1986; Ferm et al.,

1990), and polyamines (Taulavuori *et al.*, 1999) have been reported. The activities of enzymes involved in N uptake are also induced in nitrogen-supplied Scots pine (Perez-Soba *et al.*, 1994). Excess nitrogen also changes protein patterns in Scots pine needles during acclimation and deacclimation (Pietila *et al.*, 1991). Because proteins play an important role in the development of freezing tolerance, it has been suggested that the observed changes may affect the frost hardiness of nitrogen-supplied trees.

Phosphorus availability is essential for plant growth and survival and in the natural ecosystem is probably the most limiting mineral. This is due in part to the low concentration of P in the soil, typically 10µM or less, in combination with its low solubility and high sorption capacity. To overcome this limitation, plants have evolved a variety of strategies to increase the uptake of P from the soil, including alteration of root structure and function as well as modification of the rhizosphere (Grennan, 2008). In many ways, P acts to balance N. While N delays maturity, P hastens it. Nitrogen aids vegetative growth; P aids blooming and fruiting. Nitrogen and P must both be sufficient for both vegetative and flower growth, and supplying more P than necessary does not stimulate more bloom (Plaster, 2009). Phosphorus applied from fertilizer and manure is important in increasing crop yield and soil fertility; however, excessive uses of phosphate fertilizer and manure may also increase P loss from agricultural soils, posing environmental impact (Jian-ling et al., 2007). The buildup of P in lawns, gardens, pastures and croplands can cause plants to grow poorly and even die. Excessive soil P reduces the plant's ability to take up required micronutrients, particularly iron and zinc, even when soil tests show there are adequate amounts of those nutrients in the soil. Approaches for the diagnosis and management of crop nutrition often target individual nutrients; there is an increasing interest in integrated nutrient management (Sadras, 2006). N: P ratios have been proposed as a diagnostic tool for nutrient limitations in natural vegetation (Gu sewell & Koerselman, 2002; Gu ewell et al., 2003). Because P is needed for root growth, it is often a major element in starter fertilizers. However, there is no evidence that amounts of P greater than adequate encourage heavier rooting. In fact, at low P levels, plants tend to favor roots over shoots to improve uptake, and in green house production of bedding plants, the root systems are achieved under low P rates. Many greenhouse growers, in fact, grow crops under low P regimes, because high P levels cause greenhouse plants to stretch undesirably (Plaster, 2009). Under high P condition, both Fe and Zn are converted into non-available forms (Plaster, 2009; Provin & Pitt, 2010). Therefore, plants grown under excessive P conditions need additional iron and zinc applications. Shallow-rooted and perennial plants frequently have iron and zinc deficiencies caused by excessive P. However, simply adding of Zn and Fe will not work. Foliar Zn and Fe applications, however, work well (Provin & Pitt, 2010).

A good supply of N stimulates root growth and development, as well as the uptake of other nutrients. Nitrogen can dramatically stimulate plant productivity, whether measured in tons of grain, volume of lumber, carrying capacity pasture, or thickness of lawn. The world's ecosystems are probably influenced more by deficiencies or excess of nitrogen (N) than by those of any other essential element. Plants deficient in N tend to have a pale vellowish green color (chlorosis), have a stunted appearance, and develop thin, spindly stems. Nitrogen deficient plants often have a low shoot-root ratio, and they mature more quickly than healthy plans (Braddy & Weil, 2002). Slow growth and stunting are the most obvious signs of N shortage (Plaster, 2009). Neither plants nor animals can grow without P. Adequate P nutrition enhances many aspects of plant physiology, including the fundamentals processes of photosynthesis, N fixation, flowering, fruiting, and maturation. Root growth, particularly development of lateral roots and fibrous rootlets, is encouraged by P. In cereal crops, good P nutrition strengthens structural tissues such as those found in straw or stalks, thus helping to prevent lodging. Improvement of crop quality, especially in forages and vegetables, is another benefit attributed to P (Braddy & Weil, 2002). A shortage of P can cause stunting and fewer, smaller leaves. A P shortage may delay maturity of several crops, including corn, cotton, soybean, and others. Some crops, such as carrots, develop poor root systems (Plaster, 2009). A P-deficient plant is usually stunted and spindly. In severe cases, P deficiency can cause yellowing and senescence of leaves. Phosphorus is needed in especially large amounts in meristematic tissues, where cells are rapidly dividing and enlarging (Braddy & Weil, 2002). Better understanding of root architecture and growth dynamics of annual crops may lead to more efficient use of applied nutrients and water by crops. The study of plant roots is one of the most promising but least explored areas of research related to plant growth (Fageria et al., 2006). Studies on excessive N and P applications on the shoot-root ratio, growth dynamics and leaf characteristics of oats are lacking. This study was therefore performed with an objective to investigate whether excess of N and P applications influence shootroot ratio, growth dynamics and leaf characteristics of oats or not?

Materials and Methods

Shoot-root ratio (by length), growth dynamics [shoot length, root length, number of roots plant⁻¹(NRPP) and number of tiller plant⁻¹(NTPP), and leaf characteristics [number of leaves plant⁻¹ (NLPP), leaf length (LL), mean single leaf area (MSLA), and leaf area plant⁻¹ (LAPP), leaf elongation rate (LER), leaf area index (LAI), specific leaf area (SLA), specific leaf weight (SLW), and leaf area ratio (LAR)] of oats (Avena sativa L., cv. Walker) was investigated under excessive nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) applications in pot experiment at Dryland Agriculture Institute, West Texas A&M University, Canyon, Texas, USA during winter 2009-10. The experiment was performed in completely randomized design (CRD) using three replicates. There were four pots (treatments) per replicate and the size of each pot was 6283 cm³ containing 2 kg (2000 g) of potting mix (organic soil known as Miracle Grow) pot¹. The four treatments were: $T_1 =$ control (N_0P_0 ; $N_0 = N$ not applied & $P_0 = not$ applied), $T_2 =$ 200 mg N kg⁻¹ (N₁P₀; N₁ = 200 mg N & P₀ = P not applied), T₃ = 200 mg P kg⁻¹ (N₀P₁; N₀ = N not applied & P₁ = 200 mg P), and T₄ = 100 mg N + 100 mg P kg⁻¹ of potting mix (N₂P₂; N₂ = 100 mg N & & P₂ = 100 mg P). Miracle Grow is formulated from 50-60% sphagnum peat moss, coconut husk fibers (coir pith), composted bark fines, wetting agent, and fertilizer. The N, P and potassium (K) sources have been coated to provide 0.10 % slow-release N, 0.10 % slow-release phosphate (P₂O₅), and 0.10 % potash (K₂O). The ACGIH threshold Limit Values (TLV) for nuisance (inert) dust containing less than 1% crystalline silica and no abestas are: 10 mg/m³ inhalable particulates and 3 mg/m³ respirable particulate.

