EXOGENOUS APPLICATION OF PROLINE AND GLYCINE BETAINE MITIGATES NICKEL TOXICITY IN MUNG BEAN PLANTS BY UP-REGULATING GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGICAL AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES

KHURAM SHAHZAD¹, AAMIR ALI¹, ABDUL GHANI¹, MUHAMMAD NADEEM², TEHSEEN KHALID³, SARFRAZ NAWAZ⁴, MUHAMMAD JAMIL⁵ AND TAUSEEF ANWAR^{5*}

¹Department of Botany, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

²Department of Food Science & Nutrition, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

³Department of Zoology, PMAS University of Arid Agriculture, Pakistan

⁴Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁵Department of Botany, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur (Baghdad -ul-Jadeed Campus), Bahawalpur, Pakistan

**Corresponding author's email: tauseef.anwar@iub.edu.pk

Abstract

Nickel (Ni) toxicity is a serious threat to plant yield, especially when present in the soil, and its amount is increasing with each passing day. This study addresses the mitigation of this toxicity using proline and glycine betaine. The effect of exogenous glycine betaine (50, 100 mM) and proline (30, 60 mM) application on the morpho-physiological and yield attributes of Mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] under varying levels of nickel stress (0, 100, 125, and 150 mg Ni/kg of soil) were investigated in this study. Data for growth and yield parameters were collected after 30, 45, and 65 days, respectively. The results showed that under nickel stress, root length, shoot length, fresh weight, chlorophyll contents, gas exchange characteristics, and yield attributes were reduced compared to the control. Foliar applications of proline (30, 60 mM) and glycine betaine (50 mM) improved all growth parameters, gas exchange characteristics, leaf carotenoid content, and yield attributes. The root length, shoot length, and gas exchange characteristics all correlated positively and significantly. On the other hand, root length, chlorophyll, and photosynthetic rate had a negative correlation. In Ni-stressed plants, the application of 100 mM glycine betaine caused additional damage. The exogenous application of 30 mM proline appeared to be the most effective treatment for nickel toxicity in mung bean plants. The results show that nickel toxicity has a negative impact on mung bean growth and yield, whereas optimal doses of both osmolytes have a high potential to alleviate nickel toxicity. The growth of mung bean was enhanced by foliar sprays of proline and glycine betaine, which improved gas exchange and increased chlorophyll and carotenoid levels. In the future, the identified treatments can optimally be used to obtain a high yield after the resilience development.

Key words: Nickel stress, Mung bean, Osmolytes, Photosynthesis, Transpiration rate.

Introduction

including nickel (Ni), Heavy metals, have contaminated Pakistan's agricultural land. Nickel concentrations exceed permissible limits in many parts of the country (Waseem et al., 2014). Increased Ni toxicity has become a significant concern in recent decades, negatively impacting crop production, and appropriate remedial approaches are urgently needed (Kumar et al., 2021). Ni stress is well known for impairing plant development, yield, photosynthetic pigments (Yusuf et al., 2019), chlorosis and necrosis of leaves (Hassan et al., 2019) and carotenoid content (Selvaraj, 2018). Excess Ni disrupts transpiration, net photosynthetic rate (Shafeeq et al., 2012) and stomatal conductance (Ouzounidou et al., 2006). Nickel toxicity is increasing in agricultural soils worldwide due to anthropogenic activities such as the combustion of fossil fuels, and the manufacture of nickel compounds, alloys, and industrial wastes. Some natural processes, such as rock weathering, also contribute to nickel toxicity in soil and water.

Exogenous application of various compounds can improve stress tolerance in plants. Glycinebetaine and proline are two well-known stress-mitigating compounds. Glycine betaine is a quaternary ammonium compound that is non-toxic, environmental friendly, and water-soluble, and it is found in many plant species at varying concentrations (Kumar *et al.*, 2017). Abiotic stress causes

plants to synthesize proline de-novo (Kishor *et al.*, 2015). Both osmolytes are widely used for alleviating heavy metal toxicity in many crops, including Cu-stressed wheat plants (*Triticum aestivum*) (Noreen *et al.*, 2018) and Cd-stressed cotton plants (*Gossypium arboreum*) (Farooq *et al.*, 2016). Exogenous glycine betaine is said to benefit plants in combating abiotic stress by modulating the activity of many enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2014), whereas proline functions as a free radical scavenger and stabilizes cellular integrity and structure (Hossain *et al.*, 2015). It reduces cytoplasmic acidosis and maintains an appropriate NAPD⁺/NADPH ratio that are well-suited to metabolism (Zali & Ehsanzadeh, 2018).

Mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] is an effective pulse produced and consumed in Pakistan. Mung bean is sensitive to Ni stress, as evidenced by reduced growth, necrosis, and chlorosis of leaves, as well as decreased photosynthetic pigments and productivity (Ali et al., 2015). Only a few studies have been conducted to determine the effects of proline and glycine betaine on mung bean at the seedling stage (Hossain & Fujita, 2010). However, no comprehensive study of the effects of exogenous proline and glycine betaine at different stages of development has been conducted, and the mechanisms underlying their protective function have yet to be discovered. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the effect of proline and glycine betaine on mung bean

 $\mathbf{2}$ KHURAM SHAHZAD *ET AL.*,

growth, physicochemical properties, and yield at the seedling, vegetative, and reproductive stages when exposed to nickel stress.

