

## COMPOSTS, COMPOST EXTRACTS AND BACTERIAL SUPPRESSIVE ACTION ON *PYTHIUM APHANIDERMATUM* IN TOMATO

RAOUDHA KHANFIR BEN JENANA<sup>1\*</sup>, RABIAA HAOUALA<sup>1</sup>, MOHAMED ALI TRIKI<sup>2</sup>, JEAN-JAQUES GODON<sup>3</sup>, KHALED HIBAR<sup>4</sup>, MOHAMED BEN KHEDHER<sup>5</sup> AND BELGACEM HENCHI<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institut Supérieur de Biotechnologie, Monastir, Tunisia,*

<sup>2</sup>*Institut de l'Olivier, Sfax, Tunisia,*

<sup>3</sup>*INRA, UR050, Laboratoire de Biotechnologie de l'Environnement, Narbonne, F-11100, France,*

<sup>4</sup>*Ecole Supérieure d'Horticulture, Chott Mariem, Tunisia,*

<sup>5</sup>*Centre Technique de l'Agriculture Biologique, Chott Mariem, Tunisia and*

<sup>6</sup>*Faculté des Sciences, Tunis, Tunisia*

### Abstract

The effects of three composts (C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>) produced from Solid Olive Mill wastes (SOMW), *Posidonia oceanica* (Po) and Chicken Manure (CM), at different proportions, were tested on *Pythium aphanidermatum*. To evaluate the fungal pathogen inhibition, *In vitro* and *In vivo* tests were carried out. *In vitro* tests aimed to study the inhibitive effect of pure compost extracts and the isolated antagonist bacteria. *In vivo* tests drench and dip root inoculations were done on tomato seedlings. Pure extracts inhibited the fungal pathogen growth. Isolated bacteria also showed an antagonistic action on the mycelial growth of *P. aphanidermatum* and the 16sRNA identification showed that *Bacillus subtilis* and *B. thuringiensis* had the highest inhibition. *In vivo* tests showed that drench inoculated tomato seedlings sown in substrates with composts resisted to *P. aphanidermatum*. Root dip inoculated seedlings had a more sustained growth in substrates mixed with composts. Results showed that tested composts acted by both their chemical composition and microorganisms and could be used at appropriate proportions as biological fertilizers.

### Introduction

Damping-off and root rot caused by the *Pythium* are considered among the most devastating diseases of greenhouse-grown crops. This pathogen affects nearly every crop grown in every part of the world (Ben Yephet & Nelson 1999). The main causal agent of the damping-off and root rot is *P. aphanidermatum* Edson (Fitzp.). In spite of its devastating effect, chemical fight (Folman *et al.*, 2004) and physical control (Benhamou *et al.*, 1997) of this fungal pathogen are very difficult to realize. Some authors studied the fight by organic amendment (Shuler *et al.*, 1989; Paulitz & Baker, 1987; Kao & Ko, 1986). Biological control of *Pythium* is a promising approach, seeing that it is comparatively benign towards the environment (Paulitz & Bélanger, 2001; Rattink, 1992). Many factors may affect suppression of diseases in compost amended growth media caused by *Pythium spp.* These factors include compost type (Craft & Nelson 1996; Ringer *et al.*, 1997), organic matter quality (Boehm & Hoitink 1992; Boehm *et al.*, 1997) and quantity (Erhart & Burian, 1997) and associated levels of microbial activity (Theodore & Toribio, 1995; Craft & Nelson, 1996). In previous studies, damping-off of cucumber was suppressed with composts prepared from cattle manure (Mandelbaum *et al.*, 1988, Mandelbaum & Hadar, 1990), grape marc (Mandelbaum *et al.*, 1988), licorice roots (Hadar & Mandelbaum 1986), municipal biosolids (Lumsden *et al.*, 1983) and sugarcane residues (Teodore & Toribio, 1995).

\*Corresponding author. Email: bjnk@ yahoo.fr

Phone: +216 73 340 696, Fax: +216 73 244 624

Several composts from vegetal material were assessed by many authors. Seagrass and seaweed residues were tested with yard waste for horticultural purposes by Orquín *et al.*, (2001). Roig *et al.*, (2001) studied the composting of the solid fraction of olive mill wastewater. More than one compost from animal material were also studied in the literature e.g., pig manure (Atiyeh *et al.*, 2000), mink manure (Ferguson 2001), racetrack manure, (Warman & Termeer 1996) and chicken manure (Hachicha *et al.*, 2006; Kogbe, 1980).

Larbi (2006) concluded that most of the tested composts protected cucumber seedlings against damping-off caused by *Pythium ultimum*. According to this author, during the composting process, an antagonist microbial population might be developed to enable compost to protect plants against telluric diseases. High quality composts can be successfully used as a biological control means particularly in vegetable crops (Fuchs, 2002; Fuchs & Larbi 2004) as well horticultural crops especially in soilless cultures (Inbar *et al.*, 1993). Generally, the main protection mechanism against phytopathogens seems to be clearly dependant on compost microbial activity (Hoitink *et al.*, 1997; Tilston *et al.*, 2002). Specific microorganism importance is established by several authors: *Trichoderma asperellum* against *Fusarium* on tomato (Cotxarrera *et al.*, 2002), *Acromonium* sp., against *Phytophthora nicotianae* (Widmer *et al.*, 1998) and *Bacillus subtilis* against *Pythium* sp., (Theodore & Toribio 1995).