Urea (46% N) and triple super phosphate (46% P_2O_5) were used as source of N and P, respectively. Twenty seeds of oats were planted in each pot, and three pots of the same treatment were separately placed per tub. Water was applied in the tub, and the pots took water from the tub. The pots were maintained at field capacity in the whole growing season. After one week of emergence, plants were thinned to 10 plants per pot. Separate pots (treatments) were maintained for the three growth stages i.e. 30, 60 and 90 days after emergence (DAE). All the 10 plants were uprooted from each pot at 30, 60 and 90 DAE and the data was taken on the average of five plants. The roots were washed with tap water, and the plants were then divided into three parts i.e. roots, leaves and stems at each growth stage. Number of leaves, tillers and roots per five plants was counted and the average was worked out. Root length, shoot length (plant height), leaf length and width were measured by measuring tape and then averaged. Mean single leaf area was obtained by multiplying the average leaf length x leaf width x 0.67. Leaf area per plant was obtained by multiplying mean single leaf area into number of leaves per plant. The materials was then put in paper bags and then in oven at 80°C for about 15-20 hours. The dry samples were weighing by electronic balance (Sartorius Basic, BA2105) and the average data on dry weight of root, leaf, and stem per plant was worked out. Shoot dry weight per plant was obtained by adding leaf dry weight with stem dry weight per plant. The sum of the shoot and root dry weight was called as total dry weight per plant. Shoot length (plant height) was divided by root length to get data on shootroot ratio (by length). The SLE (stem elongation rate), LER (leaf elongation rate), LAI (leaf area index), SLA (specific leaf area), SLW (specific leaf weight) and LAR

(leaf area ratio) were determined using the following formulae:

$SER = H_2 - H_1 / t_2 - t_1$	$(cm day^{-1})$
$LER = LL_2 - LL_1 / t_2 - t_1$	$(cm day^{-1})$
$LAI = LAPP x plants m^{-2} \dots$	$(g m^{-2} da y^{-1})$
$SLA = LAPP / LWPP \dots$	$\dots \dots $
$SLW = LWPP / LAPP \dots$	($mg cm^{-2}$)
LAR = LAPP / total plant dry weight	$\dots \dots $

where:

$$\begin{split} H_1 &= \text{plant height (cm) at the beginning of interval} \\ H_2 &= \text{plant height (cm) at the end of interval} \\ LL_1 &= \text{leaf length (cm) at the beginning of interval} \\ LL_2 &= \text{leaf length (cm) at the end of interval} \\ t_2 - t_1 &= \text{the time interval between the two consecutive samplings} \end{split}$$

Statistical analysis: Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to the methods described by Steel & Torrie (1980) and treatment means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) at $p \le 0.05$ using MSTAT-C software.

Results and Discussion

Plant height: Plant height (shoot height), root length, and shoot-root ratio (by length) varied significantly ($p \le 0.05$) among different treatments at 30, 60 and 90 days after emergence (DAE) as shown in Table 1. At 30 DAE, oats ranked first in heights (22.7cm) in T₁ (control, N and P not applied) being statistically the same with 21.7 cm in T_3 (P applied only), and the shortest plants of 13.3 cm heights were observed in case of T₂ (N applied only). Applications of N alone or in combination with P had toxic effects on the plant height up to 30 DAE. With passage of time combined application of N + P had no negative impacts on plant height rather it had maximum plant height at 60 and 90 DAE. At 60 DAE, oats ranked first in heights (53.0 cm) in T_4 (half of both N and P applied), followed by T_3 (45.7 cm), and the shortest plants (19.7 cm) were observed in T₂. At 90 DAE, oats had taller plants in T₁, T₃ and T₄ (55.7-69.7 cm), and the shortest plants (41.0 cm) were observed in T_2 . The toxic effects of half of N + P recovered with passage of time; on the other hand, the negative impacts of excessive N applications did not recover as the plants aged. Plant height showed positive association with number of leaves and leaf area per plant. Cross & Zuber (1973) reported that plant height and maturity of maize are highly correlated to leaf number.

excessive introgen and phosphorus application.										
Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Root length (cm)			Shoot/root by length			
	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	
$T_1 = N_o P_o$	22.7	37.0	55.7	12.3	16.0	22.7	1.9	2.4	2.5	
$T_2 = N_1 P_o$	13.3	19.7	41.0	2.3	4.0	9.0	6.0	5.1	4.6	
$T_3 = N_o P_1$	21.7	45.7	65.0	6.0	8.0	17.7	3.6	5.8	3.7	
$T_4 = N_2 P_2$	16.3	53.0	69.7	7.0	9.3	19.7	2.3	5.7	3.5	
LSD _{0.05}	3.6	5.4	14.1	1.8	2.9	3.6	1.9	1.6	1.0	

Table 1. Plant height (cm), root length (cm), and shoot: root (by length) response of oats (Avena sativa L.) to excessive nitrogen and phosphorus application.

where:

 $N_0 = N$ not applied, $P_0 = P$ not applied, $N_1 = 200 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_1 = 200 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 100 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_2 = 100 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, ns = not significant and DAE = days after emergence

Root length: Root length is better parameter to relate absorption of water and nutrients. Oats ranked first in root length in T_1 , followed by T_4 , and the shortest roots length was observed in T₂ at 30, 60 and 90 DAE (Table 1). In contrast to shoot length, excessive P applications had negative or toxic impacts on root length. But the roots length recovered at the later growth stage (90 DAE) with excessive P applications. According to Isensee et al., (1966), roots that contact a fertilizer band may become injured, exhibit deformities, and be shorter than untreated roots. Apparently, seminal roots and first order branches are deformed or killed in a fertilizer band, or by other chemicals in sufficient concentration to be toxic, but higher orders of roots may proliferate more as the fertilizer concentration in the band declines with time (Gardner et al., 1985). Fageria et al., (1997) reported maximum root dry weight for wheat at 152 mg P kg⁻¹, whereas maximum root dry weight for common bean and cowpea were achieved at 130 and 159mg P kg⁻¹ soil, respectively. Indicating that increasing P levels increased root growth, but root growth was reduced at higher P levels, and crop species have different P requirements to achieve maximum growth potentials. Overall, root growth of cereals and legumes crops was reduced if P was deficient. Root length varied with stages of plant growth and development, and it increased with passage of time. In a review of depth development of roots with time for 55 crop species, maximum rooting depth for most crop species generally achieved at physiological maturity (Jaffar et al., 1993). Anderson (1987) reported that rapid development of maize roots occurs during the first 8 weeks after planting. Excessive N applications had the most adverse impacts on the root length and the toxic effect continued till the last sampling (90 DAE). High N rates may have reduced deep root penetration and decreased potential use of water and soil nutrients. Wilkinson and Ohlrogge (1962) attributed the decrease in root length with a greater N supply due to the increase in auxin level. Inhibition of root mass and length at high N rates was earlier observed by several researchers (Welbank et al., 1974; Anderson, 1987; Comport et al., 1988; Feil & Geisler, 1988). Durieux et al., (1994) found that root weight was less affected by N than root length, but Bosemark (1954) concluded that with high N supplies, root growth stopped completely. No significant differences were observed between the root lengths with excessive P alone or applied combined N + P at different growth stages, indicating that the amount of P greater than adequate do not encourage deeper rooting in oats. Troughton (1962) reported that both root and shoot vary similarly as P level increases, and above certain levels, further increase in P supply do not affect root or shoot growth. In fact, at low P levels, plants tend to favor roots over shoots to improve uptake, and in green house production of bedding plants, the root systems are achieved under low P rates. Many greenhouse growers, in fact, grow crops under low P regimes, because high P levels cause greenhouse plants to stretch undesirably (Plaster, 2009).