Materials and Methods

Experimental details and treatments

Experimental Site: The experiment was conducted in a net house of the Department of Botany at the University of Sargodha in Sargodha, Pakistan, between July and September of the 2017-2018. Mung bean seeds (NM-2006) were obtained from the Ayub Agricultural Research Institute (AARI), Faisalabad. Nine surface sterilized seeds were directly sown in 30 cm diameter and 35 cm high glazed pots containing 10 kg of sandy loam soil. Following germination, three healthy and uniform seedlings were retained in each pot with three replicates in a completely randomized design. Plants were supplied a half-strength nutrient solution (Hoagland & Arnon, 1950) at all stages of growth. The Soil and Water Testing Laboratory for Research, Sargodha Department of Agriculture, Government of Punjab, Pakistan, conducted soil and water analysis and determined that it was suitable for agricultural use.

Treatments: To induce different levels of toxicity, three doses of Ni $(0,100,\ 125,\ and\ 150\ mg/kg$ of soil) in the form of NiCl₂ were applied to the soil before sowing. Tween-20 (0.1%) was used as a surfactant, and foliar sprays of glycine betaine $(50\ and\ 100\ mM)$ and proline $(30\ and\ 60\ mM)$ were applied after 20 and 30 days of germination, respectively. Irrigation was done with tap water as needed.

Plant harvesting: The plants were harvested after 45 days for physiochemical and morphological examination. The shoot length was measured with a meter rod from the base of the shoot to the tip of the root, while the root length was measured with a meter rod from the base of the shoot to the tip of the root. Plant samples were washed with water, blot dried, and their fresh weight was recorded. Both parts were oven-dried separately for seven days at 70°C until they reached a consistent weight, and the dry weights were recorded. A meter rod was used to measure the plant's height from where the root begins to grow to the leaf base of the fully extended highest leaf. Each plant's leaves were counted, and the leaf area meter was used to measure the leaf area (cm²). An infrared Gas Analyzer (LI-6800) was used to determine the photosynthetic rate (Pn) and transpiration rate (E) of leaves. The Davis (1976) method was used to calculate carotenoids and Arnon (1949) method for chlorophyll. At maturity, 15 plants were harvested to examine yield characteristics such as the number of pods per plant, seeds per pod, and total seed yield per plant (g). A total of 100 seeds were chosen at random for a total weight of 100 grains.

Statistical analysis: For statistical analysis, SPSS software version SPSS-21 was used to analyze the results. Using randomized complete block design (RCBD), two-

factor analysis of variance was applied, and as post-hoc, the Tukey HSD (honestly significant difference) test was used. The standard deviation was calculated, and the validity of the data was recorded at a 5% probability level. Correlation analysis was done by using the MINITAB 17.

Results

Plants supplemented with different levels of Ni (100, 125, and 150 mg Ni/kg soil) showed concentration-dependent reduction in growth parameters (root and shoot length, leaf area, and number of leaves). Exogenous application of 30 mM proline completely neutralized the toxicity of plants treated with 100 mg Ni/kg of soil and partially neutralized the toxicity of plants treated with 125 and 150 mg Ni/kg of soil over control plants out of all doses of glycine betaine (50, 100 mM) and proline (30, 60 mM). This was followed by proline at 60 mM and glycine betaine at 50 mM. All growth parameters were reduced after foliar application of 100 mM glycine betaine. Plants treated with 125 mg Ni/kg soil had mild necrotic spots on the leaves, while plants treated with 150 mg Ni/kg soil had more necrotic spots (Table 1).

Carotenoid and total chlorophyll content decreased linearly as soil Ni levels increased. At 45 days of growth, plants with the highest Ni levels (150 mg Ni/kg soil) showed the greatest reduction, with carotenoids and chlorophyll contents reduced by 18.53% and 14.11% less than control plants, respectively. On the other hand, exogenous application of 30 mM proline resulted in the greatest restoration of their levels at the 45-day growth stage compared to their respective controls. This pattern was followed by 60 mM proline and 50 mM glycine betaine, resulting in partial restoration. However, 100 mM glycine betaine reduced their levels in all Ni-stressed plants (Table 2).

Exogenous application of 30 mM proline resulted in a complete restoration of the rate of transpiration (E) and net photosynthetic rate (Pn) in plants treated with 100 mg Ni/kg soil at the 45-day growth stage. This trend was followed by 60 mM Proline and 50 mM glycine betaine, except for 100 mM glycine betaine, which caused further reductions in gas exchange parameters (Table 3).

Exogenous application of both doses of proline and 50 mM glycine betaine significantly increased yield attributes (number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, seed yield per plant, 100 grains weight). The application of 30mM proline resulted in the restoration of all yield attributes in plants with lower Ni stress (100 mg/kg) and partially in plants with higher Ni stress (125 and 150 mg/kg). Plants treated with 150 mg Ni/kg soil and 100 mM glycine betaine, on the other hand, showed the maximum reduction in all yield attributes (Table 4).

The correlation data revealed that shoot length, root length, and gas exchange characteristics had significant and positive correlations with growth and morpho-physiological and yield attributes. A negative and non-significant correlation was found between seed yield per plant and the number of seeds per pod and carotenoids (Table 5).