*Posidonia* (*Posidonia oceanica* Delile) is a Mediterranean marine phanerogam. Leaves accumulated in litter are rejected in enormous quantities on the Tunisian beaches. Not many studies have been conducted on the *Posidonia*'s antibiotic activity (Cariello & Zanetti, 1997; Bernard & Clément 1983; Bernard & Pesando 1989). Chicken manure accumulation is accompanied by serious environmental problems. For instance, the underground water is affected by nitrates and phosphates; air is affected by the ammonia emission and soil by accumulated heavy metals and phosphorus (Walker & Bernal 2007). The solid fraction of olive mill wastewater is accumulated each year in the Tunisian oil mills and is very rich with organic matter. Despite its various uses, the available quantities are still huge (Fourati *et al.*, 2001; Nefzaoui & Ben Dhia, 1978; Nefzaoui & Zidani, 1987).

Aqueous compost extract (compost tea) is rich with nutrients and microorganisms. It can stimulate growth, protect plants from diseases and helps suppress soil borne pathogens (Quarles, 2001). During the two last decades, many authors found out that compost extracts are efficient against phytopathogens (Elad & Shtienberg, 1994; Yohalem *et al.*, 1996; Reuveni *et al.*, 2002; Al-Dahmani *et al.*, 2003).

Within the framework of evaluating three new types of compost made from *Posidonia oceanica*, Chicken manure and solid olive mill wastes, we tested in this study their suppressive effect on *Pythium aphanidermatum*. To observe and evaluate the antagonistic effect of the composts, their extracts and bacteria present in these extracts, the current study has been conducted *In vitro* and *In vivo*. The *In vitro* test consisted of the confrontation of the compost extracts with the fungal pathogen. The bacterial strains that had been isolated and purified from the compost extracts were then confronted to the fungal pathogen. The bioassay was conducted on *P. aphanidermatum* inoculated tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) seedlings.

## Materials and Methods

**Composition of the original composts:** In this study, we used three composts (C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>) produced in the Technical Center of the Organic Agriculture of Chott Meriem, Tunisia with the following compositions: i) C<sub>1</sub>: 50% solid olive mill wastes (SOMW),

20% *Posidonia oceanica* (Po) and 30% Chicken Manure (CM); ii) C<sub>2</sub>: 35% (SOMW), 35% (Po) and 30% (CM); iii) C<sub>3</sub>: 20% (SOMW), 50% (Po) and 30% (CM) (Table 1). The percentages are the proportions in weight. The total weight of each compost pile is 20 tons. *Posidonia* was collected from Hergla beaches 20 km North from Sousse, Tunisia and abundantly washed to eliminate accumulated salts from the leaf surface as suggested by Saïdane *et al.*, (1979).

**Preparation of pure compost extract:** The pure extracts, E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> corresponding to C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub> respectively, were prepared according to the steepage procedure of Weltzein (1992) modified by Znaïdi (2002). Each compost was suspended in tap water (1:5 vol/vol) in a loosely covered 10-liter plastic container. The suspension was incubated for 6 days at 15 to 20°C and daily stirred 5 to 10 minutes. Extracts were then filtered through a 2-mm screen to remove large particles. After a 8000 rpm centrifugation (Sigma) for 15 minutes, surfactant was filtered through a 0.2 µm filter (Schleicher & Schuell, D-37582).

**Isolation and purification of bacterial colonies:** From 1 ml of each compost extract, decimal dilutions from 10<sup>-2</sup> to 10<sup>-4</sup> were made. In sterile Petri plates, 5 ml of each solution were poured on a Nutrient Agar medium. To avoid fungal development Cycloheximide antibiotic (100µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) was added to the medium. After a 48 hour incubation at 28°C, the most numerous bacterial colonies with visually different morphology were picked out and isolated. These isolates were transferred to other dishes on LB (Luria Bertani) medium (Tryptone 10g, yeast extract 5g, NaCl 10g). After a 48 hour incubation at 28°C, the Petri dishes were removed and the isolates put in Appendorf tubes in 1ml of the solution (50% LB and 50% Glycerin) already autoclaved for 20 minutes at 120°C. These tubes were then preserved at -20°C for identification of bacteria.

**Molecular analysis of 16S rDNA:** Bacterial colonies were suspended into 35µl ultrapure water and were subjected to four freeze-thaw cycles in order to lyse the cells (Dunbar *et al.*, 1996). Bacterial 16S rDNA genes were amplified by PCR using the universal reverse primer W02 (5'-GNTACCTGTTACGACTT-3') and the bacterial forward primer W18 (5'-GAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3'), *Escherichia coli* position 1509-1492 and 9-27, respectively (Brosius *et al.*, 1981). Each reaction tube contained 1 µl of each primer, 1×RED Taq PCR buffer (Sigma, St. Louis, MO. USA), 200ng (each) desoxynucleotide triphosphate and 1U of Taq DNA polymerase (Sigma) in a total volume of 50 µl. The reaction mixture was placed in a thermocycler (Applied biosystems) at 94°C. After an initial denaturation at 94°C for 2min, 25 temperature cycles were performed for bacteria (94°C for 1min, 50°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1min). Products were electrophoresed on a 0.7% agarose gel and viewed by ethidium bromide staining. After sequencing (Millegen, Labège, France), each sequence was compared using BLASTIN (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). The nucleotide sequence data reported in this paper is available in the EMBL, GenBank and DDBJ Nucleotide Sequence Databases under the accession numbers from EU515232 to EU515236.

**Fungal pathogen:** *P. aphanidermatum* pathogen was isolated from diseased tomato roots by the laboratories of phytopathology of the Olive Institute in Sfax (Tunisia).

**Table 1. Compositions (%) of the original composts C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>.**

	<i>Posidonia oceanica</i> (Po)	Chicken manure (CM)	Solid olive mill wastes (SOMW)
C <sub>1</sub>	20	30	50
C <sub>2</sub>	35	30	35
C <sub>3</sub>	50	30	20

### The *In vitro* assay

**Effect of pure compost extracts:** Compost extracts sterilization aims to check whether microorganisms are responsible for the seedlings protection (Larbi, 2006) or not.