Shoot to root ratio: At 30, 60 and 90 DAE, oats had higher shoot-root ratio (by length) in the fertilized treatments as compared with control. At 30 and 90 DAE, T_2 ranked first by producing maximum shoot-root ratio of 6.0 and 4.6, respectively, at each stage followed by T_3 (3.6

and 3.7, respectively (Table 1). At 60 DAE, oats ranked first in shoot-root ratio (5.8) in T₃ being statistically the same with $T_4(5.7)$ and $T_2(5.1)$, and the lowest shoot-root ratio (2.4) was observed in T₁. Amanullah & Stewart (2013) found that with advancement in crop age, increase in shoot biomass was more than root biomass, and therefore, reduction in S:R was observed. Costa et al., (2002) reported that mineral nutrients P and N exerted pronounced influences on photosynthates and dry matter partitioning between shoots and roots. Braddy & Weil (2002) reported that N deficient plants often have a low shoot-root ratio, and they mature more quickly than healthy plants. The higher shoot-root ratio of fertilized treatments than control was due to the shorter roots produced by oats plants in the fertilized treatments, indicating the toxic effects of the applied fertilizer on the root length. On the other hand, the less shoot-root ratio in the control treatments was attributed to the longer roots that resulted in higher shoot-root ratio at all growth stages. Gardner et al., (1985) reported that as roots are closer to nutrients, and so nutrients deficiency generally affects roots less than shoots and therefore decreasing shoot-root ratio. In general, N aids growth in both root and shoot, shoot growth tends to be favored resulting in an inadequate root system in turf to support it during times of stress (Plaster, 2009). Evans & Wardlaw (1976) reported that dry matter partitioning in roots is high during the seedling stages of crop growth and steadily declines throughout development. In hydroponic, pot and field experiments with wheat and other cereals increasing N supply enhanced both shoot and root growth, but usually shoot growth more than root growth, leading to increased shoot/root dry weight ratio with increase in N supply (Robinson et al., 1994; Marschner 1995; Lucas et al., 2000). Gardner et al., (1985) reported that increasing N level favors top growth in relation to root growth and so shoot-root ratio increases.

Stem elongation rate: Stem elongation rate (SER) varied significantly ($p \le 0.05$) among different treatments at 30, 60 and 90 DAE (Table 2). At 30 DAE, SER ranked first (0.8 cm day⁻¹) in T_1 and T_3 (0.7 cm day⁻¹), and the lowest SER was calculated for T₂ (0.4 cm day At 60 DAE, SER reached maximum (0.9 cm day⁻¹) in T_4 and T_3 (0.8 cm day⁻¹), and the lowest SER (0.3 cm day⁻¹) was noted in case of T_2 . At 90 DAE, oats had the higher SER in T_4 (0.8 cm day⁻¹) and lowest (0.5 cm day⁻¹) in T_2 . The SER showed positive relationship with plant height and leaf area per plant. The higher leaf area per plant may have produced more photosynthates that have positive impacts on plant height as well as SER in oats. Number of roots plant⁻¹ (NRPP) varied significantly $(p \le 0.05)$ among different treatments at 30, 60 and 90 DAE. At 30 DAE, NRPP reached maximum (4.3 each) in case of T_1 and T_4 , being statistically the same with T_3 (3.7), and the lowest NRPP (3.0) was noted in T₂. At 60 DAE, NRPP reached maximum (9.3) in T_4 and T_3 (8.0), and the lowest NRPP (3.7) were noted in T₂. At 90 DAE, oats had the higher 24.3 NRPP each in T_3 and T_4 , followed by T_2 (11.3), and the lowest NRPP (9.7) were observed in T_1 (control). Although P alone or combined N + P decreased root length than control; but

interestingly the increase in number of roots per plant over control was remarkable particularly at the later growth stages. Gardner et al., (1985) reported that Pfertilized plants develop more roots than do unfertilized plants, due to increase in photosynthesis, which in turn increases root growth. Also, P applied roots had less auxin activity and theoretically less inhibition than Nfertilized roots (Wilkinson & Ohlrogge, 1962). Root growth, particularly development of lateral roots and fibrous rootlets, is encouraged by P applications (Braddy & Weil, 2002). Fageria et al., (2006) reported that P is a key nutrient essential for root development in highly weathered tropical soils. Fageria et al., (1997) reported maximum root dry weight for wheat at 152 mg P/kg, whereas maximum root dry weight for common bean and cowpea were achieved at 130 and 159 mg/kg soil,

respectively. Indicating that increasing P levels increased root growth, but root growth was reduced at higher P levels, and crop species have different P requirements to achieve maximum growth potentials. At the early stages control had more roots per plant than N alone; however, the number of roots per plant relatively increased with N alone when compared with control. At high N supplies the reduction in root growth was observed by Anderson (1987). In contrast, Eghball et al., (1993) reported less number of roots branching when N was deficient in the soil. At high N rates inhibition of root mass and/or length was observed (Welbank et al., 1974; Feil & Geisler, 1988). The stimulating effect of increased local concentration of nitrate-N on uptake and root proliferation may affect root distribution in a soil (Drew 1975; Robinson 1996).

Table 2. Stem elongation rate (cm day⁻¹), number of roots and tillers plant⁻¹ response of oats (*Avena sativa* L.) to excessive nitrogen and phosphorus application.