Table 1. Effect of treatment of glycine betaine (GB) and proline on growth attributes of mung bean plants.

	or or encourement or gry e	nie betaine (GB) an Nick	<u> </u>		
Treatment	0 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	125 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	Mean
			Shoot length (cm)	•	
Control	$41.67 \pm 0.15b$	39.67 ± 0.42 cde	35.17 ± 0.63 ij	31.33 ± 0.52 m	$36.96 \pm 1.23c$
30 mM P	$43.00\pm0.44a$	$41.67 \pm 0.42b$	$38.50 \pm 0.30 ef$	35.33 ± 0.65 ij	$39.63\pm0.92a$
60 mM P	$42.00 \pm 0.32 ab $	$40.00 \pm 0.28cd$	$36.83 \pm 0.34 gh$	$34.67 \pm 0.29 jk$	$38.38 \pm 0.86b$
50 mM GB	$40.42\pm0.13c$	$39.17 \pm 0.56 de$	$36.67 \pm 0.20 gh$	$33.67 \pm 0.56 kl$	$37.48 \pm 0.80c$
100 mM GB	$41.67 \pm 0.25b$	$37.50 \pm 0.30 fg$	$36.17 \pm 0.48 hi$	$32.50 \pm 0.58 lm$	$36.96\pm1.00c$
Mean	$41.75 \pm 0.25a$	$39.60 \pm 0.39B$	$36.67 \pm 0.33c$	$33.50 \pm 0.44d$	
			Root length (cm)		
Control	7.12 ± 0.03 bc	5.57 ± 0.14 ghi	5.38 ±0.13hi	4.12 ± 0.10 lm	$5.55 \pm 0.32d$
30 mM P	$8.28 \pm 0.09a$	$6.88 \pm 0.10c$	$6.02 \pm 0.12ef$	$4.72 \pm 0.05j$	$6.48 \pm 0.39a$
60 mM P	$7.22 \pm 0.09b$	$6.30 \pm 0.11 de$	$5.77 \pm 0.05 fg$	$4.58 \pm 0.04 jk$	$5.97 \pm 0.29b$
50 mM GB	$7.03 \pm 0.12 bc$	$6.13 \pm 0.12e$	$5.67 \pm 0.13 gh$	$4.35 \pm 0.10 kl$	$5.80 \pm 0.30 c$
100 mM GB	$6.43 \pm 0.17 d$	$5.83 \pm 0.08 fg$	$5.33 \pm 0.08i$	$4.03 \pm 0.10 m$	$5.41 \pm 0.27d$
Mean	$7.22 \pm 0.17a$	$6.14 \pm 0.13b$	$5.63 \pm 0.08c$	$4.36 \pm 0.08 d$	_
		Num	ber of leaves per pl	ant	_
Control	$21.17 \pm 0.07b$	$18.50 \pm 0.52 de$	$15.17 \pm 0.43i$	13.17 ± 0.10 j	$17.00 \pm 0.94c$
30 mM P	$23.17 \pm 0.08a$	$20.17 \pm 0.07 c$	$18.17 \pm 0.08ef$	$16.17 \pm 0.09 h$	$19.42 \pm 0.78a$
60 mM P	$21.67 \pm 0.40b$	$18.95 \pm 0.29 d$	$16.83 \pm 0.50 gh$	$15.17 \pm 0.09i$	$18.16 \pm 0.75b$
50 mM GB	$21.67 \pm 0.32b$	$18.90 \pm 0.34 de$	$16.67 \pm 0.13 h$	$15.17 \pm 0.19i$	$18.10 \pm 0.75 b$
100 mM GB	$20.00\pm0.06c$	$17.50 \pm 0.25 fg$	$15.17 \pm 0.11i$	$12.50 \pm 0.29j$	$16.29 \pm 0.84d$
Mean	$21.54 \pm 0.29a$	$18.80 \pm 0.26 B$	$16.40\pm0.32c$	$14.44\pm0.37d$	
		Leat	f area per plant (cn	n ²)	
Control	6.52 ± 0.12	6.43 ± 0.11	6.12 ± 0.10	5.70 ± 0.06	6.19 ± 0.11 bc
30 mM P	6.85 ± 0.10	6.55 ± 0.09	6.35 ± 0.06	5.98 ± 0.03	$6.43 \pm 0.10a$
60 mM P	6.72 ± 0.09	6.38 ± 0.09	6.23 ± 0.16	5.92 ± 0.07	$6.31 \pm 0.10 ab$
50 mM GB	6.60 ± 0.02	6.38 ± 0.20	6.22 ± 0.14	5.88 ± 0.09	$6.27 \pm 0.10 bc$
100 mM GB	6.52 ± 0.10	6.32 ± 0.09	5.98 ± 0.09	5.77 ± 0.05	$6.15 \pm 0.09 c$
Mean	$6.64 \pm 0.05a$	$6.41 \pm 0.05b$	$6.18 \pm 0.05c$	$5.85 \pm 0.04d$	

Data is the mean of three replicates. The means sharing similar letters in a row or a column are statistically non-significant (p>0.05). Small letters represent comparison among interaction means, and capital letters are used for overall mean, P= Proline, GB= Glycine Betaine

Table 2. Effect of treatment of glycine betaine (GB) and proline on total chlorophyll and carotenoids.

		Nic	·	1 1	
Treatment	0 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	125 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	Mean
		Total c	hlorophyll (mg/g of	tissue)	
Control	$0.163 \pm 0.002c$	$0.160 \pm 0.003 cd$	$0.147 \pm 0.002 g$	$0.140 \pm 0.002h$	$0.153 \pm 0.003b$
30 mM P	$0.183\pm0.003a$	$0.160 \pm 0.002 cd$	$0.150\pm0.003fg$	$0.150\pm0.002fg$	$0.161 \pm 0.004a$
60 mM P	$0.180 \pm 0.003 a$	$0.157 \pm 0.002 de$	$0.150\pm0.002fg$	$0.149 \pm 0.002 fg$	$0.159 \pm 0.004a$
50 mM GB	$0.170\pm0.003b$	$0.153 \pm 0.002ef$	$0.150\pm0.001fg$	$0.148 \pm 0.002 fg$	$0.155\pm0.003b$
100 mM GB	$0.170\pm0.002b$	$0.153\pm0.002ef$	$0.147\pm0.002g$	$0.147 \pm 0.003 g$	$0.154 \pm 0.003 b$
Mean	$0.173 \pm 0.002a$	$0.157 \pm 0.001b$	$0.149 \pm 0.001 c$	$0.147\pm0.001c$	
		Total ca	arotenoids (mg/g of	tissue)	
Control	0.340 ± 0.003	0.320 ± 0.006	0.290 ± 0.003	0.277 ± 0.002	$0.307 \pm 0.008b$
30 mM P	0.357 ± 0.006	0.313 ± 0.004	0.297 ± 0.003	0.287 ± 0.006	$0.313 \pm 0.008a$
60 mM P	0.347 ± 0.003	0.307 ± 0.003	0.290 ± 0.006	0.286 ± 0.003	$0.307 \pm 0.007ab$
50 mM GB	0.343 ± 0.003	0.303 ± 0.006	0.287 ± 0.007	0.285 ± 0.003	$0.305 \pm 0.007 b$
100 mM GB	0.340 ± 0.003	0.300 ± 0.006	0.287 ± 0.003	0.282 ± 0.004	$0.302 \pm 0.007b$
Mean	$0.345 \pm 0.002a$	$0.309 \pm 0.003b$	$0.290 \pm 0.002c$	$0.283 \pm 0.002d$	