Hundred  $\mu$ l of each pure extract were pipetted into pits of 6 mm diameter on PDA medium. In each Petri plate, a 6 mm diameter disk of the pathogen mycelial culture was placed 4 cm from the pit. Control plates were prepared by replacing the quantity of pure extracts by the same quantity of sterile distilled water (Hibar, 2002). After a 72 hour incubation at 26°C, pathogen mycelial growth was measured in each plate and the growth on PDA containing compost extracts was compared with the control. Each treatment was replicated three times. The inhibitive effect of the composts' extracts on mycelial growth of *P. aphanidermatum* was measured in terms of percentage growth inhibition (I %) and calculated according to the method of Hmouni *et al.*, (1996). Percentage growth inhibition was determined as  $= 100 \times (1 - C_n/C_0)$ , where C<sub>n</sub> is the average diameter increase of pathogen mycelium in each treatment and C<sub>0</sub> is the average diameter increase in the control plate.

**The antagonist effect of bacterial isolates:** The confrontation by direct contact consists of placing on PDA medium at 3 cm radius, diametrically opposed the isolated bacteria and *P. aphanidermatum*. The confrontation was done under sterile conditions. The Petri plates were then placed in an incubator at 28°C for 72h. Control was prepared by placing the pathogen without bacteria. Bacteria inhibition rates were obtained by the calculation of mycelium growth inhibition percentage according to Hmouni *et al.*, (1996). Mean value of three replications is considered.

### The bioassay

**Seedling preparation:** Tomato seeds (*Solanum lycopersicum*, L. cv. Rio Grande) were disinfected in absolute ethanol for 5 minutes, and rinsed with sterile distilled water to eliminate the pesticides residues used in seeds treatment (Benhamou *et al.*, 1997). After drying, seeds were placed under sterile conditions in Petri plates on filter papers soaked with sterile distilled water. Seed germination was assessed after 4 days in a growth chamber at 20°C (Hibar *et al.*, 2005). Twenty germinated seedlings were transferred to alveolus plates filled with the following substrates: i) So: 50% sterilized peat and 50% sterilized perlite (for inoculated and non inoculated control (IC and NIC respectively)), ii) S<sub>1</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>1</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v), iii) S<sub>2</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>2</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v); iv) S<sub>3</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>3</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v).

**Preparation of the inoculum solution:** The fungal pathogen was cultivated on PDA medium (Ben Yephet & Nelson, 1999). After ten days of incubation at 28°C, oospores suspension was scraped from the surface of the medium using a sterile blade and placed in a conical 100ml tube containing sterile distilled water. A concentration of  $10^3$  oospores ml<sup>-1</sup> was determined using a Malassez cell (Hibar *et al.*, 2006).

**Table 2. Inhibition of *P. aphanidermatum* mycelial growth caused by compost extracts after 72 hours at 26°C on PDA medium.**

Compost extract	Inhibition rate (I %)
E <sub>1</sub>	22.81 <sup>a</sup> (± 0.3)
E <sub>2</sub>	16.02 <sup>b</sup> (± 0.3)
E <sub>3</sub>	14.56 <sup>b</sup> (± 0.25)

Values followed by different letters are significantly different at  $p<0.05$ . Values between parentheses represent the standard deviations. E<sub>1</sub>: Extract of Compost C<sub>1</sub>; E<sub>2</sub>: Extract of Compost C<sub>2</sub>; E<sub>3</sub>: Extract of Compost C<sub>3</sub>.

**Drench inoculation:** Two-leaf germinated seedlings were transferred in alveolus plates containing the substrates mentioned above (So, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, and S<sub>3</sub>). Three days after their transplanting, seedlings were drenched with 100 ml of the inoculum solution for the three treatments and the inoculated control (IC). For non-inoculated control (NIC) distilled water were used. The experiment was carried out in a growth chamber at 24°C with a 12-hour-photoperiod and 80% humidity. Seedlings were watered daily. As a measure of disease severity, seedling stands were counted 25 days after inoculation. Low percent-seedling stands indicated high disease severity, whereas high percent-seedling stands indicated high disease suppressiveness. Percent-seedling stands were calculated and the mean value of five replications was considered (Ben-Yephet & Nelson 1999). Means of the percent-seedling stands of five replications were calculated.

**Root dip inoculation:** At two-leaf-stage, seedling roots of 20 inoculated seedlings were cut at 1cm from the tips, soaked in inoculum solution for 1 hour and transferred to alveolus plates filled with the following substrates: So (for IC and NIC), S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, and S<sub>3</sub>. Transferred seedlings were daily watered and 30 days after their inoculation, the seedling top lengths were measured and the mean value of five replications was calculated.

**Experimental setup and statistical analysis:** Each experiment was replicated five times. For the bioassay, 20 plants per treatment were used. The experiments were analyzed using the DUNCAN test with factorial treatment structure and the analysis of the treatment effect was performed by using the general linear model procedure of SPSS (SPSS 10.0). The means were separated on the basis of least significant differences at 5% probability level.

## Results

### *In vitro* assay

**Compost tea inhibition:** Results showed that all compost extracts had an inhibiting effect on *P. aphanidermatum* development and percentage inhibition varied between 14.56% and 22.81% (Table 1). Mycelial growth measured in the different Petri plates showed that there is no significant difference between the suppressive effects of extracts E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> whereas the inhibitive effect of E<sub>1</sub> is significantly different from E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub>. Inhibition rates were 22.81%, 16.02%, and 14.56 % for E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> extracts respectively (Table 2).