Treatments	Stem elongation rate			Roots plant ⁻¹			Tillers plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE
$T_1 = N_o P_o$	0.8	0.6	0.6	4.3	4.7	9.7	1.0	2.3	3.3
$T_2 = N_1 P_o$	0.4	0.3	0.5	3.0	3.7	11.3	1.0	1.7	2.3
$T_3 = N_o P_1$	0.7	0.8	0.7	3.7	8.0	24.3	1.0	3.7	4.7
$T_4 = N_2 P_2$	0.5	0.9	0.8	4.3	9.3	24.3	1.0	3.7	7.0
LSD _{0.05}	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.9	3.3	11.1	ns	ns	2.1
1									

where:

 $N_0 = N$ not applied, $P_0 = P$ not applied, $N_1 = 200 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_1 = 200 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 100 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_2 = 100 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, ns = not significant and DAE = days after emergence

Number of tillers plant⁻¹: Number of tillers plant⁻¹ (NTPP) varied significantly (p≤0.05) among different treatments at 90 DAE (Table 2). At 30 and 60 DAE, differences in NTPP were not significant among different treatments. There was no difference in tillers per plant among different treatments at the growth stages. At 90 DAE, oats had the higher NTPP in T_4 (7.0), followed by T_3 (4.7), and the lowest NTPP (2.3) were observed in case of T_2 . With passage of time the combined N + P applications had more favorable impacts on number of tiller per plant than other treatments. Excessive P had more but excessive N had less number of tillers per plant when compared with control. Fageria et al., (1997) reported that application of N and P increased tillering in upland, as well as lowland rice. Tillers per plant in oats showed positive relationship with increase in NLPP, LAPP and LAI. The full expression of tillering is determined predominantly by the supply of mineral nutrients and photoassimilates (Fageria et al., 2006). Tillers per plant in oats increased as the plants aged. In wheat and rice, Fageria et al., (2006) reported increase in tillering as plants develop until a maximum values is reached, after which it decreases. Decrease in tillering after reaching a maximum has been attributed to the death of later tillers because of the inability to complete for light and nutrients (Fageria et al., 1997). Amanullah et al., (2011) found that under water stress condition, total plant biomass of barley (average of 2 cultivars) was more than wheat (average of 6 cultivars) because of higher tillers m² in barley (285) than wheat (224).

Number of leaves plant⁻¹: Number of leaves plant⁻¹ (NLPP) varied significantly (p≤0.05) among different treatments at 60 and 90 DAE (Table 3). At 30 DAE, the differences in leaves plant⁻¹ were not significant among different treatments, indicating that fertilizer application had no influence on NLPP. At 60 and 90 DAE, NLPP reached to maximum in T₄ (17.7 and 26.0, respectively), followed by T_3 (12.0 and 20.3, respectively), and the lowest NLPP as 6.3 and 9.7 were noted in T₂ at 60 and 90 DAE, respectively. Plaster (2009) reported that a shortage of P can cause stunting and fewer, smaller leaves. Excessive N application had negative impacts on the NLPP as compared with control. Leaf length (LL) and leaf elongation rate (LER) varied significantly ($p \le 0.05$) among different treatments at 30, 60 and 90 DAE. At 30 DAE, oats ranked first in terms of LL (15.0 cm) in T_1 and T_3 (14.0 cm), and the smallest LL (7.0 cm) was observed in T₂ (Table 3). At the early growth stage, control and excessive P application had the same LL but statistically greater than other treatments. The shorter LL with combine application of N + P or excessive N alone was indicating that N probably may not help the plants to increase its LL at the early growth stage. At 60 DAE, oats ranked first in LL (34.3 cm) in T₃ and T₄ (34.0 cm), and the shortest LL (10.7 cm) was observed in T₂. At 90 DAE, oats had longer LL in T₄ (42.7 cm), followed by T₃ (40.7 cm), and the smaller LL (14.3 cm) was observed in T_2 . At later growth stage, excessive P application alone or in combination with N had positive impacts on LL of oats than control indicating the importance of both N and P.

However, excessive N application had negative impacts on the LL at all growth stages as compared with control. The LL of oats showed positive relationship with leaf elongation rate (LER). Leaf length increased with passage of time and the maximum length was recorded at 90 DAE in all treatments. Bultynck et al., (2004) reported that Aegilops tauschii, the Aegilops species with the more rapidly elongating leaves, tended to have a lower leaf N concentration but a similar rate of photosynthesis per unit leaf area than the Aegilops species with the slowly elongating leaves. Gardner et al., (1985) reported that leaf length, width, and area generally increase progressively with ontogeny up to the point; then in certain species it decrease progressively with ontogeny so that the largest leaves are near the centre of the plant, such as on a maize plant. But in barley, the length of the lamina decreased with flower initiation but the width increased, resulting in a broad flag leaf (Goodin, 1972). Likewise LL, the LER

at all growth stages reduced significantly with excessive N application as compared with other treatments. Humphries and Wheeler (1963) reported that N fertilization had a pronounced effect on leaf expansion, especially on leaf width and area. Excessive P application or combine N + P application had positive effects on the LER particularly at the later growth stages than control. Leaf length showed positive association with increase in plant height. Jennings et al., (1979) reported that strong associations between leaf length and plant height indicate that leaf length in both dwarf and tall cultivars is a pleiotrophic effect of genes for plant height. The association between leaf length and dry matter accumulation per plant was positive. Rhodes (1975) reported that yield in perennial rye grass was positively associated with leaf length. Leaf elongation rate (LER) was strongly and positively correlated with leaf width but not with leaf elongation duration (Bultynck et al., 2004).

Table 3. Number of leaves, leaf length and leaf elongation rate response of oats (*Avena sativa* L.) to excessive nitrogen and phosphorus application.

Treatments	Number of leaves plant ⁻¹			Leaf length (cm)			Leaf elongation rate (cm day ⁻¹)		
	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE
$T_1 = N_o P_o$	3.0	9.0	12.3	15.0	17.3	24.7	0.5	0.3	0.3
$T_2 = N_1 P_o$	2.3	6.3	9.7	7.0	10.7	14.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
$T_3 = N_o P_1$	2.3	12.0	20.3	14.0	34.3	40.7	0.5	0.6	0.5
$T_4 = N_2 P_2$	3.0	17.7	26.0	12.3	34.0	42.7	0.4	0.6	0.5
LSD _{0.05}	ns	2.8	3.5	1.7	4.0	2.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

where:

 $N_0 = N$ not applied, $P_0 = P$ not applied, $N_1 = 200 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_1 = 200 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 100 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_2 = 100 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, ns = not significant and DAE = days after emergence