Data is the mean of three replicates. Means sharing similar letters in a row or a column are statistically non-significant (*p*>0.05). Small letters represent comparison among interaction means, and capital letters are used for overall mean, P= Proline, GB= Glycine Betaine

KHURAM SHAHZAD ETAL.,

Table 3. Effect of treatment of glycine betaine (GB) and proline on gas exchange parameters.

		Nic	` / 1	S	
Treatment	0 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	125 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	Mean
		Transp	iration rate (mmol	m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	
Control	11.66 ± 0.16 abc	$10.87 \pm 0.11d$	9.08 ± 0.08 g	$8.04 \pm 0.16 h$	$9.91 \pm 0.43d$
30 mM P	$11.44 \pm 0.16cd$	$12.21 \pm 0.21a$	$10.88 \pm 0.12d$	$9.19 \pm 0.06 fg$	$10.93 \pm 0.34a$
60 mM P	$11.00\pm0.44d$	$12.03 \pm 0.05 ab$	$10.26\pm0.06e$	$8.97 \pm 0.09 g$	$10.57 \pm 0.35b$
50 mM GB	$11.10 \pm 0.32 cd$	$11.45 \pm 0.02 bcd$	$9.74 \pm 0.13ef$	$8.74 \pm 0.10 g$	$10.26 \pm 0.34 c$
100 mM GB	$11.05 \pm 0.09d$	$11.00 \pm 0.09 d$	$8.96 \pm 0.56g$	$8.69 \pm 0.19 g$	$9.93 \pm 0.36 d$
Mean	$11.25 \pm 0.12a$	$11.51 \pm 0.15a$	$9.78 \pm 0.22b$	$8.73 \pm 0.11c$	
		Photos	ynthetic rate (µmol	m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	
Control	13.09 ± 0.12 bcd	12.82 ± 0.09 cd	$10.67 \pm 0.17i$	9.72 ± 0.21 j	$11.58 \pm 0.43c$
30 mM P	$13.08 \pm 0.30 bcd$	$15.46 \pm 0.09a$	$12.51 \pm 0.16 def$	$10.77 \pm 0.17 hi$	$12.96 \pm 0.51a$
60 mM P	$12.94 \pm 0.57 bcd$	$13.50 \pm 0.26b$	$11.39 \pm 0.25 gh$	$10.45 \pm 0.21\mathrm{i}$	$12.07 \pm 0.40b$
50 mM GB	$12.72 \pm 0.36 cde$	$13.16 \pm 0.07 bcd$	$12.14 \pm 0.21ef$	$9.76 \pm 0.16j$	$11.94 \pm 0.41b$
100 mM GB	$13.30 \pm 0.26 bc$	$12.00\pm0.18fg$	$10.60 \pm 0.24\mathrm{i}$	$9.10 \pm 0.13j$	$11.25\pm0.48c$
Mean	$13.03 \pm 0.14b$	13.39±0.31a	$11.46 \pm 0.22c$	9.96 ± 0.17 d	

Data is mean of three replicates. Means sharing similar letter in a row or in a column are statistically non-significant (p>0.05). Small letters represent comparison among interaction means and capital letters are used for overall mean, P= Proline, GB= Glycine Betaine

Table 4. Effect of treatment of glycine betaine (GB) and proline on yield parameters of mung bean.