**Table 3. 16S rDNA molecular analysis and antagonist effects of bacterial isolates on *P. aphanidermatum*.**

Extracts	Cultivated isolates	Antagonist effect (%) <sup>*</sup>	Gen Bank accession number	Nearest blast-determined neighbor	
				Species	Similarity (%) <sup>**</sup>
E <sub>1</sub>	E 1-1	10 <sup>a</sup>	EU515233	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	100
	E 1-2	10 <sup>a</sup>			
	E 1-3	0 <sup>b</sup>			
	E 1-4	0 <sup>b</sup>			
	E 1-5	38 <sup>c</sup>			
	E 1-6	0 <sup>b</sup>			
	E 1-7	0 <sup>b</sup>			
	E 1-8	34 <sup>d</sup>			
E <sub>2</sub>	E 2-1	0 <sup>b</sup>	EU515236	<i>Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes</i>	100
	E 2-2	0 <sup>b</sup>			
	E 2-3	35 <sup>d</sup>			
	E 2-4	28 <sup>e</sup>			
	E 2-5	35 <sup>d</sup>			
	E 2-6	0 <sup>b</sup>			
E <sub>3</sub>	E 3-1	0 <sup>b</sup>	EU515235	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	100
	E 3-2	0 <sup>b</sup>			
	E 3-3	37 <sup>c</sup>			
	E 3-4	0 <sup>b</sup>			
	E 3-5	10 <sup>a</sup>			
	E 3-6	8 <sup>a</sup>			
	E 3-7	0 <sup>b</sup>			

E<sub>1</sub>: Extract of Compost C<sub>1</sub>; E<sub>2</sub>: Extract of Compost C<sub>2</sub>; E<sub>3</sub>: Extract of Compost C<sub>3</sub>.

Values followed by different letters are significantly different at p<0.05.

\* Antagonist effect based on five replicates per treatment.

\*\* Percentage of 16S rDNA sequence similarity between the representative isolate and its nearest neighbor from Gen Bank database.

**Antagonistic effect of bacterial isolates:** To reveal the microbiological role of the extracts suppressive effect, bacterial colonies of the extracts were purified after selection based on macroscopic aspect. The observations of these colonies showed at least 8 isolates in extract E<sub>1</sub>, 6 isolates in extracts E<sub>2</sub> and 7 isolates in E<sub>3</sub>. Confrontation bacteria-fungal pathogen demonstrated that E<sub>1</sub> contains 4 types of suppressive bacteria to *P. aphanidermatum* (E<sub>1-1</sub>; E<sub>1-2</sub>, E<sub>1-5</sub> and E<sub>1-8</sub>). Isolates E<sub>1-1</sub> and E<sub>1-2</sub> inhibited 10% the development of the fungal pathogen while isolate E<sub>1-8</sub> gave an inhibition rate of 34 %, and isolate E<sub>1-5</sub> produced the highest inhibitive effect with a 38% rate (Table 3). The antagonist effects of both E<sub>1-1</sub> and E<sub>1-2</sub> were significantly different from the ones of E<sub>1-5</sub> and E<sub>1-8</sub>. Concerning E<sub>2</sub>, 3 isolates of bacteria had a suppressive effect on the fungal pathogen development (E<sub>2-2</sub>; E<sub>2-4</sub> and E<sub>2-5</sub>) with a rate of 28% of inhibition for isolate E<sub>2-4</sub> and a rate reaching 35% for isolates E<sub>2-3</sub> and E<sub>2-5</sub>. E<sub>3</sub> showed 3 bacterial isolates with a suppressive effect on *P. aphanidermatum* (E<sub>3-3</sub>; E<sub>3-5</sub> and E<sub>3-6</sub>). The inhibiting effects of these isolates were 37%, 10% and 8%, respectively. Identification of bacteria with a suppressive effect higher than 30% showed that the most important inhibition was obtained by *Bacillus subtilis* (E<sub>1-5</sub>) and *Bacillus thuringiensis* (E<sub>3-3</sub>) with inhibition rates of 38 and 37% respectively. *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes* (E<sub>2-3</sub>) and *P. fluorescens* (E<sub>2-5</sub>) suppressed at 35% the fungal pathogen, while *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* (E<sub>1-8</sub>) had an antagonist effect of 34% (Table 3).

### ***In vivo* assay**

**Drench inoculation:** Values recorded after 25 days of inoculation showed that all (100%) of the non-inoculated seedlings (NIC) survived, while 10% survived in the inoculated, untreated control. Seedling stand percentage in S<sub>1</sub> was significantly higher than in the S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> treatments, and all were higher than inoculated, untreated control (Table 4). The percentages of seedlings stand sown in the composts were 80% for S<sub>1</sub> and 55% for S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>.

**Root dip inoculation:** Values recorded 30 days after inoculation showed that 100% of the seedlings in the non-inoculated control (NIC) were dead, whereas seedlings planted in the substrates showed a resistance against the inoculated pathogen and abundant root systems. Mean seedling lengths were approximately: 12 cm, 15 cm, 20 cm, 8 cm and 18 cm in S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>, So-IC and So-NIC respectively (Table 5). Furthermore, we noticed that seedlings in S<sub>3</sub> are higher than those in So-NIC (Fig. 1).

*P. aphanidermatum* was re-isolated from diseased plants. Microscopic examination of the re-isolated colonies did not indicate differences between re-isolated colonies and those used for inoculation. We note also that no pathogen was re-isolated from non-inoculated control plants.

### **Discussion**

*In vitro* tests showed antagonist effect on *P. aphanidermatum*. However, the suppressiveness of isolated bacteria was more accurate than this of pure composts extracts. Our results confirm that plant protection was not due essentially to extract microbial populations, which is confirmed by other authors reporting that the antifungal activity of composts extracts persists even after sterilization (Achimu & Schlosser, 1991; Elad & Shtienberg, 1994; Cronin *et al.*, 1996; Zhang *et al.*, 1998). On the other hand, according to McQuilken *et al.*, (1994) and Weltzien (1992), sterilization of composts extracts eliminates their antagonistic effect. Different mechanisms are supposed to contribute to the suppression of soil-borne plant pathogens: parasitism, production of antifungal compounds, competition for nutrients and colonization sites, and inducing systemic resistance in plants against pathogens (Fravel, 1988; Han *et al.*, 2000; Lugtenberg *et al.*, 2001; Bergsma-Vlami *et al.*, 2005; Dahiya, 2005; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2005).