Mean single leaf area and leaf area plant⁻¹: Mean single leaf area (MSLA), leaf area plant⁻¹ (Mean single leaf area (MSLA) and leaf area plant⁻¹ (LAPP) varied significantly $(p \le 0.05)$ among different treatments at 30, 60 and 90 DAE (Table 4). At 30 DAE, MSLA reached maximum (3.4 cm^2) in T_1 being statistically the same with T_3 (3.0 cm²), and the lowest MSLA (0.9 cm²) was noted in T₂. At 60 DAE, MSLA reached maximum (11.1 cm^2) in T₄ being statistically the same with T_3 (10.4 cm²), and the lowest MSLA (1.6 cm²) was noted in T_2 . At 90 DAE, oats had the higher MSLA in T_4 (18.5 cm²), followed by T_3 (15.9 cm²), and the lowest MSLA (3.4 cm^2) was noted in T₂. Mean single leaf area showed positive relationship with increase in leaf length. Excessive N applications decreased leaf length significantly and therefore resulted in the lowest MSLA at different growth stages. Excessive P applications alone or combine application of N + P had favorable influence on leaf length and MSLA. The influence of combine N + P applications was more pronounced on MSLA at the later growth stages of oats than at the early growth stage when compared with control. At 30 DAE, LAPP reached maximum (10.2 cm²) in T_1 , followed by T_3 (7.0 cm^2) , and the lowest LAPP (2.1 cm^2) was noted in T₂ (Table 4). At 60 DAE, LAPP reached maximum (194.1 cm^2) in T₄, followed by T₃ (124.2 cm^2), and the lowest LAPP (9.9 cm²) was noted in T_2 . At 90 DAE, oats had the higher LAPP in T_4 (479.9 cm²), followed by T_3 (322.9 cm^2), and the lowest LAPP (33.2 cm^2) was noted in T₂. Leaf area per plant showed positive relationship with increase in MSLA and number of leaves per plant (NLPP). Excessive N applications decreased MSLA and NLPP at different growth stages and therefore resulted in the lowest LAPP as compared with other treatments. Excessive P applications alone or combine application of N + P had favorable impacts on LAPP at the later growth stages as compared with control probably may be due to the increase in nutrients uptake with passage of time. In contrast, the control had more LAPP at the early growth stage than excessive P or combined N + P applications. The influence of combined N + P or excessive P applications was more favorable on LAPP the later growth stages of oats than at the early growth stage than control. The increase in LAPP at the later growth stages with N + P or P applications was attributed to the remarkable increase in the NLPP. Leaf area and leaf expansion rate increased with leaf number on a tiller (Bultynck et al., 2004). Richards et al., (2002) reported that rapid leaf area expansion leads to rapid canopy closure, thereby reducing the evaporation from the soil surface, and thus increasing crop water use efficiency. The species with more rapidly elongating leaves showed a faster increase with leaf position in leaf expansion rate (LER), leaf width and leaf area, higher relative leaf area expansion rates, and more biomass allocation to leaf sheaths and less to roots (Bultynck et al., 2004).

excessive introgen and phosphorus application.										
Mean single leaf area (cm ²)			Leaf area plant ⁻¹ (cm ²)			Leaf area index				
30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE		
3.4	5.2	9.6	10.2	47.0	118.7	0.023	0.157	0.79		
0.9	1.6	3.4	2.1	9.9	33.2	0.005	0.033	0.22		
3.0	10.4	15.9	7.0	124.2	322.9	0.016	0.414	2.15		
2.3	11.1	18.5	6.8	194.1	479.9	0.015	0.647	3.20		
0.4	1.4	1.7	1.8	16.2	52.5	0.004	0.054	0.35		
	30 DAE 3.4 0.9 3.0 2.3	Mean single leaf ar 30 DAE 60 DAE 3.4 5.2 0.9 1.6 3.0 10.4 2.3 11.1	Mean sire leaf area (cm²)30 DAE60 DAE90 DAE3.45.29.60.91.63.43.010.415.92.311.118.5	Mean single leaf area (cm²) Leaf 30 DAE 60 DAE 90 DAE 30 DAE 3.4 5.2 9.6 10.2 0.9 1.6 3.4 2.1 3.0 10.4 15.9 7.0 2.3 11.1 18.5 6.8	Mean single leaf area (cm²) Leaf area plant 30 DAE 60 DAE 90 DAE 30 DAE 60 DAE 3.0 5.2 9.6 10.2 47.0 0.9 1.6 3.4 2.1 9.9 3.0 10.4 15.9 7.0 124.2 2.3 11.1 18.5 6.8 194.1	Mean sire cm² Leaf area plant ⁻¹ (cm²) 30 DAE 60 DAE 90 DAE 30 DAE 60 DAE 90 DAE 3.4 5.2 9.6 10.2 47.0 118.7 0.9 1.6 3.4 2.1 9.9 33.2 3.0 10.4 15.9 7.0 124.2 322.9 2.3 11.1 18.5 6.8 194.1 479.9	Mean single leaf area (cm²) Leaf area plant ⁻¹ (cm²) <th< th=""><th>Mean single leaf area (cm²) Leaf area plant⁻¹(cm²) Leaf area ind 30 DAE 60 DAE 90 DAE 30 DAE 0.015 0.015 0.033 3.0 10.4 15.9 7.0 124.2 322.9 0.016 0.414 2.3 11.1 18.5 6.8 194.1 479.9 0.015 0.647 </th></th<>	Mean single leaf area (cm²) Leaf area plant ⁻¹ (cm²) Leaf area ind 30 DAE 60 DAE 90 DAE 30 DAE 0.015 0.015 0.033 3.0 10.4 15.9 7.0 124.2 322.9 0.016 0.414 2.3 11.1 18.5 6.8 194.1 479.9 0.015 0.647		

Table 4. Mean single leaf area, leaf area plant⁻¹, and leaf area index response of oats (*Avena sativa* L.) to excessive nitrogen and phosphorus application.

where:

 $N_0 = N$ not applied, $P_0 = P$ not applied, $N_1 = 200 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_1 = 200 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 100 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_2 = 100 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, ns = not significant and DAE = days after emergence

Leaf area index: Leaf area index is an important yielddetermining factor for field grown crops because LAI is a major determinant of light interception and transpiration (Fageria et al., 2006). At 30 DAE, LAI reached maximum (0.023) in T₁, followed by T₃ (0.016) being statistically the same with T_4 (0.015), and the lowest LAI (0.005) was noted in T₂ (Table 4). At 60 DAE, LAI reached maximum (0.647) in T₄, followed by T₃ (0.414), and the lowest LAI (0.033) was noted in T2. At 90 DAE, oats had the higher LAI in T_4 (3.20), followed by T_3 (2.15), and the lowest LAI (0.22) was noted in T₂. Leaf area index showed positive relationship with increase in LAPP. As excessive N applications decreased LAPP at different growth stages and therefore resulted in the lowest LAI as compared with other treatments. Excessive P applications alone or combined application of N + P had favorable impacts on LAI at the later growth stages as compared with control. In contrast, the control had more LAI at the early growth stage than excessive P or combined N + P applications. The combined N + P or excessive P applications had more favorable influence to increase NLPP and LAPP that resulted in higher LAI at the later growth stages of oats than at the early growth stage when compared with control. Moosavi (2012) found that N deficiency decreased the LAI due to decreased leaf area expansion and leaf area duration. Jan et al., (2011) reported that in barley, LAI of increased with the application of higher rate of N. The total dry matter accumulation per plant in this study (data not shown) showed positive relationship with increase in LAI. Rhodes (1975) reported that yield in perennial rye grass was positively associated with LAI. Likewise, dry matter production LAI in oats also increased as plants aged i.e. the LAI at the later stage was higher than at the early growth stages. Fageria et al., (2006) reported that LAI is one of the most important plant growth indexes for determining dry matter yields, and increasing LAI values increases dry matter production. Increasing LAI increases dry mater production, but net canopy photosynthesis cannot increase indefinitely because of increased mutual shading of leaves (Fageria et al., 2006). Irradiance is lower for leaves within a canopy, which leads to decreased photosynthesis rates per unit leaf area (Yoshida, 1972).