	<u> </u>	ekel	-	
0 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	125 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	Mean
		No. of pods/plant		
$14.67 \pm 0.18a$	$12.67 \pm 0.16c$	$9.67 \pm 0.10h$	$8.67 \pm 0.22i$	$11.42 \pm 0.72d$
$14.67 \pm 0.16a$	$13.67 \pm 0.19b$	$12.67 \pm 0.16c$	$12.40 \pm 0.17 cd$	$13.35 \pm 0.28a$
$14.70 \pm 0.14a$	$12.90 \pm 0.16c$	$12.00 \pm 0.13 de$	$12.00 \pm 0.30 de$	$12.90 \pm 0.34b$
$12.50 \pm 0.27 cd$	$12.67 \pm 0.21c$	$11.67 \pm 0.13e$	$11.67 \pm 0.20e$	$12.13 \pm 0.16c$
$11.00 \pm 0.17 f$	$10.67\pm0.07fg$	$10.40\pm0.23g$	$8.72 \pm 0.16\mathrm{i}$	$10.20 \pm 0.27e$
$13.51 \pm 0.41a$	12.52 ± 0.27 b	$11.28 \pm 0.30c$	$10.69 \pm 0.45d$	
		No. of seeds /pod		
$6.67 \pm 0.21a$	6.00 ± 0.13 de	$5.00 \pm 0.12h$	$4.00 \pm 0.13j$	$5.42 \pm 0.31c$
$6.70 \pm 0.17a$	$6.67 \pm 0.22a$	$6.45 \pm 0.09 abc$	$6.00 \pm 0.14 de$	$6.46 \pm 0.11a$
$6.62 \pm 0.15 ab$	$6.20 \pm 0.16 bcd$	$5.67 \pm 0.13ef$	$5.67 \pm 0.17ef$	$6.04 \pm 0.14b$
$6.69 \pm 0.06a$	$6.17 \pm 0.13 cd$	5.45 ± 0.18 fg	$5.03 \pm 0.12 gh$	$5.83 \pm 0.20b$
$5.89 \pm 0.08 de$	$4.90 \pm 0.06 hi$	$4.67 \pm 0.13 hi$	$4.50 \pm 0.24 i$	$4.99 \pm 0.17 d$
$6.51 \pm 0.10a$	$5.98 \pm 0.14b$	$5.44 \pm 0.13c$	$5.04 \pm 0.21d$	
	1	00 grains weight (g))	
2.16 ± 0.05 de	1.79 ± 0.02 gh	$1.29 \pm 0.03j$	1.08 ± 0.06 kl	$1.58 \pm 0.13d$
$2.45 \pm 0.03 a$	$2.29 \pm 0.02 bc$	$2.00 \pm 0.05 f$	$1.83 \pm 0.04 g$	$2.14 \pm 0.07a$
$2.38 \pm 0.01 ab \\$	$2.12 \pm 0.05ef$	$1.83 \pm 0.04g$	$1.43 \pm 0.03 i$	$1.94 \pm 0.11b$
$2.25 \pm 0.03 cd$	$2.06 \pm 0.07 ef$	$1.73 \pm 0.03 \text{gh}$	$1.30 \pm 0.01j$	$1.84 \pm 0.11c$
$2.10 \pm 0.07ef$	$1.68 \pm 0.05 h$	$1.19 \pm 0.05 jk$	1.00 ± 0.031	$1.49 \pm 0.13e$
$2.27 \pm 0.04a$	$1.99 \pm 0.06b$	$1.61\pm0.09c$	$1.33 \pm 0.08 d$	
		Seed yield /plant		
2.24 ± 0.03 bc	$1.75 \pm 0.04 f$	$1.20\pm0.02i$	1.02 ± 0.031	$1.55 \pm 0.15c$
$2.35 \pm 0.06a$	$2.00 \pm 0.05 d$	$1.39 \pm 0.05 h$	$1.20 \pm 0.02i$	$1.74 \pm 0.14a$
$2.28 \pm 0.05 ab$	$1.90 \pm 0.02e$	$1.30 \pm 0.02 h$	$1.14 \pm 0.05 ijk$	$1.66 \pm 0.14b$
$2.20 \pm 0.03 bc$	$1.84 \pm 0.02ef$	$1.15\pm0.02ij$	$1.08 \pm 0.05 jkl$	$1.57 \pm 0.14c$
$2.18 \pm 0.01c$	$1.59 \pm 0.01 g$	$1.05 \pm 0.03 kl$	0.99 ± 0.021	$1.45 \pm 0.15 d$
$2.25 \pm 0.02a$	$1.82 \pm 0.04b$	$1.22 \pm 0.03c$	$1.09 \pm 0.02d$	
	$14.67 \pm 0.18a$ $14.67 \pm 0.16a$ $14.70 \pm 0.14a$ $12.50 \pm 0.27cd$ $11.00 \pm 0.17f$ $13.51 \pm 0.41a$ $6.67 \pm 0.21a$ $6.62 \pm 0.15ab$ $6.69 \pm 0.06a$ $5.89 \pm 0.08de$ $6.51 \pm 0.10a$ $2.16 \pm 0.05de$ $2.45 \pm 0.03a$ $2.38 \pm 0.01ab$ $2.25 \pm 0.03cd$ $2.10 \pm 0.07ef$ $2.27 \pm 0.04a$ $2.24 \pm 0.03bc$ $2.35 \pm 0.06a$ $2.28 \pm 0.05ab$ $2.20 \pm 0.03bc$ $2.18 \pm 0.01c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline \textbf{0 mg/kg} & \textbf{100 mg/kg} \\ \hline \hline 14.67 \pm 0.18a & 12.67 \pm 0.16c \\ 14.67 \pm 0.16a & 13.67 \pm 0.19b \\ 14.70 \pm 0.14a & 12.90 \pm 0.16c \\ 12.50 \pm 0.27cd & 12.67 \pm 0.21c \\ 11.00 \pm 0.17f & 10.67 \pm 0.07fg \\ \hline 13.51 \pm 0.41a & 12.52 \pm 0.27b \\ \hline \hline \hline \textbf{6.67} \pm 0.21a & 6.00 \pm 0.13de \\ 6.70 \pm 0.17a & 6.67 \pm 0.22a \\ 6.62 \pm 0.15ab & 6.20 \pm 0.16bcd \\ 6.69 \pm 0.06a & 6.17 \pm 0.13cd \\ 5.89 \pm 0.08de & 4.90 \pm 0.06hi \\ \hline \textbf{6.51} \pm 0.10a & 5.98 \pm 0.14b \\ \hline \hline \textbf{2.16} \pm 0.05de & 1.79 \pm 0.02gh \\ 2.45 \pm 0.03a & 2.29 \pm 0.02bc \\ 2.38 \pm 0.01ab & 2.12 \pm 0.05ef \\ 2.25 \pm 0.03cd & 2.06 \pm 0.07ef \\ 2.10 \pm 0.07ef & 1.68 \pm 0.05h \\ \hline \textbf{2.27} \pm 0.04a & 1.99 \pm 0.06b \\ \hline \hline \textbf{2.24} \pm 0.03bc & 1.75 \pm 0.04f \\ 2.35 \pm 0.06a & 2.00 \pm 0.05d \\ 2.28 \pm 0.05ab & 1.90 \pm 0.02e \\ 2.20 \pm 0.03bc & 1.84 \pm 0.02ef \\ 2.18 \pm 0.01c & 1.59 \pm 0.01g \\ \hline \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c c c c c} \hline 0 \ \text{mg/kg} & 100 \ \text{mg/kg} & 125 \ \text{mg/kg} \\ \hline \hline & No. \ \text{of pods/plant} \\ \hline \hline & 14.67 \pm 0.18a & 12.67 \pm 0.16c & 9.67 \pm 0.10h & 8.67 \pm 0.22i \\ 14.67 \pm 0.16a & 13.67 \pm 0.19b & 12.67 \pm 0.16c & 12.40 \pm 0.17cd \\ 14.70 \pm 0.14a & 12.90 \pm 0.16c & 12.00 \pm 0.13de & 12.00 \pm 0.30de \\ 12.50 \pm 0.27cd & 12.67 \pm 0.21c & 11.67 \pm 0.13e & 11.67 \pm 0.20e \\ 11.00 \pm 0.17f & 10.67 \pm 0.07fg & 10.40 \pm 0.23g & 8.72 \pm 0.16i \\ \hline & 13.51 \pm 0.41a & 12.52 \pm 0.27b & 11.28 \pm 0.30c & 10.69 \pm 0.45d \\ \hline & No. \ \text{of seeds/pod} \\ \hline & 6.67 \pm 0.21a & 6.00 \pm 0.13de & 5.00 \pm 0.12h & 4.00 \pm 0.13j \\ 6.70 \pm 0.17a & 6.67 \pm 0.22a & 6.45 \pm 0.09abc & 6.00 \pm 0.14de \\ 6.62 \pm 0.15ab & 6.20 \pm 0.16bcd & 5.67 \pm 0.13ef & 5.67 \pm 0.17ef \\ 6.69 \pm 0.06a & 6.17 \pm 0.13cd & 5.45 \pm 0.18fg & 5.03 \pm 0.12gh \\ 5.89 \pm 0.08de & 4.90 \pm 0.06hi & 4.67 \pm 0.13hi & 4.50 \pm 0.24i \\ \hline 6.51 \pm 0.10a & 5.98 \pm 0.14b & 5.44 \pm 0.13c & 5.04 \pm 0.21d \\ \hline & 100 \ \text{grains weight (g)} \\ \hline & 2.16 \pm 0.05de & 1.79 \pm 0.02gh & 1.29 \pm 0.03j & 1.08 \pm 0.06kl \\ 2.45 \pm 0.03a & 2.29 \pm 0.02bc & 2.00 \pm 0.05f & 1.83 \pm 0.04g \\ 2.38 \pm 0.01ab & 2.12 \pm 0.05ef & 1.83 \pm 0.04g & 1.43 \pm 0.03i \\ 2.25 \pm 0.03cd & 2.06 \pm 0.07ef & 1.73 \pm 0.03gh & 1.30 \pm 0.01j \\ 2.10 \pm 0.07ef & 1.68 \pm 0.05h & 1.19 \pm 0.05jk & 1.00 \pm 0.031 \\ 2.27 \pm 0.04a & 1.99 \pm 0.06b & 1.61 \pm 0.09c & 1.33 \pm 0.08d \\ \hline & \textbf{Seed yield/plant} \\ \hline & 2.24 \pm 0.03bc & 1.75 \pm 0.04f & 1.20 \pm 0.02i & 1.02 \pm 0.03i \\ 2.28 \pm 0.05ab & 1.90 \pm 0.02e & 1.30 \pm 0.02h & 1.14 \pm 0.05ijk \\ 2.20 \pm 0.03bc & 1.84 \pm 0.02ef & 1.15 \pm 0.02ij & 1.08 \pm 0.05jkl \\ 2.18 \pm 0.01c & 1.59 \pm 0.01g & 1.05 \pm 0.03kl & 0.99 \pm 0.02l \\ \hline \end{array}$