Bacteria identified in our study are *Bacillus subtilis*, *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*, *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Nielsen & Sørensen (1997) reported that *Bacillus polymyxa* synthesizes antibiotics such as Fusaricidins B, C and D or proteolytic and hydrolytic enzymes (cellulase, mannanase and xylanase) which destroy the cellular membrane of the following fungal pathogens: *Aphanomyces cochleoides*, *Pythium ultimum* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. On the other hand, Raupach *et al.*, (1996) reported that *Bacillus subtilis* induced systemic resistance in cucumber and tomato against mosaic cucurmovirus using plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* produces antifungal compounds (Notz *et al.*, 2001) and antibiotics (Delaney *et al.*, 2001; Thomashow & Weller 1988). *Pseudomonas* spp. produces different types of metabolites, such as volatiles, toxins, cyclic lipopeptides, enzymes and antibiotics, which enable the genus to compete effectively, and microbial fitness to survive in most environments (Haas & Keel, 2003).

**Table 4. Mean percentages of tomato seedlings stand sown in tested substrates.**

Substrate	Seedlings stand percentage (%)
S <sub>1</sub>	80 <sup>a</sup> (±1.73)
S <sub>2</sub>	55 <sup>b</sup> (±1.00)
S <sub>3</sub>	55 <sup>b</sup> (±2.64)
So-IC	10 <sup>c</sup> (±1.80)
So-NIC	100 <sup>d</sup>

So-IC: 50% sterilized peat and 50% sterilized perlite for inoculated control, So-NIC: 50% sterilized peat and 50% sterilized perlite for non-inoculated control; S<sub>1</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>1</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v); S<sub>2</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>2</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v); S<sub>3</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>3</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v).

Values followed by different letters are significantly different at  $p<0.05$ . Values between parentheses represent the standard deviations. Mean values of five replications are considered.

**Table 5. Mean seedling lengths of tomato sown in tested substrates 30 days after their inoculation.**

Substrates	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	So-IC	So-NIC
Mean seedling lengths	11.87 <sup>c</sup> (±1.58)	14.85 <sup>d</sup> (±2.47)	20.08 <sup>e</sup> (±1.53)	7.9 <sup>a</sup> (±1.56)	17.8 <sup>b</sup> (±1.29)

Mean seedling lengths in S<sub>1</sub>: (1/5 C<sub>1</sub> and 4/5 C (v/v)); S<sub>2</sub>: (1/5 C<sub>2</sub> and 4/5 C (v/v)); S<sub>3</sub>: (1/5 C<sub>3</sub> and 4/5 C (v/v)); IC: Inoculated Control (50% sterilized peat and 50% sterilized perlite); and NIC: Non Inoculated Control (50% sterilized peat and 50% sterilized perlite). Different letters show significant differences at  $p<0.05$ . Values between parentheses represent the standard deviations.




Fig. 1. Seedlings transplanted in the tested substrates 30 days after inoculation. So-IC: 50% sterilized peat and 50% sterilized perlite (Inoculated Control), So-NIC: 50% sterilized peat and 50% sterilized perlite (Non Inoculated Control); S<sub>1</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>1</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v); S<sub>2</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>2</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v); S<sub>3</sub>: 1/5 C<sub>3</sub> and 4/5 So (v/v).

*In vitro* and *In vivo* production of antibiotics by numerous antagonistic bacterial strains has been demonstrated (Bergsma-Vlami *et al.*, 2005). The *In vivo* test results showed that the investigated composts have a suppressive effect on *P. aphanidermatum*. Furthermore, inoculated seedlings had better stand and growth than non inoculated control. Results differed as per substrates. Indeed, seedlings stand percentage in S<sub>1</sub> (80%) is significantly higher compared to seedlings stand percentage registered in S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> (55%). These values are higher than those obtained by Ben-Yephet & Nelson (1999), who reported that between 24 and 28°C, municipal biosolids compost and a leaf compost give a mean seedling stand of cucumber between 50 and 54.2%. These authors found out that, in a peat and compost media, tomato seedlings inoculated with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* show lower level of contamination compared to peat growing control. On the other hand, Hibar *et al.*, (2005) demonstrate that the transplantation of inoculated tomato seedlings in compost extract treated peat inhibited *Fusarium oxysporum* effect. In the same study, the authors show significant difference between inoculated control roots and those of seedlings inoculated and treated with compost extracts. Suppression of damping-off caused by *P. aphanidermatum* by different types of composts is widely reported in literature. Damping-off of cucumber caused by *P. aphanidermatum* is suppressed by composts prepared from separated cattle manure (Mandelbaum & Hadar, 1990; Mandelbaum *et al.*, 1988), grape marc (Mandelbaum *et al.*, 1988), licorice roots (Hadar & Mandelbaum, 1986), sugarcane residues (Theodore & Toribio 1995). Nevertheless, Lumsden *et al.*, (1983) reported that municipal biosolids compost does not inhibit damping-off of bean.