Leaf thickness (SLA & SLW): Leaf thickness is expressed as specific leaf area (area per mass) or specific leaf weight (mass per area). Thick leaves are associated with high yielding capacities of crop cultivars (Fageria *et al.*, 2006). Specific leaf area (SLA) varied significantly ($p\leq0.05$) among different treatments at 30 and 60 DAE (Table 5). At 30 DAE, SLA reached maximum (0.58 cm² mg⁻¹) in T₄ being statistically the same with T₁ (0.53 cm²) mg⁻¹), and the lowest SLA (0.23 cm² mg⁻¹) was noted in T_2 . At 60 DAE, SLA reached maximum $(1.24 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mg}^{-1})$ in T₄ than other treatments which produced statistically the same SLA (0.38 to 0.48 cm² mg⁻¹). Specific leaf area showed positive relationship with increase in LAPP and negative relationship with increase in leaf dry weight per plant (data not shown). Specific leaf weight (SLW) and leaf area ratio (LAR) varied significantly ($p \le 0.05$) among different treatments at 30, 60 and 90 DAE. At 30 DAE, SLW reached maximum (4.98 mg cm⁻²) in T₂ being statistically the same with T_3 (3.60 mg cm⁻²), and the lowest SLW (1.75 mg cm⁻²) was noted in T₄ (Table 5). At 60 DAE, SLW reached maximum (2.63 mg cm⁻²) in T_1 being statistically the same with T_2 (2.21 mg cm⁻²) and T_3 (2.12 mg cm⁻²), and the lowest SLW (0.91 mg cm⁻²) was noted in T_4 . At 90 DAE, oats had the higher SLW in T_2 (6.32 mg cm⁻²), and it was statistically the same in all other three treatments (1.81 to 1.83 mg cm⁻²). In contrast to SLA; SLW showed negative relationship with increase in LAPP and positive relationship with increase in leaf dry weight per plant. Excessive N applications decreased LAPP and therefore had the highest SLW as compared with other treatments. Combined application of N + P had favorable impacts on LAPP as compared with other treatments and therefore had the lowest SLW. Thicker leaves usually have higher densities of chlorophyll per unit area, and hence have greater photosynthetic capacities than thinner leaves (Craufurd et al., 1999).

Leaf area ratio: At 30 DAE, LAR reached maximum $(0.24 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mg}^{-1})$ in T₁ being statistically the same with $T_4 (0.21 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mg}^{-1})$, and the lowest LAR (0.09 cm² mg⁻¹) was noted in T_2 (Table 5). At 60 DAE, LAR reached maximum (0.70 cm² mg⁻¹) in T₄, followed by T₃ (0.31 $cm^2 mg^{-1}$), and the lowest LAR (0.17 $cm^2 mg^{-1}$) was noted in T₂. At 90 DAE, LAR reached maximum (0.28 $cm^2 mg^{-1}$) in T₃ being statistically the same with T₁ and T_4 i.e. 0.24 and 0.27, respectively, and the lowest LAR $(0.07 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mg}^{-1})$ was noted in T₂. The LAR in oats showed positive relationship with increase in NLPP and LAPP. Excessive N applications decreased NLPP and LAPP at different growth stages and therefore resulted in the lowest LAR as compared with other treatments. Excessive P applications alone or combined application of N + P had favorable impacts on LAPP and NLPP that resulted in the higher LAR particularly at the later growth stages as compared with control

which probably may be due to the increase in nutrients uptake with passage of time. In contrast, the control had more LAPP and so greater LAR at the early growth stage than other treatments. LAR in the *Aegilops* species decreased as a result of the decreasing SLA, whereas LAR in the *Triticum* species increased, due to a stronger increase in leaf mass ratio (LMR) and a smaller decrease in SLA (Bultynck *et al.*, 2004). Van den Boogaard *et al.*, (1996) showed, in a controlledenvironment study, that a fast leaf area expansion rate in wheat was positively correlated with above-ground biomass and grain yield.

 Table 5. Specific leaf area, specific leaf weight, and leaf area ratio response of oats (Avena sativa L.) to excessive nitrogen and phosphorus application.

Treatments	Specific leaf area(cm ² mg ⁻¹)			Specific leaf weight (mg cm ⁻²)			Leaf area ratio (cm ² mg ⁻¹)		
	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE	30 DAE	60 DAE	90 DAE
$T_1 = N_o P_o$	0.53	0.38	0.58	1.91	2.63	1.82	0.24	0.22	0.24
$T_2 = N_1 P_o$	0.23	0.48	0.17	4.98	2.21	6.32	0.09	0.17	0.07
$T_3 = N_o P_1$	0.28	0.48	0.62	3.60	2.12	1.81	0.15	0.31	0.28
$T_4 = N_2 P_2$	0.58	1.24	0.64	1.75	0.91	1.83	0.21	0.70	0.27
LSD _{0.05}	0.14	0.47	ns	2.34	0.86	2.74	0.05	0.23	0.13

where:

 $N_0 = N$ not applied, $P_0 = P$ not applied, $N_1 = 200 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_1 = 200 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 100 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$, $P_2 = 100 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, ns = not significant and DAE = days after emergence

Conclusions

Excessive N applications alone had adverse, while excessive P alone or combined N + P applications had favorable influence on shoot length, growth dynamics and leaf characteristics as compared to control (N and P not applied). The control treatment produced longer roots than all other treatments at different growth stages. Excessive P applications had negative effects on root length at the early than at the later growth stage. Excessive N applications had the most adverse effects on the root length which continued till the last sampling. Although P alone or N + P decreased root length than control; but interestingly the increase in number of roots per plant over control was remarkable particularly at the later growth stages of oats. At the early stages, control had more number of roots per plant than N alone; however, the number of roots per plant relatively increased with N alone when compared with control. There was no difference in tillers per plant among different treatments at different growth stages. With advancement in crop age, the combined N + P applications had more favorable impacts on number of tiller per plant than other treatments. Excessive P had more but excessive N had less number of tillers per plant when compared with control. Leaf length had positive association with mean single leaf area, and number of leaves and tillers per plant had positive relationship with leaf area per plant, leaf area index, and leaf area ratio. Excessive N application increased specific leaf weight because of lower leaf dry matter accumulation per plant, and decreased specific leaf area because of less leaf area per plant produced.