Data is mean of three replicates. Means sharing similar letter in a row or in a column are statistically non-significant (p>0.05). Small letters represent comparison among interaction means and capital letters are used for overall mean, P= Proline, GB= Glycine Betaine.

Table 5. Correlation among different morpho-physiological and yield attributes of mung bean.

	Shoot	Root	h	No. of	Leaf area		Carotenoids	Transpirati 1	Transpirati Photosynthetic No. of pods No. of seeds 100 grains Seed yield	No. of pods N	lo. of seeds	100 grains	Seed yield
	length	length	weight	leaves/Plant				on rate	rate	/plant	pod/	weight	/plant
Shoot length	1												
Root length	0.946*												
No. of leaves/plant	*996.0	*096.0	0.924*										
Leaf area	0.972**	**896.0	0.910	0.973**	П								
Total chlorophyll	-0.863	0.866**	0.753	-0.903	**068.0								
Carotenoids	0.892*	-0.883	0.782**	-0.928	0.901**	0.966	1						
Transpiration rate	0.918**	0.850*	0.903	-0.871	0.889	0.672**	0.720**						
Photosynthetic rate	-0.910	0.829**	-0.907	0.849	-0.869	0.645	0.683*	0.944*	1				
No. of pods /plant	0.807	-0.773	0.816*	0.845**	0.783**	-0.711	0.695*	0.739	0.721**				
No. of seeds /pod	0.708*	-0.755	0.729**	0.743*	-0.725	0.620*	0.610**	-0.592	0.632	0.764*			
100 grains wt.	0.940*	0.908**	-0.864	-0.956	0.930**	0.909**	0.954*	-0.865	0.825*	0.738	*809.0	_	
Seed yield /plant	0.930	0.903	*606.0	0.955	0.930*	0.818	-0.815	0.892*	0.883**	0.869	-0.792	0.893*	
2	1 100			1 1									

of pods per plant, no. of seeds per **= Significant (p<0.01); shoot length, root length, no. of leaves per plant, lead area, total chlorophyll, carotenoids, transpiration rate, photosynthetic rate, no. pod, 100 grain weight and seed yield per plant