Bailey & Lazarovits (2003) reported that composts are an important source of nutrients usable by the microorganisms. As a consequence, composts amendments generally enhance the development of the microflora and increase the global activity of the soils. This is an explanation of the better growth in presence of composts. These findings are confirmed by the *In vivo* tests results showing that inoculated tomato seedlings grow better in media containing compost than non-inoculated seedlings in peat and perlite growing media. Root dip inoculation test showed that seedlings transplanted in substrate S<sub>3</sub> are longer than non-inoculated control with 11% of stimulation. This result confirms those of authors testing several types of composts on tomato seedlings. Levy & Taylor (2003) mentioned that mink farm compost and horse manure compost stimulate root and shoot growth of tomato Meunchang *et al.*, (2006) reported that sugar mill by-products compost may be a good soil amendment to promote tomato growth. Benefic effect of composts on plant growth and yield was reported by more than one author. Manios & Kapetanios (1992) studied composted municipal solid waste in greenhouse tomato production. Lulakis & Petsas (1995) studied the effect of vine-cane compost on tomato seedlings. The results found in this work comply with those reported in previous studies on suppressive activity of composts. To our knowledge, this is the first study on such composts against *P. aphanidermatum*. Thus, a biological control system requires a better knowledge of the inhibitive agent action mechanism. Further researches on the identified bacteria might be conducted in order to determine their suppressiveness mechanism.

## References

Achim P, Schlösser 1991. Control of *Plasmopara viticola* with compost filtrates. *Mededelingen van de Faculteit Landbouwwetenschappen, Rijksuniversiteit Gent.*, 56: 171-178.

Al-Dahmani, J.H., P.A. Abbassi, S.A. Miller and H.A.J. Hoitink. 2003. Suppression of bacterial spot of tomato with foliar sprays of compost extracts under greenhouse and field conditions. *Plant Dis.*, 87: 913-919.

Atiyeh, R.M., C.A. Edwards, S. Subler and J.D. Metzger. 2000. Pig manure vermicomposts as a component of a horticultural bedding plant medium: effects on physicochemical properties and plant growth *Bioresour. Technol.*, 78: 11-20.

Bailey, K.L. and G. Lazarovits. 2003. Suppressing soil-borne diseases with residue management and organic amendments. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 72: 169-180.

Ben Yephet, Y. and E.B. Nelson. 1999. Differential suppression of damping-off caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum*, *P. irregularare* and *P. myriotylum* in composts at different temperatures. *Plant Dis.*, 83: 356-360.

Benhamou, N., P. Rey, M. Cherif, J. Hockenhull and Y. Tirilly. 1997. Treatment with the mycoparasite *Pythium oligandrum* triggers induction of defence-related reactions in tomato roots when challenged with *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. *Phytopathol.*, 87: 108-121.

Bergsma-Vlami, M., M.E. Prins, M. Staats and J.M. Raaijmakers. 2005. Assessment of Genotypic Diversity of Antibiotic-Producing *Pseudomonas* Species in the Rhizosphere by Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 71(2): 993-1003.

Bernard, P. and R. Clément. 1983. Bringing into evidence antibiotic substances from *Posidonia oceanica*. *Rev. Int. Oceanogr. Med.*, 70/71: 33-37.

Bernard, P. and D. Pesando. 1989. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of extracts from the rhizomes of the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile. *Bot. Mar.*, 32: 85-88.

Boehm, M.J. and H.A.J. Hoitink. 1992. Sustenance of microbial activity in potting mixes and its impact on severity of *Pythium* root rot of Poinsettia. *Phytopathol.*, 82: 259-264.

Boehm, M.J., T. Wu, A.G. Stone, B. Kraakman, D.A. Lannotti and E.G. Wilson. 1997. Cross-polarized magic-angle spinning <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic characterization of soil organic matter relative to culturable bacterial species composition and sustained biological control of *Pythium* root rot. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 63: 162-168.

Brosius, J., T.J. Dull, D.D. Sleeter and H.F. Noller. 1981. Gene organisation and primary structure of a ribosomal RNA operon from *Escherichia coli*. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 148: 107-127.

Cariello, L. and L. Zanetti. 1979. Effect of *Posidonia oceanica* extracts on the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Bot. Mar.*, 22: 129-131.

Cotxarrera, L., G.M.I. Trillas, C. Steinberg and C. Alabouvette. 2002. Use of sewage sludge compost and *Trichoderma asperellum* isolates to suppress *Fusarium* wilt of tomato. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 34: 467-476.

Craft, C.M. and E.B. Nelson. 1996. Microbial properties of composts that suppress damping-off and root rot of creeping bentgrass caused by *Pythium graminicola*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 62: 1550-1557.

Cronin, M.J., D.S. Yohalem, R.F. Harris and J.H. Andrews. 1996. Putative mechanism and dynamics of inhibition of the apple scab pathogen *Venturia inaequalis* by compost extracts. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 28: 1241-1249.

Dahiya, N. 2005. Production of an Antifungal Chitinase from *Enterobacter* sp. NRG4 and its application in protoplast production. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 21: 8-9.

Delaney, S.M., D.V. Mavrodi, R.F. Bonsall and L.S. Thomashow. 2001. phzO, a gene for biosynthesis of 2-hydroxylated phenazine compounds in *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* 30-84. *J. Bacteriol.*, 183: 318-327.

Dunbar, J., D.C.L. Wong, M.J. Yarus and L.J. Forney. 1996. Autoradiographic method for isolation of diverse microbial species with unique catabolic traits. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 62: 4180-4185.

Elad, Y. and D. Shteinberg. 1994. Effect of compost water extracts on grey mold (*Botritis cinerea*). *Crop Prot.*, 13 : 109-114.

Erhart, E. and K. Burian. 1997. Evaluating quality and suppressiveness of Austrian biowaste composts. *Compost Sci. Util.*, 5: 15-24.

Ferguson, J.L. 2001. Characterizing the process of composting mink manure and pelleted mink carcasses. *M.Sc. Thesis, Nova Scotia Agricultural College, Truro, Nova Scotia, Canada.* 110 pp.

Folman, L.B., M.J.E.M. De Klein, J. Postma and J.A. Van Veen. 2004. Production of antifungal compounds by *Lycobacter enzymogenes* isolate 3.1T8 under different conditions in relation to its efficacy as a biocontrol agent of *Pythium aphanidermatum* in cucumber. *Biol. Control*, 31 : 145-154.