Acknowledgements

I am highly thankful to Dr. B.A. Stewart, Director and Distinguished Professor of Dryland Agriculture Institute, West Texas A&M University Canyon, Texas USA for providing me the opportunity to complete this research project. Many thanks are extended to Prof. Dr. Paigham Shah, Agricultural University Peshawar for the statistical analysis of the data. This study was the part of my post doctorate research financed by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan, Islamabad.

References

- Ahmad, W., W. Ahmad, M.A. Shehzad and M. Shahid. 2012. Nitrogen and phosphorus: impact on forage oat (*Avena sativa* L.) rowth, yield and its quality attributes. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 49: 473-479.
- Amanullah and B.A. Stewart. 2013. Shoot: root differs in warm season C4-cereals when grown alone in pure and mixed stands under low and high water levels. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 45(Special Issue): 83-90.
- Amanullah, S. Khan and S.K. Khalil. 2011. Performance of high yielding wheat and barley cultivars under moisture stress. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43(4): 2143-2145.
- Anderson, E.L. 1987. Corn root growth and distribution as influenced by tillage and nitrogen fertilization. *Agron. J.*, 79: 544-549.
- Ayed, I.A. and A.S. Mashhady. 1984. The tolerance of grass forage grown in pots to urea applied on calcareous soils under very hot dry conditions. *Fertilizer Res.*, 5: 175-180.
- Babar, L.K., T. Iftikhar, H. N. Khan and M. A. Hameed. 2011. Agronomic trials on sugarcane crop under Faisalabad conditions, Pakistan. *Pak. J Bot.* 43(2): 929-935.
- Baligar, V.C., N.K. Fageria and M. Elrashidi. 1998. Toxicity and nutrient constraints on root growth. *Hort. Sci.*, 33: 960-965.
- Bobbink, R., D. Boxman, E. Fremstad, G. Heil, A. Houdijk and J. Roelofs. 1992. Critical loads for nitrogen eutrophication of terrestrial and wetland ecosystems based upon changes in vegetation and fauna. In: *Critical loads for nitrogen-a workshop report*. (Eds.): P. Grennfelt and E. Thörnelöf. Report from a workshop held at Lokeberg, Sweden, 6-10 April, 1992. Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen, pp. 111-159.
- Bosemark, N.O. 1954. The Influince of nitrogen on root development. *Physiol. Plant*, 7: 497-502.
- Braddy, N.C. and R.R. Weil. 2002. The Nature and Properties of Soils. Thirteen Edition, Prentice Hall, Pearson Education, inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA.
- Bultynck, L., M.W.T. Seege, M. Schortemeyer, P. Poot and H. Lambers. 2004. Individual Leaf Elongation to Whole Shoot Leaf Area Expansion: a Comparison of Three *Aegilops* and Two *Triticum* Species. *Ann. Bot.*, 94: 99-108.
- Champigny, M.L. and A. Talouizte. 1981. Photosynthate distribution and metabolic fate in relation to nitrogen metabolism in wheat seedlings. pp. 645-652. In: *Photosynthesis: IV. Regulation of carbon metabolism.* (Ed.): G. Akoyunoglou. Philadelphia, Balban Inf. Sciences Services, USA.

- Costa, C., L.M. Dwyer, X. Zhou, P. Dutilleul, C. Hamel, L.M. Reid and D.L. Smith. 2002. Root morphology of contrasting maize genotypes. *Agron. J.*, 94: 96-101.
- Craufurd, P.Q., T.R. Wheeler, R.H. Ellis, R.J. Summerfield and J.H. Williams. 1999. Effect of temperature and water deficit on water use efficiency, carbon isotope discrimination and specific leaf area in peanut. *Crop Sci.*, 39: 136-142.
- Cross, H.Z. and M.S. Zuber. 1973. Interrelation ships among plant height, number of leaves, and flowering dates in maize. *Agron. J.*, 65: 71-74.
- Davidson, R.L. 1969. Effects of soil nutrients and moisture on root/shoot ratios in *Lolium perenne* L., and *Trifolium repens* L. Ann. Bot., 33: 571-577.
- Drew, M.C. 1975. Comparison of the effects of a localized supply of phosphate, nitrate, ammonium and potassium on the growth of the seminal root system, and the shoot, in barley. *New Phytol.*, 75: 479-490.
- Durieux, R.P., E.J. Kamprath, W.A. Jackson and R.H. Moll. 1994. Root distribution of corn: The effect of nitrogen fertilization. *Agron. J.*, 86: 558-962.
- Eghball, B. and J.W. Maranville. 1993. Root development and nitrogen influx of corn genotypes grown under combined drought and nitrogen stresses. *Agron. J.*, 85: 147-152.
- Eghball, B., J.R. Settimi, J.W. Maranville and A.M. Parkhurst. 1993. Fractal analysis for morphological description of corn roots under nitrogen stresses. *Agron. J.*, 85: 287-289.
- Evans, L.T. and I.F. Wardlaw. 1976. Aspects of the comparative physiology of grain yield in cereals. Adv. Agron., 28: 301-359.
- Fagerai, N.K., V.C. Baligar and R.J. Wright. 1997. Soil environment and root growth dynamics of field crops. *Recent Res. Devl. Agron.*, 1: 15-58.
- Fageria, N.K. 1992. *Maximizing crop yields*. Marcel Dekker, NY, USA.
- Fageria, N.K., V.C. Baligar and R.b. Clark. 2006. Root Architecture. In: *Physiology of Crop Production*. The Haworth Press, Binghamton, NY, USA. pp. 23-59.
- Feil, B. and G. Geisler. 1988. Root growth of seedlings of old and new winter wheat cultivars and a spelt wheat at varying levels of nitrogen. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 161: 264-272.
- Ferm, A., J. Hytonen, P. Lahdesmaki, P. Pietilainen and A. Patila. 1990. Effects of high nitrogen deposition on forests: Case studies close to fur animal farms. In: *Acidification in Finland.* (Eds.): P. Kauppi, P. Anttila and K. Kenttämies. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 635-668.
- Gardner, F.P., R.B. Pearce and R.L. Mitchell. 1985. *Physiology of Crop Plants* (First Edition). The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Goodin, J.R. 1972. In: *The biology and utilization of grasses*. (Eds.): V.B. Youngner and C.M. McKell. Academic Press, NY, USA.
- Gordon, C., S.J. Woodin, I.J. Alexander and C.E. Mullins. 1999. Effects of increased temperature, drought and nitrogen supply on two upland perennials of contrasting functional type: *Calluna vulgaris* and *Pteridium aquilinum*. *New Phytol.*, 142: 243-258.
- Granato, T.C. and C.D. Raper. 1989. Proliferation of maize roots in response to localized supply of nitrate. J. Exp. Bot., 40: 263-275.
- Grennan, A.K. 2008. Phosphate accumulation in plant: Signaling. *Plant Physiol.*, 148: 3-5.
- Hatlitigil, M.B., R.A. Olson and W.A. Compton. 1984. Yield, water use, and nutrient uptake of corn hybrids under varied irrigation and nitrogen regimes. *Fert. Res.*, 5: 321-333.
- Humphries, E.C. and A.W. Wheeler. 1963. The physiology of leaf growth. Annual Rev. *Plant Physiol.*, 14: 385-410.
- Iftikhar, T., L.K. Babar, S. Zahoor, N.G. Khan. 2010. Impact of land pattern and hydrological properties of soil on cotton yield. *Pak. J Bot.* 42(5): 3023-3028.