Discussion

The results of this study clearly showed that morphological parameters, gas exchange characteristics, and physiological and yield attributes were reduced in mung bean crops at varying nickel concentrations (0, 50, 100, 150 mg/kg of soil) (Tables 1-5). Exogenous application of proline (30 and 60 mM) and glycine betaine (50 mM) improved these morpho-physiological and yield parameters of mung bean. Nickel stress reduced the root/shoot length and decreased the fresh weight of all mung bean plants (Table 1). These findings are consistent with the findings of Nawaz & Ashraf (2019) in abiotically stressed mung bean plants. However, this decrease in growth characteristics is linked to a higher Ni concentration in the soil. Excess Ni in the soil can disrupt plant morphological parameters (root and shoot biomass) (Ali et al., 2015) by lowering cell membrane permeability and cell division rates (Khan et al., 2019). The number of leaves and leaf area were also reduced in all mung bean plants. A decrease in chlorophyll and carotenoid content was also observed in the NM-2006 mung bean variety (Table 2).

Improved plant growth with exogenous glycine betaine under stress may be attributed to increased nutrient uptake in aerial parts (Shahbaz & Zia, 2012). Since it is primarily found in chloroplasts, it increases photosynthetic efficiency by causing less damage to the chloroplast ultrastructure and thylakoid membrane (Wang *et al.*, 2010). The increased photosynthetic rate is due to its role in stabilizing the extrinsic PSII complex protein link (Sakamoto & Murata, 2002). It maintains CO₂ fixation by protecting CO₂-fixing enzymes like Rubisco, resulting in reduced ROS generation. However, overall accumulation of glycine betaine reduces photo-inhibition of PSII, which may have exacerbated plant stress conditions.

Nickel stress causes yield loss in mung bean plants due to decreased growth, reduced gas exchange attributes, and a low photosynthetic rate (Table 4). However, applying proline and glycine betaine favoured all of these characteristics, ultimately improving mung bean plant yield. The beneficial effect of glycine betaine on yield could also be attributed to its role in reducing Ni uptake to plant aerial parts (Xalxo et al., 2017) and protecting cell membranes (Giri, 2011). Exogenous glycine betaine application may have resulted in intracellular glycine betaine accumulation (Zhang et al., 2014), which can alleviate stress by degrading to the nitrogen pool in plants (Ashraf & Foolad, 2007). Exogenous proline also has a protective role in maintaining osmotic adjustment, preserving ionic homeostasis, effectively scavenging ROS, stabilizing antioxidant enzymes that minimize oxidative damage, increasing the photosynthetic rate of the plant, and improving growth and yield (Zouari et al., 2019).

Conclusion

Exogenous proline and glycine betaine spray can alleviate nickel toxicity in mung bean plants exposed to 100 mg Ni/kg of soil, but only in a dose-dependent manner. Under oxidative stress, 30 mM proline can be used to up-regulate mung bean plants' growth,

 $\mathbf{6}$ KHURAM SHAHZAD ETAL.,

physiological, and yield attributes. These findings can help farmers in planting in Ni toxic soils so that an ample yield can be obtained using our reported methods. As a result of this, we recommend that the application of glycine betaine spray be used to mitigate this abiotic stress. These methods can be validated, modified, and applied in the future to get more benefits.

References

- Ali, M.A., H.N. Asghar, M.Y. Khan, M. Saleem, M. Naveed and N.K. Niazi. 2015. Alleviation of nickel-induced stress in mungbean through application of gibberellic acid. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.*, 17(5): 990-994.
- Arnon, D.I. 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant. Physiol.*, 2(1): 1-10.
- Ashraf, M. and M.R. Foolad. 2007. Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 59(2): 206-216.
- Davis, B.H. 1976. Carotenoids. In: (Ed.): Goodwin, T.W. Chemistry and Biochemistry of Plant Pigments, Vol. 2. 2nd edition, London, Academic Press, pp. 38-165.
- Farooq, M.A., S. Ali, A. Hameed, S.A. Bharwana, M. Rizwan, W. Ishaque, M. Farid, K. Mahmood and Z. Iqbal. 2016. Cadmium stress in cotton seedlings: physiological, photosynthesis and oxidative damages alleviated by glycinebetaine. S. Afr. J. Bot., 104: 61-68.
- Giri, J. 2011.Glycinebetaine and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Sig Beh.*, 6(11): 1746-1751.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., M. Alam, A. Rahman, M. Hasanuzzaman, K. Nahar and M. Fujita. 2014. Exogenous proline and glycine betaine mediated upregulation of antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems provides better protection against salt-induced oxidative stress in two rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) varieties. BioMed. Res. Int., 2014: 757219.
- Hassan, M.U., M.U. Chattha, I. Khan, M.B. Chattha, M. Aamer, M. Nawaz, A. Ali, M.A.U. Khan and T.A. Khan. 2019. Nickel toxicity in plants: reasons, toxic effects, tolerance mechanisms, and remediation possibilities a review. *Environ. Sci. Poll. Res.*, 26(13): 12673-12688.
- Hoagland, D.R. and D.I. Arnon. 1950. *The water-culture method* for growing plants without soil, 2nd Edition, Circular. California Agricultural Experiment Station, pp. 347.
- Hossain, M.A. and M. Fujita. 2010. Evidence for a role of exogenous glycinebetaine and proline in antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification systems in mung bean seedlings under salt stress. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants.*, 16(1): 19-29.
- Hossain, M.A., M.A. Hoque, D.J. Burritt and M. Fujita. 2015. Proline protects plants against abiotic oxidative stress: biochemical and molecular mechanisms. In: *Oxidative damage to plants*. Academic Press, pp. 477-522.
- Kishor, P.K., S. Sangam, R.N. Amrutha, P.S. Laxmi, K.R. Naidu, K.S. Rao, K.J. Reddy, P. Theriappan and N. Sreenivasulu. 2015. Regulation of proline biosynthesis, degradation, uptake and transport in higher plants: its implications in plant growth and abiotic stress tolerance. *Curr. Sci.*, 88 (3): 424-438.
- Kumar, A., D.K. Jigyasu, G. Subrahmanyam, R. Mondal, A.A. Shabnam, M.M.S. Cabral-Pinto, S.K. Malyan, A.K. Chaturvedi, D.K. Gupta, R.K. Fagodiya and S.A. Khan. 2021. Nickel in terrestrial biota: Comprehensive review on contamination, toxicity, tolerance and its remediation approaches. *Chemosphere*, 275: 129996.