Fourati, C.F., J. Rouis and B. Elleuch. 2001. Utilisation de la margine en tant qu'adjacent dans le béton. *Revue Francophone d'Ecologie Industrielle*, 21 : 16-19.

Fravel, D.R. 1988. Role of antibiosis in the biocontrol of plant diseases. *Annual Review of Phytopathol.*, 26: 75-91.

Fuchs, J.G. 2002. Practical use of quality compost for plant health and vitality improvement. In: *Microbiology of Composting*, (Ed.): S. Klammer, pp. 435-444.

Fuchs, J. and M. Larbi. 2004. Disease control with quality compost in pot and field trials. Paper presented at International Conference on soil and Composts eco-biology. Soil ACE, Biomass Peninsular. León-Spain, 157-166.

Haas, D. and C. Keel. 2003. Regulation of antibiotic production in root-colonizing *Pseudomonas* spp. and relevance for biological control of plant disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathol.*, 41: 117-153.

Hachicha, S., M. Chtourou, K. Medhioub and E. Ammar. 2006. Compost of poultry manure and olive mill wastes as an alternative fertilizer. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 26: 135-142.

Hadar, Y. and R. Mandelbaum. 1986. Suppression of *Pythium aphanidermatum* damping-off in container media containing composted liquorice roots. *Crop Prot.*, 5: 88-92.

Han, D.Y., D.L. Coplin, W.D. Bauer and H.A.J. Hoitink. 2000. A rapid bioassay for screening rhizosphere microorganisms for their ability to induce systemic resistance. *Phytopathol.*, 90 : 327-332.

Hibar, K. 2002. La fusariose du collet et des racines de la tomate: Pathogénicité et moyens de lutte. *Mémoire de Diplôme d'Etudes Approfondies en Protection des plantes et Environnement. Ecole Supérieure d'Horticulture et d'Elevage de Chott Mariem, Tunisia*, 54p.

Hibar, K., M. Daami-Remadi, H. Khaireddine and M. El Mahjoub. 2005. Effet inhibiteur *In vitro* et *In vivo* du *Trichoderma harzianum* sur *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Radicis-lycopersici*. *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ.*, 9(3): 163-171.

Hmouni, A., M.R. Hajlaoui and A. Mlaiki. 1996. Résistance de *Botrytis cinerea* aux benzimidazoles et aux dicarboximides dans les cultures abritées de tomate en Tunisie. *OEPP/EPPO Bull.*, 26: 697-705.

Hoitink, H.A.J., A.G. Stone and D.Y. Han. 1997. Suppression of plant diseases by composts. *HortScience*, 32 :184-187.

Inbar, Y., Y. Hadar and Y. Chen. 1993. Recycling of cattle manure: the composting process and characterization of maturity. *J. of Environ. Qual.*, 22: 857-863.

Kao, C.W. and W.H. Ko. 1986. The role of calcium and microorganisms in suppression of cucumber damping off caused by *Pythium splendens* in a Hawaiian soil. *Phytopathol.*, 76: 221-225.

Kobayashi, D.Y., R.M. Reedy, J.D. Palumbo, J.M. Zhou and G.Y. Yuen. 2005. A *clp* Gene homologue belonging to the *Crp* gene family globally regulates lytic enzyme production, antimicrobial activity, and biological control activity expressed by *Lysobacter enzymogenes* Strain C3. *App. and Environ. Microbiol.*, 71: 261-269.

Kogbe, J.O.S. 1980. Effects of poultry manure on the yield components of *Celosia argentea* L. *Vegetables for the Hot, Humid Tropics*, 5: 54-63.

Larbi, M. 2006. Influence de la qualité des composts et de leurs extraits sur la protection des plantes contre les maladies fongiques. *Ph D Thesis*. Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Neuchâtel Institut de Botanique (Laboratoire sol et végétation). 137 pp.

Levy, J.S. and B.R. Taylor. 2003. Effects of pulp mill solids and three composts on early growth of tomatoes. *Bioresour. Techn.*, 89(3): 297-305.

Lugtenberg, B.J.J., L. Dekkers and G.V. Bloemberg. 2001. Molecular determinants of rhizosphere colonization by *Pseudomonas*. *Annual Review of Phytopathol.*, 39: 461-490.

Lulakis, M.D. and S.I. Petsas. 1995. Effect of humic substances from vine-canapes mature compost on tomato seedling growth. *Bioresour. Techn.*, 54(2): 179-182.

Lumsden, R.D., J.A. Lewis and P.D. Millner. 1983. Effect of composted sewage sludge on several soilborne pathogens and diseases. *Phytopathol.*, 73: 1543-1548.

Mandelbaum, R. and Y. Hadar. 1990. Effects of available carbon source on microbial activity and suppression of *Pythium aphanidermatum* in compost and peat container media. *Phytopathology*, 80: 794-804.

Mandelbaum, R., Y. Hadar and Y. Chen. 1988. Composting of agricultural wastes for their use as container media: effect of heat treatments on suppression of *Pythium aphanidermatum* and microbial activities in substrates containing compost. *Biol. Wastes*, 26: 261-274.

Manios, V.I. and E. Kapetanios. 1992. Effect of town refuse compost as soil amendment on greenhouse Tomato crop. *Acta Hort. (ISHS)*, 302: 193-202.

McQuilken, M.P., J.M. Whipps and J.M. Lynch. 1994. Effects of water extracts of a composted manure-straw mixture on the plant pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 10: 20-26.

Meunchang, S., S. Panichsakpatana and R.W. Weaver. 2006. Inoculation of sugar mill byproducts compost with N-2-fixing bacteria. *Plant and soil*, 280(1-2): 171-176.

Meunchang, S., P. Supamard and R.W. Weaver. 2005. Co-composting of filter cake and bagasse; by products from a sugar mill. *Bioresour. Techn.*, 96(4): 2005.