- Isensee, A.R., K.C. Berger and B.E. Struckmeyer. 1966. Anatomical and growth responses of primary corn roots to several fertilizers. *Agron. J.*, 58: 94-97.
- Jackson, W.A., W.L. Pan, R.H. Moll and E.J. Kamprath. 1986. Uptake, translocation and reduction of nitrate, In: *Biochemical basis of plant breeding*, (Ed.): C.A. Neyra. Vol. 2, Nitrogen metabolism. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. pp. 95-98.
- Jaffar, M.N., L.R. Stone and D.E. Goodwin. 1993. Rooting depth and dry matter development of sunflower. *Agron. J.*, 85: 281-286.
- Jan, A., I. Daur, Z. Muhammad and I.A. Khan. 2011. Effect of mungbean and nitrogen levels on barley. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43(4): 1905-1908.
- Jennings, P.R., W.R. Coffman and H.E. Kauffman. 1979. Rice improvement. Los Banos, Philippines: IRRI.
- Jian-ling, L., W. Liao, Z. Zhang, H. Zhang, X. Wang and N. Meng. 2007. Effect of Phosphate Fertilizer and Manure on Crop Yield, Soil P Accumulation, and the Environmental Risk Assessment. *Agric. Sci. China.*, 6: 1107-1114.
- Lambers, H. 1987. Does variation in photosynthetic rate explain variation in growth rate and yield. *Netherlands J. Agric. Sci.*, 35: 505-519.
- Lucas, M.E., S.P. Hoad, G. Russell and I.J. Bingham. 2000. Management of cereal root systems. HGCA Research Review 43, London: Home Grown Cereals Authority. (http://www.hgca.com/document. aspx?fn=load&media id=1160&publicationId=812).

aspx?in=ioad&ineuia_id=1100&publicationid=812).

- Mackay, A.D. and S.A. Barber. 1986. Effect of nitrogen on root growth of two corn genotypes in the field. Agro. J., 77: 519-523.
- Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press, London, pp. 889.
- Mengal, D.B. and S.A. Barber. 1974. Development and distribution of the corn root system under field conditions. *Agron. J.*, 68: 341-344.
- Moosavi, S.G. 2012. The effect of water deficit stress and nitrogen fertilizer levels on morphology traits, yield and leaf area index in maize. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44(4): 1351-1355.
- Nasholm, T., A. Edfast, A. Ericsson and L. Norden. 1994. Accumulation of amino acids in some boreal forest plants in response to increased nitrogen availability. *New Phytol.*, 126: 137-143.
- Perez-Soba, M., I. Stulen and L.J.M. van der Eerden. 1994. Effect of atmospheric ammonia on the nitrogen metabolism of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) needles. *Physiol. Plant.*, 90: 629-636.
- Pietila, M., P. Lahdesmaki, P. Pietilainen, A. Ferm, J. Hytönen and A. Patila. 1991. High nitrogen deposition causes changes in amino acid concentrations and protein spectra in needles of the Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*). *Environ. Pollut.*, 72: 103-115.
- Plaster, E.J. 2009. Soil Science and Management. 5th Edition. Delmar, 5 Maxwell Drive, Clifton Park, NY, USA.
- Provin, T.L. and J.L. Pitt. 2010. Phosphorus too much and the plants may suffer. Soil, water and forage testing laboratory at 345 Heep Centre, College Station, Texas, United States (http://soil-testing-tamu.edu)
- Rhodes, I. 1975. The relationship between productivity and some components of canopy structure in ryegrass. IV. Canopy characters and their relationship with sward yield in some intra population selection. J. Agri. Sci., 84: 345-351.
- Richards, R.A., G.J. Rebetzke, A.G. Condon and A.F. van Herwaarden. 2002. Breeding opportunities for increasing the efficiency of water use and crop yield in temperate cereals. *Crop Sci.*, 42: 111-121.
- Robinson, D. 1996. Resource capture by localized root proliferation: Why do plants bother? Ann. Bot., 77: 179-185.
- Robinson, D., D.J. Linehan and D.C. Gordon. 1994. Captureof nitrate from soil by wheat in relation to root length, nitrogen inflow and availability. *New Phytol.*, 128: 297-305.

- Sadras, V.O. 2006. The N: P stoichiometry of cereal, grain legume and oilseed crops. *Field Crops Res.*, 95: 13-29.
- Sharratt, B.S. and V.L. Cochran. 1993. Skip-row and equidistantrow barley with nitrogen placement: Yield nitrogen uptake and root density. *Agron. J.*, 85: 246-250.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill, NY, United States.
- Teyker, R.H. and D.C. Hobbs. 1992. Growth and root morphology of corn as influenced by nitrogen. Agron. J., 84: 694-700.
- Troughton, A. 1957. *The underground organs of herbage grass.* Bull. 44. Hurley, Berkshire, U.K: Commonwealth Bur. Pasture Field Crops.
- Troughton, A. 1962. The root of temperate cereals (wheat, barley, oats and rye). Mimeographed Publication No. 2. Hurley, Berkshire, U.K: Commonwealth Bur. Pasture Field Crops.
- Van den Boogaard, R., E.J. Veneklaas, J. Peacock and H. Lambers. 1996. Yield and water use of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars in a Mediterranean environment:

effects of water availability and sowing density. *Plant and Soil*, 181: 251-262.

- Welbank, P.J., M.J. Gibb, P.J. Taylor and E.D. Williams. 1974. Root growth of cereal crops, In: *Rothamsted Exper. Stn. Report for 1973.* Part 2. Hertshire, UK: Harpenden. pp. 26-66.
- Wiesler, F. and W.J. Horst. 1993. Differences among maize cultivars in the utilization of soil nitrate and the related losses of nitrate through leaching. *Plant and Soil*, 151: 193-203.
- Wilkinson, S.R. and A.J. Ohlrogge. 1962. Principles of nutrient uptake from fertilizer band: V. Mechanisms responsible for intensive root development in fertilized zones. *Agron. J.*, 54: 288-291.
- Yoshida, S. 1981. Fundamental of rice crop science. Los Banos, Philippines, IRRI.
- Zedler, B., R. Plarre and G.M. Rothe. 1986. Impact of atmospheric pollution on the protein and amino acid metabolism of spruce *Picea abies* trees. *Envi. Pollut.*, 40: 193-212.

(Received for publication 10 August 2011)