- Kumar, V., V. Shriram, T.S. Hoque, T.M.M. Hasan, D.J. Burritt and M.A. Hossain. 2017. Glycinebetaine-mediated abiotic oxidative-stress tolerance in plants: physiological and biochemical mechanisms. In: (Eds.): Sarwat, M., A. Ahmad, M.Z. Abdin & M.M. Ibrahim. Stress Signaling in Plants: Genomics and Proteomics Perspective. Vol. 2. Springer, Cham. pp. 111-133.
- Nawaz, M., A. Khan and M.Y. Ashraf. 2019. Screening Mungbean Germplasm for Salt Tolerance using Growth Indices and Physiological Parameters. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 22: 401-406.
- Noreen, S., M.S. Akhter, T. Yaamin and M. Arfan. 2018. The ameliorative effects of exogenously applied proline on physiological and biochemical parameters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop under copper stress condition. *J. Plant. Interact.*, 13(1): 221-230.
- Ouzounidou, G., M. Moustakas, L. Symeonidis and S. Karataglis. 2006. Response of wheat seedlings to Ni stress: effects of supplemental calcium. *Arch. Environ Contam. Toxicol.*, 50: 346-352.
- Sakamoto, A. and N. Murata. 2002. The role of glycine betaine in the protection of plants from stress: clues from transgenic plants. *Plant. Cell. Environ.*, 25(2): 163-171.
- Selvaraj, K. 2018. Effect of nickel chloride on the growth and biochemical characteristics of *Phaseolus mungol L. JOJ. Sci.*, 1(2): 1-6.
- Shafeeq, A., Z.A. Butt and S. Muhammad. 2012. Response of nickel pollution on physiological and biochemical attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) var. Bhakar-02. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44(1): 111-116.
- Shahbaz, M. and B. Zia. 2012. Does exogenous application of glycinebetaine through rooting medium alter rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) mineral nutrient status under saline conditions. *J. Appl. Bot. Food. Qual.*, 84(1): 54-60.
- Wang, G.P., F. Li, J. Zhang, M.R. Zhao, Z. Hui and W. Wang. 2010. Overaccumulation of glycine betaine enhances tolerance of the photosynthetic apparatus to drought and heat stress in wheat. *Photosynthetica*, 48(1): 30-41.
- Waseem, A., J. Arshad, F. Iqbal, A. Sajjad, Z. Mehmood and G. Murtaza. 2014. Pollution status of Pakistan: a retrospective review on heavy metal contamination of water, soil, and vegetables. *BioMed. Res. Intl.*, 2014: 813206.
- Xalxo, R., B. Yadu, P. Chakraborty, V. Chandrakar and S. Keshavkant. 2017. Modulation of nickel toxicity by glycinebetaine and aspirin in *Pennisetum typhoideum*. Acta. Biol. Szeged., 61(2): 163-171.
- Yusuf, M., Q. Fariduddin, I. Ahmad and A. Ahmad. 2019. Brassinosteroid-mediated evaluation of antioxidant system and nitrogen metabolism in two contrasting cultivars of Vigna radiata under different levels of nickel. Physiol. Molecular. Biol. Plant., 20(4): 449-460.
- Zali, A.G. and P. Ehsanzadeh. 2018. Exogenous proline improves osmoregulation, physiological functions, essential oil, and seed yield of fennel. *Ind. Crop. Prod.*, 111: 133-140.
- Zhang, L., J. Lai, M. Gao and M. Ashraf. 2014. Exogenous glycinebetaine and humic acid improve growth, nitrogen status, photosynthesis, and antioxidant defense system and confer tolerance to nitrogen stress in maize seedlings. *J. Plant. Inter.*, 9(1): 159-166.
- Zouari, M., A.B. Hassena, L. Trabelsi, B.B. Rouina, R. Decou and P. Labrousse. 2019. Exogenous Proline-Mediated Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Plants: Possible Mechanisms. In: (Eds.): Hossain, M.A., V. Kumar, D.J. Burritt, M. Fujita and P.S.A. Mäkelä. Osmoprotectant-Mediated Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Plants. Springer, Cham, pp. 99-121.