Nefzaoui, A. and A. Ben Dhia. 1978. Mise au point sur les expériences réalisées sur l'utilisation des grignons d'olives en alimentation animale. *Séminaire sur l'olivier et autres plantes oléagineuses cultivées en Tunisie. Mahdia-Tunisie*.

Nefzaoui, A. and M. Zidani. 1987. Les sous-produits de l'olivier. *République Tunisienne, Ministère de l'Agriculture. Institut de l'Olivier, Sfax*, 136pp.

Nielsen, P. and J. Sørensen. 1997. Multi-target and medium-independent fungal antagonism by hydrolytic enzymes in *Paenibacillus polymyxa* and *Bacillus pumilus* strains from barley rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 22: 183-192.

Notz, R., M. Maurhofer, U. Schnider Keel, B. Duffy, D. Haas and G. Defago. 2001. Biotic factors affecting expression of the 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol biosynthesis gene *phlA* in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* biocontrol strain CHA0 in the rhizosphere. *Phytopathol.*, 91 : 873-881.

Orquín, R., M. Abad, P. Noguera, R. Pulchades, A. Maqueira, V. Noguera and F. de la Iglesia F 2001. Composting of Mediterranean seagrass and seaweed residues with yard waste for horticultural purposes. *Acta Hort.*, 549: 29-35.

Paulitz, T.C. and R. Baker. 1987. Biological control of *Pythium* Damping-off of cucumbers of *Pythium nunn*: Influence of soil environment and organic amendments. *Phytopathol.*, 77: 341-346.

Paulitz, T.C. and R.R. Bélanger. 2001. Biological control in greenhouse systems. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 39: 103-133.

Quarles, W. 2001. Compost tea for organic farming and gardening. *The IPM Practitioner*, 23(9): 1-8.

Rattink, H. 1992. Targets for pathology research in protected crops. *Pestic. Sci.*, 36: 385-388.

Raupach, G.S., L. Liu, J.F. Murphy, S. Tuzun and J.W. Kloepper. 1996. Induced systemic resistance in cucumber and tomato against cucumber mosaic cucumovirus using plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). *Plant Dis.*, 80: 891-894.

Reuveni, R., M. Raviv, A. Krasnovsky, L. Freiman, S. Medina, A. Bar and D. Orion. 2002. Compost induces protection against *Fusarium oxysporum* in sweet Basil. *Crop Prot.*, 21: 583-587.

Ringer, C.E., P.D. Millner, L.M. Teerlinck and B.W. Lyman. 1997. Suppression of seedling damping-off disease in potting mix containing animal manure composts. *Compost Sci. Util.*, 5: 6-14.

Roig, A., A. García-Gómez, M.P. Bernal and J. Cegarra. 2001. Composting of the solid fraction of olive mill wastewater. *Acta Hort.*, 549 : 19-27.

Saïdane, A., N. De Waele and R. Van De Velde. 1979. Contribution à l'étude du compostage des plantes marines en vue de la préparation d'un amendement organique et d'un substrat horticole. *Bull. Inst. natn. scient. tech. Océanogr. Pêche Salambô*, 6(1-4): 133-150.

Shuler, C., J. Biala, C. Bruns, R. Gottschall, S. Ahlers and H. Vogtmann. 1989. Suppression of Root Rot on Peas, Beans and Beetrots Caused by *Pythium ultimum* and *Rhizoctonia solani* through the Amendment of Growing Media with Composted Organic Household Waste. *J. Phytopathol.*, 127: 227-238.

Tilston, E.L., D. Pitt and A.C. Groenhof. 2002. Composted recycled organic matter suppresses soil-borne diseases of field crops. *New Phytologist.*, 154: 731-740.

Theodore, M. and J.A. Toribio. 1995. Suppression of *Pythium aphanidermatum* in composts prepared from sugarcane factory residues. *Plant Soil*, 177: 219-233.

Thomashow, L.S. and D.M. Weller. 1988. Role of a phenazine antibiotic from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in biological control of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*. *J. Bacteriol.*, 170: 3499-3508.

Walker, D.J., M.P. Bernal and E. Correal. 2007. The influence of heavy metals and mineral nutrient supply on *Bituminaria bituminosa*. *Water Air and Soil Pollution*, 184: 335-345.

Warman, P.R. and W.C. Termeer. 1996. Composting and evaluation of racetrack manure, grass clippings and sewage sludge. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 55: 95-101.

Weller, D.M., J.M. Raaijmakers, B.B. Gardener and L.S. Thomashow. 2002. Microbial populations responsible for specific soil suppressiveness to plant pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 40: 309-348.

Weltzien, H.C. 1992. Biocontrol of foliar fungal diseases with compost extracts. In: *Microbial ecology of leaves*. (Eds.): J.H. Andrews, S.S. Hirano. pp 430-450. Springer Verlag, New York.

Widmer, T.L., J.H. Graham and D.J. Mitchell. 1998. Composted municipal waste reduces infection of citrus seedlings by *Phytophthora nicotianae*. *Pl. Dis.*, 82: 683-688.

Yohalem, D.S., E.V. Nordheim and J.H. Andrews. 1996. The effect of water extracts of spent mushroom compost on apple scab in the field. *Phytopathol.*, 86: 914-922.

Zhang, W., D.Y. Han, W.A. Dick, K.R. Davis H.A.J. Hoitink. 1998. Compost and compost water extract induced systemic acquired resistance in cucumber and *Arabidopsis*. *Phytopathol.*, 88 : 450-455.

Znaïdi, I.A. 2002. Etude et évaluation du compostage de différents types de matières organiques et des effets des jus de composts biologiques sur les maladies des plantes. Master of Science Degree N° 286. *Mediterranean Organic Agriculture, CIHEAM Mediterranean Agronomic Institute*, 94 p.

(Received for publication 10 September 2008)